arXiv:0911.5133v1 [math.FA] 26 Nov 2009

On an Interpolation Problem for J-Potapov Functions

Bernd Fritzsche, Bernd Kirstein, Uwe Raabe[1]

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Leipzig, Augustusplatz 10/11, 04109 Leipzig, Germany

---

Abstract

Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix (i.e. $J^* = J$ and $J^2 = I_m$) and let $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Denote by $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$ the class of all meromorphic $m \times m$ matrix-valued functions f in $\mathbb{D}$ which are holomorphic at 0 and take J-contractive values at all points of $\mathbb{D}$ at which f is holomorphic. The central theme of this paper is the study of the following interpolation problem:

Let n be a nonnegative integer, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be a sequence of complex $m \times m$ matrices. Describe the set $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n]$ of all matrix-valued functions $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $\frac{f^{(j)}(0)}{j!} = A_j$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0,n}$ where the notation $f^{(j)}$ stands for the j-th derivative of f. In particular, characterize the case that the set $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n]$ is nonempty.

In this paper, we will solve this problem in the most general case. Moreover, in the nondegenerate case we will give a description of the corresponding Weyl matrix balls. Furthermore, we will investigate the limit behaviour of the Weyl matrix balls associated with the functions belonging to some particular subclass of $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$.

Keywords: J-Potapov functions, J-Potapov sequences, J-central J-Potapov functions, J-central J-Potapov sequences, Weyl matrix balls
2000 MSC: Primary 30E05, 47A57

---

0. Introduction

This paper deals with an interpolation problem for a particular class of meromorphic matrix-valued functions in the unit disk $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. This class is the Potapov class $\mathcal{P}_J(\mathbb{D})$ (see section 1). It originates in the fundamental paper [33] by V.P. Potapov whose investigations where initiated by the studies of M.S. Livšic ([30], [31]) on characteristic functions of nonunitary operators.

Our interest is concentrated on the subclass $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$ of all functions belonging to $\mathcal{P}_J(\mathbb{D})$ which are holomorphic at 0. Given a finite sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ from $\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, we want to determine all $m \times m$ matrix-valued functions f belonging to $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$ such that their Taylor coefficients sequence starts with the section $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$.

Interpolation problems have a rich history. Important results for the scalar case were already obtained in the first half of the 20th century. In the early 1950's a new period started, where interpolation problems for matrix-valued functions were considered. These investigations culminated in a series of monographs (see, e.g., [3], [16]–[19], [36]). An essential common feature of these monographs is that the considerations mainly concentrated on the so-called nondegenerate case which is connected to positive Hermitian block Pick matrices built from the given data in the interpolation problem.

Email addresses: `fritzsche@math.uni-leipzig.de` (Bernd Fritzsche), `kirstein@math.uni-leipzig.de` (Bernd Kirstein), `raabe@math.uni-leipzig.de` (Uwe Raabe)

[1] The work of the third author of the present paper was supported by the EU project "Geometric Analysis on Lie Groups and Applications" (GALA).

The study of the degenerate case (where the associated block Pick matrix is nonnegative Hermitian and singular) began with the pioneering work [10]–[15] of V.K. Dubovoj in the framework of the matricial Schur problem. In the sequel, quite different approaches to handle degenerate cases of matrix interpolation were used (see, e.g., [4]–[9], [17, Chapter 7], and [36, Chapter 5]).

This paper makes extensive use of the authors' recent investigations [26]–[28] on J-Potapov functions, J-Potapov sequences, and their interrelations. For the basic strategy for treating the interpolation problem we draw upon the technique which was introduced in [23] and [25] to handle simultaneously the degenerate and nondegenerate cases in the matrix versions of the the interpolation problems named after Carathéodory and Schur (see [37]), respectively. Our method is essentially based on our investigations [28] on the J-central J-Potapov functions associated with a finite J-Potapov sequence of complex matrices. In particular, we will make frequently use of the matrix ball description of the elements of a J-Potapov sequence. The main results of this paper (Theorems 1.4, 3.2, 3.5, and 5.1) contain descriptions of the solution set of the interpolation problem under consideration in terms of a linear fractional transformation the generating matrix-valued function of which is a matrix polynomial. The canonical blocks of this matrix polynomial will be constructed with the aid of those matrix polynomials which were used in [28] to derive right and left quotient representations of J-central J-Potapov functions (see Theorem 2.5).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we summarize some basic facts on J-Potapov functions in the open unit disk, J-Potapov sequences and their interrelations. These results originate from [26] and [27].

In Section 2, we study J-central J-Potapov functions. We verify that an arbitrary function f belonging to the class $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$ can be approximated by the sequence of associated J-central J-Potapov functions (see Corollary 2.3). Moreover, we recall the left and right quotient representations of J-central J-Potapov functions which were obtained in [28] (see Theorem 2.5). These representations play the key role in the sequel.

Section 3 is devoted to the description of the solution set $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n]$ of the interpolation problem studied in this paper. This problem will be solved in the most general case (see Theorems 3.2, 3.5, and 3.10).

In Section 4, we state several characterizations of the unique solvability of the interpolation problem under consideration.

In Section 5, we treat the so-called nondegenerate case of the interpolation problem, namely the situation where some strict J-Potapov sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ is given. In this case the representation of the solution set $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n]$ contained in Theorem 5.1 generalizes the result which was obtained by Arov/Krein [2] (see also [16, Section 3.10] and [21]). Moreover, we will compute the corresponding Weyl matrix balls (see Theorem 5.8).

The central theme of Section 6 is the investigation of the interrelations between the Weyl matrix balls which are associated with a strict J-Potapov sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ on the one hand and with its J-Potapov-Ginzburg transform $(B_j)_{j=0}^n$ on the other hand. The main result of Section 6 is Proposition 6.7 which contains explicite formulas which express several interrelations between the corresponding parameters of the two Weyl matrix balls under consideration.

The final Section 7 deals with the study of the limit behaviour of the sequence of the Weyl matrix balls associated with a nondegenerate J-Potapov function f. Using the fact that the J-Potapov-Ginzburg transform g of f turns out to be a nondegenerate $m \times m$ Schur function and taking into account the formulas obtained in Section 6 the desired result on the ranks of the semi-radii of the Weyl matrix balls under consideration (see Proposition 7.4) will be derived from the corresponding result for nondegenerate $m \times m$ Schur functions (see [16, Theorem 3.11.2]).

1. Some preliminaries on *J*-Potapov functions in the open unit disk and on *J*-Potapov sequences

Throughout this paper, let m be a positive integer. We will use the notations $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{N}_0$, and $\mathbb{C}$ for the set of all positive integers, the set of all nonnegative integers, and the set of all complex numbers, respectively.

If $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{+\infty\}$ then $\mathbb{N}_{s,\kappa}$ denotes the set of all integers n satisfying $s \leq n \leq \kappa$. Further, let $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and $\mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$.

Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathbb{C}^{p\times q}$ designates the set af all complex $p \times q$ matrices. The notation $0_{p\times q}$ stands for the null matrix which belongs to $\mathbb{C}^{p\times q}$, and the identity matrix which belongs to $\mathbb{C}^{q\times q}$ will be designated by I_q. In cases where the size of a null matrix or the size of an identity matrix is obvious, we will omit the indices. If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{p\times q}$ then A^+ stands for the Moore-Penrose inverse of A. Furthermore, for each $A \in \mathbb{C}^{p\times q}$, let $\mathcal{R}(A)$ be the range of A, let $\mathcal{N}(A)$ be the nullspace of A, and let $\|A\|$ denote the spectral norm of A. We will write $\mathbb{C}^{q\times q}_{\geq}$ (respectivly, $\mathbb{C}^{q\times q}_{>}$) to denote the set af all nonnegative (respectively, positive) Hermitian matrices belonging to $\mathbb{C}^{q\times q}$. In the set $\mathbb{C}^{q\times q}_{H}$ of all Hermitian $q \times q$ matrices we will use the Löwner semi-ordering, i.e., we will write $A \leq B$ or $B \geq A$ to indicate that A and B are Hermitian matrices of the same size such that $B - A$ is nonnegative Hermitian. Moreover, we will write $A < B$ or $B > A$ to indicate that A and B are Hermitian matrices of the same size such that $B - A$ is positive Hermitian.

Let n and $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ be positive integers, and let $A_j \in \mathbb{C}^{p_j\times p_j}$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_{1,n}$. Then $\operatorname{diag}(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ denotes the block diagonal matrix with diagonal blocks $A_1, \ldots, A_n$.

If f is an $m \times m$ matrix-valued function which is meromorphic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}$, then let $\mathbb{H}_f$ be the set of all points at which f is holomorphic. Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix and let f be a $\mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$-valued function which is meromorphic in $\mathbb{D}$. Then f is called a *J-Potapov function in* $\mathbb{D}$ (respectively, a *strong J-Potapov function in* $\mathbb{D}$), if for each $w \in \mathbb{H}_f$ the matrix $f(w)$ is J-contractive (respectively, strictly J-contractive). Here a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ is called *J-contractive* (respectively, *strictly J-contractive*), if the matrix $J - A^*JA$ is nonnegative Hermitian (respectively, positive Hermitian). For each $m \times m$ signature matrix J, we will use the notation $\mathcal{P}_J(\mathbb{D})$ (respectively, $\mathcal{P}'_J(\mathbb{D})$) to denote the set of all J-Potapov functions in $\mathbb{D}$ (respectively, strong J-Potapov functions in $\mathbb{D}$). We will turn particular attention to a distinguished subclass of $\mathcal{P}_J(\mathbb{D})$, namely the class

$$\mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D}) := \{f \in \mathcal{P}_J(\mathbb{D}) : \ 0 \in \mathbb{H}_f\}.$$

In the case $J = I_m$ the classes $\mathcal{P}_J(\mathbb{D})$ and $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$ coincide. Indeed, $\mathcal{P}_{I_m}(\mathbb{D})$ is exactly the set $\mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$ of all $m \times m$ Schur functions in $\mathbb{D}$, i.e., the set of all matrix-valued functions $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ which are holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}$ and the values of which are contractive complex matrices.

Observe that the well-known concept of Potapov-Ginzburg transformation yields an interrelation between the classes $\mathcal{P}_J(\mathbb{D})$ and $\mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$ on the one-hand side and between the strong J-Potapov class $\mathcal{P}'_J(\mathbb{D})$ and the strong Schur class $\mathcal{S}'_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$ of all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$ for which the matrix $f(w)$ is strictly contractive for each $w \in \mathbb{D}$ on the other-hand side (see [26, Proposition 3.4]).

The sequences $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\infty}$ of Taylor coefficients of the matrix-valued functions which belong to the class $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$ can be characterized in a clear way. In order to recall this characterization we introduce some notations. Observe that, for each $m \times m$ signature matrix J and every nonnegative integer n, the complex $(n + 1)m \times (n + 1)m$ matrix

$$J_{[n]} := \operatorname{diag}(J, \ldots, J) \tag{1.1}$$

is an $(n+1)m \times (n+1)m$ signature matrix. If $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then a sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ of complex $m \times m$ matrices is called a *J-Potapov sequence* (respectively, a *strict J-Potapov sequence*) if the block Toeplitz matrix

$$S_n := \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & 0_{m\times m} & \ldots & 0_{m\times m} \\ A_1 & A_0 & \ldots & 0_{m\times m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_n & A_{n-1} & \ldots & A_0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.2}$$

is $J_{[n]}$-contractive (respectively, strictly $J_{[n]}$-contractive). If necessary, we will write $S_n^{(A)}$ instead of S_n to indicate the sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ from which the matrix is built.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we will use $\mathcal{P}^{\leq}_{J,n}$ (respectively, $\mathcal{P}^{<}_{J,n}$) to designate the set of all J-Potapov sequences (respectively, strict J-Potapov sequences) $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$. From [27, Lemma 3.2 (respectively, Lemma 3.3)] it follows that if $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}^{\leq}_{J,n}$ (respectively, $\mathcal{P}^{<}_{J,n}$), then $(A_j)_{j=0}^{k} \in \mathcal{P}^{\leq}_{J,k}$ (respectively, $(A_j)_{j=0}^{k} \in \mathcal{P}^{<}_{J,k}$)

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0,n}$. A sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\infty}$ of complex $m \times m$ matrices is said to be a *J-Potapov sequence* (respectively, a *strict J-Potapov sequence*) if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ is a J-Potapov sequence (respectively, a strict J-Potapov sequence). We will write $\mathcal{P}_{J,\infty}^{\leq}$ for the set of all J-Potapov sequences $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\infty}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{J,\infty}^{<}$ for the set of all strict J-Potapov sequences $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\infty}$.

Observe that the concept of J-Potapov sequences is a generalization of the well-known concept of $m \times m$ Schur sequences. Here, for each $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{+\infty\}$, a sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$ of complex $m \times m$ matrices is said to be an *$m \times m$ Schur sequence* (respectively, a *strict $m \times m$ Schur sequence*) if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\kappa}$ the matrix S_n given by (1.2) is contractive (respectively, strictly contractive).

Now we can formulate the Taylor series characterization of the class $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix. Then:*

(a) If $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$ and if

$$f(w) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j w^j \tag{1.3}$$

is the Taylor series representation of f in some neighborhood of 0, then $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\infty}$ is a J-Potapov sequence.

(b) If $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\infty}$ is a J-Potapov sequence, then there is a unique $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$ such that (1.3) holds for all w belonging to some neighborhood of 0.

A proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in [26, Theorem 6.2].

Considering the special case $J = I_m$ one can see immediately that Theorem 1.1 is a generalization of a well-known characterization of the Taylor coefficients of matricial Schur functions defined on $\mathbb{D}$ (see, e.g., [16, Theorem 5.1.1]).

The main goal of this paper is to give a description of the solution set of the following interpolation problem for functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$:

Interpolation problem for Potapov functions (P): Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ be a sequence of complex $m \times m$ matrices. Describe the set $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}\left[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^{n}\right]$ of all matrix-valued functions $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\frac{f^{(j)}(0)}{j!} = A_j \tag{1.4}$$

for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0,n}$ where the notation $f^{(j)}$ stands for the j-th derivative of f. In particular, characterize the case that the set $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}\left[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^{n}\right]$ is nonempty.

The following theorem characterizes the situation that the problem (P) has a solution.

Theorem 1.2. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ be a sequence of complex $m \times m$ matrices. Then the set $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}\left[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^{n}\right]$ is nonempty if and only if $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ is a J-Potapov sequence.*

A proof of Theorem 1.2 is given in [26, Theorem 7.2].

We will now give some more notations that will be used throughout this paper. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let the matrix polynomials $e_{n,m} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{m \times (n+1)m}$ and $\varepsilon_{n,m} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{(n+1)m \times m}$ be defined by

$$e_{n,m}(w) := (I_m, wI_m, \ldots, w^n I_m) \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_{n,m}(w) := (\overline{w}^n I_m, \overline{w}^{n-1} I_m, \ldots, I_m)^*. \tag{1.5}$$

In this paper, we will frequently use the notion of the reciprocal matrix polynomial. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, and let b be a $p \times q$ matrix polynomial, i.e., there are an $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and a matrix $B \in \mathbb{C}^{(n+1)p \times q}$ such that $b(w) = e_{n,p}(w)B$ holds for each $w \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the *reciprocal matrix polynomial $\tilde{b}^{[n]}$ of b with respect to the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$ and the formal degree n* is given by $\tilde{b}^{[n]}(w) := B^* \varepsilon_{n,p}(w)$ for each $w \in \mathbb{C}$. If β is the restriction of b onto $\mathbb{D}$ then let $\tilde{\beta}^{[n]}$ be the restriction of $\tilde{b}^{[n]}$ onto $\mathbb{D}$.

Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, and let $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{+\infty\}$. Whenever a sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$ of complex $m \times m$ matrices is given, then the following notations will be used throughout this paper. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\kappa}$, let S_n be given by (1.2), and let

$$P_{n,J} := J_{[n]} - S_n J_{[n]} S_n^* \qquad \text{and} \qquad Q_{n,J} := J_{[n]} - S_n^* J_{[n]} S_n. \tag{1.6}$$

In the case $n \in \mathbb{N}_{1,\kappa}$ we will use the block matrices

$$y_n := (A_1^*, A_2^*, \ldots, A_n^*)^* \qquad \text{and} \qquad z_n := (A_n, A_{n-1}, \ldots, A_1). \tag{1.7}$$

If necessary, we will write $y_n^{(A)}$ (respectively, $z_n^{(A)}$) instead of y_n (respectively, z_n) to indicate the sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$ from which the matrix is built. Moreover, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\kappa}$, we will work with the matrices

$$M_{n+1,J} := \begin{cases} 0_{m\times m}, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ -z_n J_{[n-1]} S_{n-1}^* P_{n-1,J}^+ y_n, & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}_{1,\kappa}, \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

$$L_{n+1,J} := \begin{cases} J - A_0 J A_0^*, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ J - A_0 J A_0^* - z_n Q_{n-1,J}^+ z_n^*, & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}_{1,\kappa} \end{cases} \tag{1.9}$$

and

$$R_{n+1,J} := \begin{cases} J - A_0^* J A_0, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ J - A_0^* J A_0 - y_n^* P_{n-1,J}^+ y_n, & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}_{1,\kappa} \end{cases} \tag{1.10}$$

Observe that if $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$ is a J-Potapov sequence, then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\kappa}$ the matrices $L_{n+1,J}$ and $R_{n+1,J}$ are both nonnegative Hermitian (see [27, Lemma 3.7]).

Let us now recall the notion of a matrix ball. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, and denote by $\mathbb{K}_{p\times q}$ the set of all contractive matrices from $\mathbb{C}^{p\times q}$. Then, for each $M \in \mathbb{C}^{p\times q}$, each $L \in \mathbb{C}^{p\times p}$ and each $R \in \mathbb{C}^{q\times q}$, the set

$$\mathfrak{K}(M; L, R) := \{M + LKR : K \in \mathbb{K}_{p\times q}\}$$

is called the *matrix ball* with *center* M, *left semi-radius* L, and *right semi-radius* R. The theory of matrix and operator balls was developed by Yu.L. Šmuljan [38] (see also [16, Section 1.5]).

In [27, Theorem 3.9] there has been shown the following result which enlightens the inner structure of J-Potapov sequences.

Theorem 1.3. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$ be a sequence of complex $m \times m$ matrices. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$ is a J-Potapov sequence.

(ii) $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ is a J-Potapov sequence and A_{n+1} belongs to the matrix ball

$$\mathfrak{K}\big(M_{n+1,J}; \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}, \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}\,\big).$$

Considering the special choice $J = I_m$ we see that Theorem 1.3 is a generalization of a well-known result for $m \times m$ Schur sequences (see, e.g., [20, Theorem 1] or [16, Theorem 3.5.1]).

The main goal of the present paper is to describe the set of solutions of problem (P). In particular, we will prove the following theorem, which is a generalization of [25, Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 1.4. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ be a J-Potapov sequence. Let the $m \times m$ matrix polynomials $\pi_{n,J}$, $\rho_{n,J}$, $\sigma_{n,J}$, and $\tau_{n,J}$ be given by*

$$\pi_{n,J}(w) := \begin{cases} A_0, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ A_0 + w e_{n-1,m}(w)(I_m + S_{n-1} Q_{n-1,J}^+ S_{n-1}^* J_{[n-1]}) y_n, & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

$$\rho_{n,J}(w) := \begin{cases} I_m, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ I_m + w e_{n-1,m}(w) Q_{n-1,J}^+ S_{n-1}^* J_{[n-1]} y_n, & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma_{n,J}(w) := \begin{cases} A_0, & \text{if } n=0 \\ z_n(J_{[n-1]}S_{n-1}^{*}P_{n-1,J}^{+}S_{n-1}+I_m)w\varepsilon_{n-1,m}(w)+A_0, & \text{if } n\in\mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tau_{n,J}(w) := \begin{cases} I_m, & \text{if } n=0 \\ z_nJ_{[n-1]}S_{n-1}^{*}P_{n-1,J}^{+}w\varepsilon_{n-1,m}(w)+I_m, & \text{if } n\in\mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

for each $w \in \mathbb{C}$. *For every* $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$ *and each* $w \in \mathbb{D}$ *for which the matrix* $wJ\tilde{\sigma}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w)\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}S(w)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}+\rho_{n,J}(w)$ *is nonsingular, let*

$$\begin{aligned} f_S(w) := {} & \big(wJ\tilde{\tau}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w)\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}S(w)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}+\pi_{n,J}(w)\big) \\ & \cdot \big(wJ\tilde{\sigma}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w)\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}S(w)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}+\rho_{n,J}(w)\big)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

Then, for each $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$, *by (1.11) a matrix-valued function* f_S *meromorphic in* $\mathbb{D}$ *is given, and the set* $\mathbb{H}_{f_S}$ *of all* $w \in \mathbb{D}$ *at which* f_S *is holomorphic fulfills*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}_{f_S} &= \big\{w\in\mathbb{D} : \det\big(wJ\tilde{\sigma}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w)\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}S(w)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}+\rho_{n,J}(w)\big)\neq 0\big\} \\ &= \big\{w\in\mathbb{D} : \det\big(w\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}S(w)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+}\tilde{\pi}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w)J+\tau_{n,J}(w)\big)\neq 0\big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, for each $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$ *and each* $w \in \mathbb{H}_{f_S}$, f_S *admits the representation*

$$\begin{aligned} f_S(w) = {} & \big(w\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}S(w)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+}\tilde{\pi}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w)J+\tau_{n,J}(w)\big)^{-1} \\ & \cdot \big(w\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}S(w)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+}\tilde{\rho}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w)J+\sigma_{n,J}(w)\big). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D},(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}] = \{f_S : S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})\}$$

holds true.

2. *J*-central *J*-Potapov functions

A crucial idea in our approach to the interpolation problem (P) consists in comparing possible candidates for solutions with a distinguished solution, namely with the so-called J-central J-Potapov function corresponding to the given J-Potapov sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ be a J-Potapov sequence. Then Theorem 1.3 implies that the sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\infty}$ defined recursively by $A_k := M_{k,J}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{n+1,\infty}$ is a J-Potapov sequence. The sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\infty}$ is said to be *the* J*-central* J*-Potapov sequence corresponding to* $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$. In view of Theorem 1.1, there is a unique $f_{c,n} \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $f_{c,n}(w) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_jw^j$ holds for all w belonging to some neighborhood of 0. The matrix-valued function $f_{c,n}$ is called *the* J*-central* J*-Potapov function corresponding to* $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$. A more detailed study of J-central J-Potapov functions can be found in [28]. The following result complements Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 2.1. *Let* J *be an* $m\times m$ *signature matrix, let* $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, *and let* $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ *be a* J*-Potapov sequence. Then the* J*-central* J*-Potapov function* $f_{c,n}$ *corresponding to* $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ *belongs to* $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D},(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}]$.

PROOF. The assertion is an immediate consequence of the construction of $f_{c,n}$. □

Observe that the concept of J-central J-Potapov sequences and J-central J-Potapov functions is a generalization of the well-known concept of central $m \times m$ Schur sequences and central $m \times m$ Schur functions in $\mathbb{D}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, and let $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$. Let (1.3) be the Taylor series representation of f in some neighborhood of 0. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $f_n \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n]$. Then, for each compact subset K of $\mathbb{H}_f$, there exists a nonnegative integer n_0 such that f_n is holomorphic in K for every integer n with $n \geq n_0$. Moreover,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(w) = f(w)$$

holds for each $w \in \mathbb{H}_f$. This convergence is uniform in each compact subset of $\mathbb{H}_f$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{P}_J := \frac{1}{2}(I+J)$ and $\mathbf{Q}_J := \frac{1}{2}(I-J)$, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. According to [26, Proposition 3.4], we have $\det(\mathbf{Q}_J f(0) + \mathbf{P}_J) \neq 0$ and $\det(\mathbf{Q}_J f_n(0) + \mathbf{P}_J) \neq 0$. Let

$$g := (\mathbf{P}_J f + \mathbf{Q}_J)(\mathbf{Q}_J f + \mathbf{P}_J)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad g_n := (\mathbf{P}_J f_n + \mathbf{Q}_J)(\mathbf{Q}_J f_n + \mathbf{P}_J)^{-1}.$$

Then an application of [26, Proposition 3.4] yields that g and g_n both belong to $\mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$. Let $g(w) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty B_j w^j$ (respectively, $g_n(w) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty B_j^{(n)} w^j$) be the Taylor series representation of g (respectively, of g_n) in $\mathbb{D}$. Then $(B_j)_{j=0}^\infty$ and $(B_j^{(n)})_{j=0}^\infty$ are both $m \times m$ Schur sequences (see, e.g., [16, Theorem 5.1.1.] or Theorem 1.1 with $J = I_m$). In particular, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have $\|B_j\| \leq 1$ and $\|B_j^{(n)}\| \leq 1$. Moreover, from [26, Remark 6.1] we get $B_j = B_j^{(n)}$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0,n}$. Consequently, for each $w \in \mathbb{D}$ we get

$$\|g(w) - g_n(w)\| \leq \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \|B_j - B_j^{(n)}\| |w|^j \leq \frac{2|w|^{n+1}}{1-|w|} \ .$$

Thus, we obtain that $\lim_{n\to\infty} g_n(w) = g(w)$ holds for each $w \in \mathbb{D}$ and that this convergence is uniform in each compact subset of $\mathbb{D}$. From [26, Proposition 3.4] we get

$$\mathbb{H}_f = \{w \in \mathbb{D} \colon \det(\mathbf{Q}_J g(w) + \mathbf{P}_J) \neq 0\}, \quad \mathbb{H}_{f_n} = \{w \in \mathbb{D} \colon \det(\mathbf{Q}_J g_n(w) + \mathbf{P}_J) \neq 0\}, \tag{2.1}$$

as well as

$$f = (\mathbf{P}_J g + \mathbf{Q}_J)(\mathbf{Q}_J g + \mathbf{P}_J)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad f_n = (\mathbf{P}_J g_n + \mathbf{Q}_J)(\mathbf{Q}_J g_n + \mathbf{P}_J)^{-1} \tag{2.2}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let K be a compact subset of $\mathbb{H}_f$. Since g is continuous, the image $g(K)$ of K under g is a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$. Let $\mathcal{Q}_{(\mathbf{Q}_J,\mathbf{P}_J)} := \{X \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m} : \det(\mathbf{Q}_J X + \mathbf{P}_J) \neq 0\}$. In view of (2.1) we have $g(K) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_{(\mathbf{Q}_J,\mathbf{P}_J)}$. Because $\mathcal{Q}_{(\mathbf{Q}_J,\mathbf{P}_J)}$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$, there exists a positive real number η such that

$$g(K) \subseteq g(K) + \mathbf{B}_\eta \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_{(\mathbf{Q}_J,\mathbf{P}_J)} \tag{2.3}$$

holds where $\mathbf{B}_\eta := \{X \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m} : \|X\| \leq \eta\}$. Moreover, $g(K) + \mathbf{B}_\eta$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$. Since the sequence $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ converges uniformly to g in K, there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0,\infty}$ and each $w \in K$ the relation $g_n(w) \in g(w) + \mathbf{B}_\eta$ holds. Hence

$$g_n(K) \subseteq g(K) + \mathbf{B}_\eta \tag{2.4}$$

is valid for each integer n with $n \geq n_0$. Taking into account (2.1), (2.3), and (2.4), we get that f_n is holomorphic in K for every integer n with $n \geq n_0$. Let the map $F : \mathcal{Q}_{(\mathbf{Q}_J,\mathbf{P}_J)} \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ be defined by $X \mapsto (\mathbf{P}_J X + \mathbf{Q}_J)(\mathbf{Q}_J X + \mathbf{P}_J)^{-1}$. Then, in view of (2.4), (2.3), and (2.2) we obtain

$$f(w) = F(g(w)) \qquad \text{and} \qquad f_n(w) = F(g_n(w)) \tag{2.5}$$

for each $w \in K$ and each integer n with $n \geq n_0$. Obviously, F is continuous in $\mathcal{Q}_{(\mathbf{Q}_J,\mathbf{P}_J)}$ and, therefore, uniformly continuous in the compact set $g(K) + \mathbf{B}_\eta$. Consequently, because of (2.3), (2.4), and (2.5), the uniform convergence $g_n \to g$ in K implies that the sequence $(f_n)_{n\geq n_0}$ converges uniformly to f in K. □

In particular we see that each $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$ can be approximated by its associated sequence of J-central J-Potapov functions. This is a generalization of the corresponding well-known result for $m \times m$ Schur functions in $\mathbb{D}$ (see, e.g., [16, Theorem 3.5.3]).

Corollary 2.3. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, and let $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$. Let (1.3) be the Taylor series representation of f in some neighborhood of 0. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $f_{c,n}$ be the J-central J-Potapov function corresponding to $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$. Then, for each compact subset K of $\mathbb{H}_f$, there exists a nonnegative integer n_0 such that $f_{c,n}$ is holomorphic in K for every integer n with $n \geq n_0$. Moreover,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} f_{c,n}(w) = f(w)$$

holds for each $w \in \mathbb{H}_f$. This convergence is uniform in each compact subset of $\mathbb{H}_f$.

Proof. Combine Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2. □

Let us now introduce some notations. Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be a J-Potapov sequence. Then let

$$\mathcal{Y}_{n,J} := \{V \in \mathbb{C}^{nm\times m} : Q_{n-1,J}V = S_{n-1}^* J_{[n-1]} y_n\} \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\mathcal{Z}_{n,J} := \{W \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times nm} : WP_{n-1,J} = z_n J_{[n-1]} S_{n-1}^*\}. \tag{2.7}$$

Remark 2.4. Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be a J-Potapov sequence. Furthermore, let

$$V_n^\square := Q_{n-1,J}^+ S_{n-1}^* J_{[n-1]} y_n \quad \text{and} \quad W_n^\square := z_n J_{[n-1]} S_{n-1}^* P_{n-1,J}^+. \tag{2.8}$$

Then $V_n^\square \in \mathcal{Y}_{n,J}$ and $W_n^\square \in \mathcal{Z}_{n,J}$ hold (see [28, Remark 2.5]).

Henceforth, whenever an $m \times m$ signature matrix J, an $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and some matrix polynomials $\pi_{n,J}$, $\rho_{n,J}$, $\sigma_{n,J}$, $\tau_{n,J}$ (defined on $\mathbb{C}$) are given, then $\pi_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}$ (respectively, $\rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}$, $\sigma_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}$, $\tau_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}$) always stands for the restriction of $\pi_{n,J}$ (respectively, $\rho_{n,J}$, $\sigma_{n,J}$, $\tau_{n,J}$) onto $\mathbb{D}$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be a J-Potapov sequence. Denote by $f_{c,n}$ the J-central J-Potapov function corresponding to $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then let $V_n \in \mathcal{Y}_{n,J}$ and $W_n \in \mathcal{Z}_{n,J}$. Let the $m \times m$ matrix polynomials $\pi_{n,J}$, $\rho_{n,J}$, $\sigma_{n,J}$, and $\tau_{n,J}$ be given by*

$$\pi_{n,J}(w) := \begin{cases} A_0, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ A_0 + we_{n-1,m}(w)(y_n + S_{n-1}V_n), & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

$$\rho_{n,J}(w) := \begin{cases} I_m, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ I_m + we_{n-1,m}(w)V_n, & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

$$\sigma_{n,J}(w) := \begin{cases} A_0, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ (W_nS_{n-1} + z_n)w\varepsilon_{n-1,m}(w) + A_0, & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$\tau_{n,J}(w) := \begin{cases} I_m, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ W_n w\varepsilon_{n-1,m}(w) + I_m, & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

for each $w \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $f_{c,n}$ admits the representations

$$f_{c,n} = \pi_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\, \rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{c,n} = \tau_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}^{-1}\, \sigma_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}. \tag{2.13}$$

A proof of Theorem 2.5 is given in [28, Theorems 2.7 and 2.8].

In the sequel, we will use the following notation. If J is an $m \times m$ signature matrix, if $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and if $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$ is a J-Potapov sequence, then we will work with the sets

$$\mathcal{L}_{n+1,J} := \{t \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m} : L_{n+1,J}t = A_{n+1} - M_{n+1,J}\} \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{n+1,J} := \{u \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m} : uR_{n+1,J} = A_{n+1} - M_{n+1,J}\}. \tag{2.15}$$

Remark 2.6. Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$ be a J-Potapov sequence. Let

$$t_{n+1} := L_{n+1,J}^{+}(A_{n+1} - M_{n+1,J}) \quad \text{and} \quad u_{n+1} := (A_{n+1} - M_{n+1,J})R_{n+1,J}^{+}. \tag{2.16}$$

In view of Theorem 1.3, there is a contractive $m \times m$ matrix K such that the identity $A_{n+1} - M_{n+1,J} = \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}K\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}$ holds. Consequently, $t_{n+1} \in \mathcal{L}_{n+1,J}$ and $u_{n+1} \in \mathcal{R}_{n+1,J}$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n+k}$ be a J-Potapov sequence. If $n \geq 1$, then let $V_n \in \mathcal{Y}_{n,J}$ and $W_n \in \mathcal{Z}_{n,J}$. Furthermore, let $\pi_{n,J}$, $\rho_{n,J}$, $\sigma_{n,J}$, and $\tau_{n,J}$ be the matrix polynomials given by (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), and (2.12). For $s \in \mathbb{N}_{0,k-1}$ let $\pi_{n+s+1,J}$, $\rho_{n+s+1,J}$, $\sigma_{n+s+1,J}$, and $\tau_{n+s+1,J}$ be the matrix polynomials which are recursively defined by*

$$\pi_{n+s+1,J}(w) := \pi_{n+s,J}(w) + wJ\tilde{\tau}_{n+s,J}^{[n+s]}(w)t_{n+s+1}, \tag{2.17}$$

$$\rho_{n+s+1,J}(w) := \rho_{n+s,J}(w) + wJ\tilde{\sigma}_{n+s,J}^{[n+s]}(w)t_{n+s+1}, \tag{2.18}$$

$$\sigma_{n+s+1,J}(w) := \sigma_{n+s,J}(w) + u_{n+s+1}w\tilde{\rho}_{n+s,J}^{[n+s]}(w)J, \tag{2.19}$$

and

$$\tau_{n+s+1,J}(w) := \tau_{n+s,J}(w) + u_{n+s+1}w\tilde{\pi}_{n+s,J}^{[n+s]}(w)J \tag{2.20}$$

for each $w \in \mathbb{C}$, where $t_{n+s+1} := L_{n+s+1,J}^{+}(A_{n+s+1} - M_{n+s+1,J})$ and $u_{n+s+1} := (A_{n+s+1} - M_{n+s+1,J})R_{n+s+1,J}^{+}$. Then, for each $s \in \mathbb{N}_{0,k-1}$, both $\det \rho_{n+s+1,J}$ and $\det \tau_{n+s+1,J}$ do not vanish identically in $\mathbb{D}$, and the J-central J-Potapov function $f_{c,n+s+1}$ corresponding to $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n+s+1}$ admits the representations

$$f_{c,n+s+1} = \pi_{n+s+1,J,\mathbb{D}}\,\rho_{n+s+1,J,\mathbb{D}}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{c,n+s+1} = \tau_{n+s+1,J,\mathbb{D}}^{-1}\,\sigma_{n+s+1,J,\mathbb{D}}.$$

Proof. Apply Remark 2.6, [28, Proposition 3.4, Remark 3.2, and Lemma 3.3], and Theorem 2.5. $\square$

In the following, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ let the map $\mathcal{E}_k : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ be defined by $w \mapsto w^k$.

Corollary 2.8. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ be a J-Potapov sequence. If $n \geq 1$, then let $V_n \in \mathcal{Y}_{n,J}$ and $W_n \in \mathcal{Z}_{n,J}$. Furthermore, let $\pi_{n,J}$, $\rho_{n,J}$, $\sigma_{n,J}$, and $\tau_{n,J}$ be given by (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), and (2.12). Let K be a contractive matrix from $\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ and let*

$$A_{n+1} := M_{n+1,J} + \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}K\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}.$$

Then $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$ is a J-Potapov sequence. Furthermore, each of the functions $\det\bigl(\mathcal{E}_1 J\tilde{\sigma}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}^{[n]}\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}K\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\bigr)$ and $\det\bigl(\mathcal{E}_1\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}K\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+}\tilde{\pi}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}^{[n]}J + \tau_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\bigr)$ does not vanish identically in $\mathbb{D}$. Moreover, the J-central J-Potapov function $f_{c,n+1}$ corresponding to $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$ admits the representations

$$\begin{aligned} f_{c,n+1} = {} & \bigl(\mathcal{E}_1 J\tilde{\tau}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}^{[n]}\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}K\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \pi_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\bigr) \\ & \cdot \bigl(\mathcal{E}_1 J\tilde{\sigma}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}^{[n]}\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}K\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\bigr)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{c,n+1} = {} & \bigl(\mathcal{E}_1\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}K\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+}\tilde{\pi}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}^{[n]}J + \tau_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\bigr)^{-1} \\ & \cdot \bigl(\mathcal{E}_1\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}K\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+}\tilde{\rho}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}^{[n]}J + \sigma_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\bigr). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. According to Theorem 1.3, $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$ is a J-Potapov sequence. Furthermore, from $\rho_{n,J}(0) = I_m$ and $\tau_{n,J}(0) = I_m$ we see that each of the functions $\det\bigl(\mathcal{E}_1 J\tilde{\sigma}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}^{[n]}\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}K\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\bigr)$ and $\det\bigl(\mathcal{E}_1\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}K\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+}\tilde{\pi}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}^{[n]}J + \tau_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\bigr)$ does not vanish identically in $\mathbb{D}$. In view of $L_{n+1,J}^{+}\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}} = \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}$ and $\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}R_{n+1,J}^{+} = \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+}$, the matrices t_{n+1} and u_{n+1} given by (2.16) satisfy $t_{n+1} = \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}K\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}$ and $u_{n+1} = \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}K\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+}$. Thus, an application of Proposition 2.7 (with $s = 0$) completes the proof. $\square$

3. Description of the solution set $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}\left[\mathbb{D},(A_j)_{j=0}^n\right]$

The main goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.4. In fact, the results obtained in this section are even more general than the description of the solution set of problem (P) which is given in Theorem 1.4. This section generalizes the corresponding results for $m \times m$ Schur functions, which were obtained in [25], to the case of J-Potapov functions.

In this section, we will continue to use the notation $\mathcal{E}_k$ introduced above, i.e., for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ let $\mathcal{E}_k : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ be defined by $w \mapsto w^k$.

Remark 3.1. Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be a J-Potapov sequence. If $n \geq 1$, then let $V_n \in \mathcal{Y}_{n,J}$ and $W_n \in \mathcal{Z}_{n,J}$. Furthermore, let $\pi_{n,J}$, $\rho_{n,J}$, $\sigma_{n,J}$, and $\tau_{n,J}$ be given by (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), and (2.12). Let $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$. Then, because of $\rho_{n,J}(0) = I_m$ and $\tau_{n,J}(0) = I_m$, each of the functions $\det\left(\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+} S \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\right)$ and $\det\left(\mathcal{E}_1 \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}} S \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+} \tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} J + \tau_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\right)$ does not vanish identically in $\mathbb{D}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_1$ (resp., $\mathcal{A}_2$) be the set of all zeros of $\det\big(\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+} S \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\big)$ (resp., $\det\left(\mathcal{E}_1 \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}} S \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+} \tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} J + \tau_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\right)$). Setting

$$\begin{aligned} f := {} & \left(\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\tau}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+} S \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \pi_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\right) \\ & \cdot \left(\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+} S \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\right)^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

we see from Corollary 2.8 that

$$\begin{aligned} f(w) = {} & \left(w \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}} S(w) \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+} \tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}(w) J + \tau_{n,J}(w)\right)^{-1} \\ & \cdot \left(w \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}} S(w) \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+} \tilde{\rho}^{[n]}_{n,J}(w) J + \sigma_{n,J}(w)\right) \end{aligned}$$

holds for each $w \in \mathbb{D} \setminus (\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2)$. Since $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$ is a discrete subset of $\mathbb{D}$, this implies

$$\begin{aligned} f = {} & \left(\mathcal{E}_1 \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}} S \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+} \tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} J + \tau_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\right)^{-1} \\ & \cdot \left(\mathcal{E}_1 \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}} S \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+} \tilde{\rho}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} J + \sigma_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

If $n \geq 1$, and if $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{n,J}$, $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{n,J}$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n,J}$, and $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{n,J}$ are further matrix polynomials which can be represented, for each $w \in \mathbb{C}$, via

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_{n,J}(w) = A_0 + w e_{n-1,m}(w)(y_n + S_{n-1}\mathbf{V}_n), \quad \boldsymbol{\rho}_{n,J}(w) = I_m + w e_{n-1,m}(w)\mathbf{V}_n, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n,J}(w) = (\mathbf{W}_n S_{n-1} + z_n) w \varepsilon_{n-1,m}(w) + A_0, \quad \boldsymbol{\tau}_{n,J}(w) = \mathbf{W}_n w \varepsilon_{n-1,m}(w) + I_m \tag{3.4}$$

with some $\mathbf{V}_n \in \mathcal{Y}_{n,J}$ and $\mathbf{W}_n \in \mathcal{Z}_{n,J}$, then Corollary 2.8 and a similar consideration as above provide us

$$\begin{aligned} f = {} & \left(\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+} S \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \boldsymbol{\pi}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\right) \\ & \cdot \left(\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+} S \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \boldsymbol{\rho}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}$ (respectively, $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}$) denotes the restriction of $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{n,J}$ (respectively, $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{n,J}$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n,J}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{n,J}$) onto $\mathbb{D}$.

Now we will show that for every $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$ the matrix-valued function f constructed in Remark 3.1 is a solution of the interpolation problem (P) stated in section 1.

Theorem 3.2. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be a J-Potapov sequence. If $n \geq 1$, then let $V_n \in \mathcal{Y}_{n,J}$ and $W_n \in \mathcal{Z}_{n,J}$. Furthermore, let $\pi_{n,J}$, $\rho_{n,J}$, $\sigma_{n,J}$, and $\tau_{n,J}$ be given by (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), and (2.12). Let $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$, and let the matrix-valued function f be given by (3.1). Then f belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}\left[\mathbb{D},(A_j)_{j=0}^n\right]$ and admits the representation (3.2).*

Proof. In view of Remark 3.1 we see that f is a well-defined matrix-valued function which is meromorphic in $\mathbb{D}$. Moreover, because of $\rho_{n,J}(0) = I_m$ we have $0 \in \mathbb{H}_f$. Let $\mathcal{A}_1$ (respectively, $\mathcal{A}_2$) be the set of all zeros of the function $\det\big(\mathcal{E}_1 J\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}S\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\big)$ (respectively, of the function $\det\big(\mathcal{E}_1\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}S\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+}\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}J + \tau_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\big)$). Then $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$ is a discrete subset of $\mathbb{D}$. Now let $w_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus (\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2)$. Then $K := S(w_0)$ is a contractive $m \times m$ matrix. Consequently, from Corollary 2.8 we obtain that the matrix $f(w_0)$ is J-contractive. By continuity we get that $f(w)$ is J-contractive for all $w \in \mathbb{H}_f$, i.e., we have $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$. Now we prove that f fulfills condition (1.4) for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0,n}$. Let $F_n := \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}S\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}$. Because of $\rho_{n,J}(0) = I_m$ and $\tau_{n,J}(0) = I_m$ we see that

$$h_n := \tau^{-1}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}L_{n+1,J}F_n(\mathcal{E}_1 J\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}F_n + \rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}})^{-1}$$

is a well-defined matrix-valued function which is meromorphic in $\mathbb{D}$ and holomorphic at 0. According to Theorem 2.5, the J-central J-Potapov function $f_{c,n}$ corresponding to $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ admits the representations

$$f_{c,n} = \pi_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\rho^{-1}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad f_{c,n} = \tau^{-1}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\sigma_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}. \tag{3.5}$$

Moreover, [28, Proposition 2.11] yields

$$\tau_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}J\tilde{\tau}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} - \sigma_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}J\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} = \mathcal{E}_n L_{n+1,J}. \tag{3.6}$$

Using (3.1), (3.5), and (3.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} f - f_{c,n} &= \tau^{-1}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}[\tau_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}(\mathcal{E}_1 J\tilde{\tau}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}F_n + \pi_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}) - \sigma_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}(\mathcal{E}_1 J\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}F_n + \rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}})] \\ &\quad \cdot (\mathcal{E}_1 J\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}F_n + \rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}})^{-1} \\ &= \tau^{-1}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}(\mathcal{E}_1\tau_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}J\tilde{\tau}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}F_n - \mathcal{E}_1\sigma_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}J\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}F_n)(\mathcal{E}_1 J\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}F_n + \rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}})^{-1} \\ &= \tau^{-1}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\mathcal{E}_{n+1}L_{n+1,J}F_n(\mathcal{E}_1 J\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}F_n + \rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}})^{-1} = \mathcal{E}_{n+1}h_n. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Let $h_n(w) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} H_j w^j$ be the Taylor series representation of h_n in some neighborhood of 0. Then (3.7) implies $f(w) - f_{c,n}(w) = \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} H_{j-n-1}w^j$ for each w belonging to some neighborhood of 0. Consequently, because of $f_{c,n} \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n]$ and $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$ we obtain $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n]$. Finally, having in mind Remark 3.1 we see that f can be represented via (3.2). □

The following considerations show that the parameter function $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$ in the linear fractional transformations stated in (3.1) and (3.2) is generally not uniquely determined by f.

Remark 3.3. Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be a J-Potapov sequence. If $n \geq 1$, then let $V_n \in \mathcal{Y}_{n,J}$ and $W_n \in \mathcal{Z}_{n,J}$. Furthermore, let $\pi_{n,J}$, $\rho_{n,J}$, $\sigma_{n,J}$, and $\tau_{n,J}$ be given by (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), and (2.12). Let $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$, and let the matrix-valued function f be given by (3.1). Since $L_{n+1,J}L^{+}_{n+1,J}$ and $R^{+}_{n+1,J}R_{n+1,J}$ are orthoprojection matrices, the matrix-valued function $S^{\sharp} := L_{n+1,J}L^{+}_{n+1,J}SR^{+}_{n+1,J}R_{n+1,J}$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$ and satisfies the identity $L_{n+1,J}L^{+}_{n+1,J}S^{\sharp}R^{+}_{n+1,J}R_{n+1,J} = S^{\sharp}$. Moreover, it is readily checked that f admits the representation

$$\begin{aligned} f = \big(&\mathcal{E}_1 J\tilde{\tau}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}S^{\sharp}\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \pi_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\big) \\ &\cdot \big(\mathcal{E}_1 J\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}S^{\sharp}\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\big)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.4. *Let J be an $m\times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be a J-Potapov sequence. If $n \geq 1$, then let $V_n \in \mathcal{Y}_{n,J}$ and $W_n \in \mathcal{Z}_{n,J}$. Furthermore, let $\pi_{n,J}$, $\rho_{n,J}$, $\sigma_{n,J}$, and $\tau_{n,J}$ be given by (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), and (2.12). For $j \in \{1, 2\}$, let $S_j \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$ and let (in view of Remark 3.1) the matrix-valued meromorphic functions f_j and $\tilde{f}_j$ be given by*

$$\begin{aligned} f_j := \big(&\mathcal{E}_1 J\tilde{\tau}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}S_j\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \pi_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\big) \\ &\cdot \big(\mathcal{E}_1 J\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}S_j\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\big)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}_j := {}&\left(\mathcal{E}_1 \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}} S_j \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+} \tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} J + \tau_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\right)^{-1} \\ &\cdot \left(\mathcal{E}_1 \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}} S_j \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+} \tilde{\rho}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} J + \sigma_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

(a) The following statements are equivalent:
   - *(i)* $\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}} S_1 \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} = \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}} S_2 \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}$.
   - *(ii)* $f_1 = f_2$.
   - *(iii)* $\tilde{f}_1 = \tilde{f}_2$.

(b) Let $f_1 = f_2$ *or* $\tilde{f}_1 = \tilde{f}_2$. *If* $S_j = L_{n+1,J} L^{+}_{n+1,J} S_j R^{+}_{n+1,J} R_{n+1,J}$ *holds for each* $j \in \{1, 2\}$, *then* $S_1 = S_2$.

PROOF. The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) is an immediate consequence of Remark 3.1. In view of $\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+} = L^{+}_{n+1,J} \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}$, the implication (i)⇒(ii) is obvious. Now suppose that (ii) is satisfied. From Theorem 2.5 we obtain

$$\tau_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \pi_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} = \sigma_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \tilde{\tau}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} = \tilde{\rho}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}. \tag{3.8}$$

Moreover, [28, Proposition 2.11] provides us (3.6) and

$$\tilde{\rho}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} J \rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} - \tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} J \pi_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} = \mathcal{E}_n R_{n+1,J}. \tag{3.9}$$

By succinct setting $X_1 := \mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+} S_1 \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}$ and $X_2 := \mathcal{E}_1 \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}} S_2 \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+} \tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} J + \tau_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}$, from (ii), Remark 3.1, (3.6), and (3.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f_1 - f_2 = f_1 - \tilde{f}_2 \\ &= X_2^{-1} \Big[X_2 \Big(\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\tau}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+} S_1 \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \pi_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \Big) \\ &\qquad - \Big(\mathcal{E}_1 \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}} S_2 \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+} \tilde{\rho}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} J + \sigma_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \Big) X_1 \Big] X_1^{-1} \\ &= X_2^{-1} \Big[\mathcal{E}_2 \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}} S_2 \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+} (\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \tilde{\tau}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} - \tilde{\rho}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}) \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+} S_1 \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} \\ &\qquad + \mathcal{E}_1 (\tau_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} J \tilde{\tau}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} - \sigma_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} J \tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}) \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+} S_1 \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} \\ &\qquad + \mathcal{E}_1 \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}} S_2 \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+} (\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} J \pi_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} - \tilde{\rho}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} J \rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}) \\ &\qquad + \tau_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \pi_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} - \sigma_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \Big] X_1^{-1} \\ &= \mathcal{E}_{n+1} X_2^{-1} \Big(\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}} S_1 \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} - \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}} S_2 \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} \Big) X_1^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, (i) is fulfilled. Hence part (a) is verified. Now suppose that $f_1 = f_2$ or $\tilde{f}_1 = \tilde{f}_2$ is satisfied. In view of (a) then (i) holds. Thus, using the identities $L_{n+1,J} L^{+}_{n+1,J} = \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+} \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}$ and $R^{+}_{n+1,J} R_{n+1,J} = \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+}$ we obtain (b). □

Now we are going to prove an inverse statement to Theorem 3.2, i.e., we will show that any function f belonging to $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n]$ can be represented via (3.1) and (3.2) with some $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m \times m}(\mathbb{D})$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let* J *be an* $m \times m$ *signature matrix, let* $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, *and let* $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ *be a* J*-Potapov sequence. If* $n \geq 1$, *then let* $V_n \in \mathcal{Y}_{n,J}$ *and* $W_n \in \mathcal{Z}_{n,J}$. *Furthermore, let* $\pi_{n,J}$, $\rho_{n,J}$, $\sigma_{n,J}$, *and* $\tau_{n,J}$ *be given by (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), and (2.12). If* $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}\left[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n\right]$, *then there exists an* $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m \times m}(\mathbb{D})$ *such that* f *admits the representations*

$$\begin{aligned} f = {}&\left(\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\tau}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+} S \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \pi_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\right) \\ &\cdot \left(\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+} S \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\right)^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

and (3.2).

Proof. In view of $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}\left[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n\right]$, let $(A_j)_{j=n+1}^\infty$ be the sequence of complex $m \times m$ matrices such that (1.3) is satisfied for each w belonging to some neighborhood of 0. According to Theorem 1.1, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^k$ is a J-Potapov sequence. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $f_{c,k}$ be the J-central J-Potapov function corresponding to $(A_j)_{j=0}^k$. Then Theorem 2.5 yields that $f_{c,n}$ admits the representation $f_{c,n} = \pi_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}^{-1}$. For each $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let the matrix polynomials $\pi_{n+s+1,J}$, $\rho_{n+s+1,J}$, $\sigma_{n+s+1,J}$, and $\tau_{n+s+1,J}$ be recursively defined by (2.17), (2.18), (2.19), and (2.20) where $t_{n+s+1} := L_{n+s+1,J}^+(A_{n+s+1} - M_{n+s+1,J})$ and $u_{n+s+1} := (A_{n+s+1} - M_{n+s+1,J})R_{n+s+1,J}^+$. From (2.10), (2.12), (2.18), and (2.20) we see that, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the identities $\rho_{n+j,J}(0) = I_m$ and $\tau_{n+j,J}(0) = I_m$ hold. Thus, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and each $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$, the function

$$\det\left(\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\sigma}_{n+j,J,\mathbb{D}}^{[n+j]} \sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}}^+ S \sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}} + \rho_{n+j,J,\mathbb{D}}\right)$$

does not vanish identically in $\mathbb{D}$. We are now going to prove that, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there is an $S_{jk} \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$f_{c,n+j+k} = (\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\tau}_{n+j,J,\mathbb{D}}^{[n+j]} F_{jk} + \pi_{n+j,J,\mathbb{D}})(\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\sigma}_{n+j,J,\mathbb{D}}^{[n+j]} F_{jk} + \rho_{n+j,J,\mathbb{D}})^{-1} \tag{3.11}$$

where $F_{jk} := \sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}}^+ S_{jk}\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}$. In the case $k = 0$ we choose the constant $m \times m$ Schur function S_{j0} defined on $\mathbb{D}$ with value $0_{m\times m}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then Theorem 2.5 and Proposition 2.7 yield (3.11). Hence, there exists a $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\kappa}$, there is a sequence $(S_{\ell k})_{\ell=0}^\infty$ of $m \times m$ Schur functions defined on $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying

$$f_{c,n+\ell+k} = (\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\tau}_{n+\ell,J,\mathbb{D}}^{[n+\ell]} F_{\ell k} + \pi_{n+\ell,J,\mathbb{D}})(\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\sigma}_{n+\ell,J,\mathbb{D}}^{[n+\ell]} F_{\ell k} + \rho_{n+\ell,J,\mathbb{D}})^{-1} \tag{3.12}$$

for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ where $F_{\ell k} := \sqrt{L_{n+\ell+1,J}}^+ S_{\ell k}\sqrt{R_{n+\ell+1,J}}$. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. According to [27, Proposition 4.1], the matrix

$$K_{n+j+1,J} := \sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}}^+ (A_{n+j+1} - M_{n+j+1,J})\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^+ \tag{3.13}$$

is contractive and fulfills $A_{n+j+1} - M_{n+j+1,J} = \sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}}K_{n+j+1,J}\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}$. Consequently,

$$\sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}}^+ K_{n+j+1,J}\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}} = L_{n+j+1,J}^+(A_{n+j+1} - M_{n+j+1,J}) = t_{n+j+1} \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$\sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}}K_{n+j+1,J}\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^+ = (A_{n+j+1} - M_{n+j+1,J})R_{n+j+1,J}^+ = u_{n+j+1} \tag{3.15}$$

hold. Furthermore, the matrix-valued functions

$$\Theta_{j,\kappa+1} := \sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}}\sqrt{L_{n+j+2,J}}^+ S_{j+1,\kappa}\sqrt{R_{n+j+2,J}}\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^+, \tag{3.16}$$

$$\Phi_{j,\kappa+1} := K_{n+j+1,J} + \mathcal{E}_1\Theta_{j,\kappa+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_{j,\kappa+1} := I_m + \mathcal{E}_1 K_{n+j+1,J}^*\Theta_{j,\kappa+1} \tag{3.17}$$

are holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}$. Obviously, $\det \Psi_{j,\kappa+1}$ does not vanish identically in $\mathbb{D}$. Thus, $S_{j,\kappa+1} := \Phi_{j,\kappa+1}\Psi_{j,\kappa+1}^{-1}$ is a well-defined matrix-valued function which is meromorphic in $\mathbb{D}$. Further,

$$\begin{aligned}&[\Psi_{j,\kappa+1}(z)]^*\Psi_{j,\kappa+1}(z) - [\Phi_{j,\kappa+1}(z)]^*\Phi_{j,\kappa+1}(z)\\ &= I_m - K_{n+j+1,J}^* K_{n+j+1,J} - |z|^2[\Theta_{j,\kappa+1}(z)]^*(I_m - K_{n+j+1,J}K_{n+j+1,J}^*)\Theta_{j,\kappa+1}(z)\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

holds for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$. According to [27, Proposition 4.1], we have

$$\sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}}(I_m - K_{n+j+1,J}K_{n+j+1,J}^*)\sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}} = L_{n+j+2,J} \tag{3.19}$$

and

$$\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}(I_m - K_{n+j+1,J}^* K_{n+j+1,J})\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}} = R_{n+j+2,J}. \tag{3.20}$$

In view of (3.16) and $\sqrt{L_{n+j+2,J}}^{+} L_{n+j+2,J} \sqrt{L_{n+j+2,J}}^{+} = L_{n+j+2,J} L_{n+j+2,J}^{+}$, equation (3.19) implies

$$\begin{aligned}&[\Theta_{j,\kappa+1}(z)]^*(I_m - K_{n+j+1,J}K_{n+j+1,J}^*)\Theta_{j,\kappa+1}(z)\\ &= \sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^{+}\sqrt{R_{n+j+2,J}}[S_{j+1,\kappa}(z)]^* L_{n+j+2,J}L_{n+j+2,J}^{+} S_{j+1,\kappa}(z)\sqrt{R_{n+j+2,J}}\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^{+}\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Moreover, from (3.13) and (3.20) we get

$$\begin{aligned}&I_m - K_{n+j+1,J}^* K_{n+j+1,J}\\ &= I_m - \sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^{+}\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}\\ &\quad + \sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^{+}\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}(I_m - K_{n+j+1,J}^* K_{n+j+1,J})\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^{+}\\ &= I_m - \sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^{+}\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}} + \sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^{+} R_{n+j+2,J}\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^{+}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Since $S_{j+1,\kappa}$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$ and $L_{n+j+2,J}L_{n+j+2,J}^{+}$ is an orthoprojection matrix, we see that the matrix

$$X(z) := I_m - |z|^2 [S_{j+1,\kappa}(z)]^* L_{n+j+2,J}L_{n+j+2,J}^{+} S_{j+1,\kappa}(z)$$

is nonnegative Hermitian for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Taking into account (3.18), (3.21), and (3.22) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&[\Psi_{j,\kappa+1}(z)]^*\Psi_{j,\kappa+1}(z) - [\Phi_{j,\kappa+1}(z)]^*\Phi_{j,\kappa+1}(z)\\ &= I_m - \sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^{+}\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}} + \sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^{+}\sqrt{R_{n+j+2,J}}X(z)\sqrt{R_{n+j+2,J}}\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^{+}\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$. The right-hand side of (3.23) is nonnegative Hermitian for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the set of all zeros of $\det \Psi_{j,\kappa+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&I_m - [S_{j,\kappa+1}(z)]^* S_{j,\kappa+1}(z)\\ &= [\Psi_{j,\kappa+1}(z)]^{-*}\Big([\Psi_{j,\kappa+1}(z)]^*\Psi_{j,\kappa+1}(z) - [\Phi_{j,\kappa+1}(z)]^*\Phi_{j,\kappa+1}(z)\Big)[\Psi_{j,\kappa+1}(z)]^{-1}\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

holds for all $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \mathcal{A}$. Consequently, $S_{j,\kappa+1}$ is both holomorphic and contractive in $\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is a discrete subset of $\mathbb{D}$, Riemann's theorem on removable singularities of bounded holomorphic functions yields $S_{j,\kappa+1} \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$. Furthermore, from (3.19) we obtain in particular $\mathcal{R}\left(\sqrt{L_{n+j+2,J}}^{+}\right) = \mathcal{R}(L_{n+j+2,J}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}\left(\sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}}\right)$ and, consequently, $\sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}}^{+}\sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}}\sqrt{L_{n+j+2,J}}^{+} = \sqrt{L_{n+j+2,J}}^{+}$. Similarly, (3.20) implies $\sqrt{R_{n+j+2,J}}\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^{+}\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}} = \sqrt{R_{n+j+2,J}}$. Hence, by using the setting $F_{j+1,\kappa} := \sqrt{L_{n+j+2,J}}^{+} S_{j+1,\kappa}\sqrt{R_{n+j+2,J}}$ we get

$$\sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}}^{+}\sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}}F_{j+1,\kappa}\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^{+}\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}} = F_{j+1,\kappa}. \tag{3.25}$$

From (3.17) and (3.16) we see that

$$\det\left(I_m + zK_{n+j+1,J}^*\sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}}F_{j+1,\kappa}(z)\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^{+}\right) \neq 0$$

holds for each $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \mathcal{A}$. Taking into account (3.13) and (3.25), from [25, Remark 3.5] we get therefore

$$\det\left(I_m + z\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^{+}K_{n+j+1,J}^*\sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}}F_{j+1,\kappa}(z)\right) \neq 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(I_m + zK_{n+j+1,J}^*\sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}}F_{j+1,\kappa}(z)\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^{+}\right)^{-1}\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}\\ &= \sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}\left(I_m + z\sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^{+}K_{n+j+1,J}^*\sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}}F_{j+1,\kappa}(z)\right)^{-1}\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

for each $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \mathcal{A}$. Let $F_{j,\kappa+1} := \sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}}^{+} S_{j,\kappa+1} \sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}$. Taking into account (3.17), (3.16), (3.26), (3.25), (3.14), and (3.15) we obtain for each $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \mathcal{A}$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{j,\kappa+1}(z) &= \sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}}^{+} \left(K_{n+j+1,J} + z \sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}} F_{j+1,\kappa}(z) \sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^{+} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \left(I_m + z K^*_{n+j+1,J} \sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}} F_{j+1,\kappa}(z) \sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^{+} \right)^{-1} \sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}} \\
&= \sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}}^{+} \left(K_{n+j+1,J} + z \sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}} F_{j+1,\kappa}(z) \sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^{+} \right) \sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}} \\
&\quad \cdot \left(I_m + z \sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}^{+} K^*_{n+j+1,J} \sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}} F_{j+1,\kappa}(z) \right)^{-1} \\
&= (t_{n+j+1} + z F_{j+1,\kappa}(z))(I_m + z u^*_{n+j+1} F_{j+1,\kappa}(z))^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{A}$ is a discrete subset of $\mathbb{D}$, this implies

$$F_{j,\kappa+1} = (t_{n+j+1} + \mathcal{E}_1 F_{j+1,\kappa})(I_m + \mathcal{E}_1 u^*_{n+j+1} F_{j+1,\kappa})^{-1}. \tag{3.27}$$

Moreover, from (2.20) and (2.19) the equations

$$\tilde{\tau}^{[n+j+1]}_{n+j+1,J,\mathbb{D}} = \mathcal{E}_1 \tilde{\tau}^{[n+j]}_{n+j,J,\mathbb{D}} + J \pi_{n+j,J,\mathbb{D}} u^*_{n+j+1}$$

and

$$\tilde{\sigma}^{[n+j+1]}_{n+j+1,J,\mathbb{D}} = \mathcal{E}_1 \tilde{\sigma}^{[n+j]}_{n+j,J,\mathbb{D}} + J \rho_{n+j,J,\mathbb{D}} u^*_{n+j+1}$$

follow. Hence, by succinct setting $Y := I_m + \mathcal{E}_1 u^*_{n+j+1} F_{j+1,\kappa}$ from (3.27), (2.17), and (2.18) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\tau}^{[n+j]}_{n+j,J,\mathbb{D}} F_{j,\kappa+1} + \pi_{n+j,J,\mathbb{D}} \\
&= [\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\tau}^{[n+j]}_{n+j,J,\mathbb{D}} (t_{n+j+1} + \mathcal{E}_1 F_{j+1,\kappa}) + \pi_{n+j,J,\mathbb{D}} (I_m + \mathcal{E}_1 u^*_{n+j+1} F_{j+1,\kappa})] Y^{-1} \\
&= (\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\tau}^{[n+j+1]}_{n+j+1,J,\mathbb{D}} F_{j+1,\kappa} + \pi_{n+j+1,J,\mathbb{D}}) Y^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\sigma}^{[n+j]}_{n+j,J,\mathbb{D}} F_{j,\kappa+1} + \rho_{n+j,J,\mathbb{D}} \\
&= [\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\sigma}^{[n+j]}_{n+j,J,\mathbb{D}} (t_{n+j+1} + \mathcal{E}_1 F_{j+1,\kappa}) + \rho_{n+j,J,\mathbb{D}} (I_m + \mathcal{E}_1 u^*_{n+j+1} F_{j+1,\kappa})] Y^{-1} \\
&= (\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\sigma}^{[n+j+1]}_{n+j+1,J,\mathbb{D}} F_{j+1,\kappa} + \rho_{n+j+1,J,\mathbb{D}}) Y^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, an application of (3.12) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_{c,n+j+(\kappa+1)} = f_{c,n+(j+1)+\kappa} \\
&= (\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\tau}^{[n+j+1]}_{n+j+1,J,\mathbb{D}} F_{j+1,k} + \pi_{n+j+1,J,\mathbb{D}})(\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\sigma}^{[n+j+1]}_{n+j+1,J,\mathbb{D}} F_{j+1,k} + \rho_{n+j+1,J,\mathbb{D}})^{-1} \\
&= (\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\tau}^{[n+j]}_{n+j,J,\mathbb{D}} F_{j,\kappa+1} + \pi_{n+j,J,\mathbb{D}})(\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\sigma}^{[n+j]}_{n+j,J,\mathbb{D}} F_{j,\kappa+1} + \rho_{n+j,J,\mathbb{D}})^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have shown by induction that for all $j, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there is an $S_{jk} \in \mathcal{S}_{m \times m}(\mathbb{D})$ such that (3.11) is satisfied where $F_{jk} := \sqrt{L_{n+j+1,J}}^{+} S_{jk} \sqrt{R_{n+j+1,J}}$. The matricial version of Montel's theorem yields that there are an $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m \times m}(\mathbb{D})$ and a subsequence $(S_{0k_r})_{r=0}^{\infty}$ of $(S_{0k})_{k=0}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} S_{0k_r}(w) = S(w) \tag{3.28}$$

holds for each $w \in \mathbb{D}$. Denote by $\mathcal{A}_0$ the set of all zeros of the function $\det(\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}} \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+} S \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}})$. Then (3.28) and a continuity argument yield that, for each $w \in \mathbb{H}_f \setminus \mathcal{A}_0$, there is an $r_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\det \left(w J \tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J}(w) \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+} S_{0k_r}(w) \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \rho_{n,J}(w) \right) \neq 0$$

holds for each $r \in \mathbb{N}_{r_0,\infty}$. Thus, using (3.11), (3.28), and Corollary 2.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(w) = &\left(wJ\tilde{\tau}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w)\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}S(w)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \pi_{n,J}(w)\right) \\ &\cdot \left(wJ\tilde{\sigma}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w)\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}S(w)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \rho_{n,J}(w)\right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

for each $w \in \mathbb{H}_f \setminus \mathcal{A}_0$ and hence (3.10). Finally, in view of (3.10) and Remark 3.1, f can be represented via (3.2). □

Remark 3.6. Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be a J-Potapov sequence. Denote by $\mathcal{S}_{m\times m}^{\sharp}(\mathbb{D})$ the set of all $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$ satisfying $L_{n+1,J}L_{n+1,J}^{+}SR_{n+1,J}^{+}R_{n+1,J} = S$. Then a combination of Theorem 3.2, Remark 3.3, part (b) of Proposition 3.4, and Theorem 3.5 shows that there is a bijective correspondence between the sets $\mathcal{S}_{m\times m}^{\sharp}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n]$.

Theorems 3.2 and 3.5 give a complete description of the solution set of problem (P) via some kind of linear fractional transformation which is given by (3.1) (respectively, (3.2)). A closer look at these two theorems shows that there is still some freedom in the construction of the matrix polynomials from which the linear fractional transformation is built. This freedom consists in the special choice of $V_n \in \mathcal{Y}_{n,J}$ and $W_n \in \mathcal{Z}_{n,J}$. Now the question arises whether we can find suitable matrix polynomials $\pi_{n,J}$, $\rho_{n,J}$, $\sigma_{n,J}$, and $\tau_{n,J}$ such that, for each $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$, the zeros of the determinant of the "denominator function" in the representation (3.1) (respectively, (3.2)) of the J-Potapov function f are exactly the poles of f. The following considerations give some answer to this question.

Henceforth we will use the following notation. Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be a J-Potapov sequence. Then we will write $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{n,J}$ to denote the set of all $V_n \in \mathcal{Y}_{n,J}$ for which the matrix polynomials $\pi_{n,J}$ and $\rho_{n,J}$ defined by (2.9) and (2.10) satisfy the condition

$$\mathcal{N}(\rho_{n,J}(w)) \cap \mathcal{N}(\pi_{n,J}(w)) = \{0_{m\times 1}\} \tag{3.29}$$

for all $w \in \mathbb{D}$. Similarly, $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{n,J}$ denotes the set of all $W_n \in \mathcal{Z}_{n,J}$ for which the matrix polynomials $\sigma_{n,J}$ and $\tau_{n,J}$ defined by (2.11) and (2.12) fulfill

$$\mathcal{N}([\tau_{n,J}(w)]^*) \cap \mathcal{N}([\sigma_{n,J}(w)]^*) = \{0_{m\times 1}\} \tag{3.30}$$

for all $w \in \mathbb{D}$.

Remark 3.7. Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be a J-Potapov sequence. Let $V_n^{\square}$ and $W_n^{\square}$ be given by (2.8). Then according to [28, Lemmata 2.16 and 2.17] we have $V_n^{\square} \in \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{n,J}$ and $W_n^{\square} \in \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{n,J}$.

Proposition 3.8. *Let J be an $m\times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be a J-Potapov sequence. If $n \geq 1$, then let $V_n \in \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{n,J}$ and $W_n \in \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{n,J}$. Let the matrix polynomials $\pi_{n,J}$, $\rho_{n,J}$, $\sigma_{n,J}$, and $\tau_{n,J}$ be given by (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), and (2.12). Let $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$, and let the matrix-valued function f be given by (3.1). Then*

$$\mathbb{H}_f = \left\{w \in \mathbb{D} : \det\left(wJ\tilde{\sigma}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w)\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}S(w)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \rho_{n,J}(w)\right) \neq 0\right\} \tag{3.31}$$

and

$$\mathbb{H}_f = \left\{w \in \mathbb{D} : \det\left(w\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}S(w)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+}\tilde{\pi}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w)J + \tau_{n,J}(w)\right) \neq 0\right\} \tag{3.32}$$

hold true, and f admits for each $w \in \mathbb{H}_f$ the representations

$$\begin{aligned} f(w) = &\left(wJ\tilde{\tau}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w)\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}S(w)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \pi_{n,J}(w)\right) \\ &\cdot \left(wJ\tilde{\sigma}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w)\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}S(w)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \rho_{n,J}(w)\right)^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f(w) = &\left(w\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}S(w)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+}\tilde{\pi}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w)J + \tau_{n,J}(w)\right)^{-1} \\ &\cdot \left(w\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}S(w)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+}\tilde{\rho}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w)J + \sigma_{n,J}(w)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Proof. In view of (3.1) the inclusion

$$\left\{w \in \mathbb{D} : \det\left(wJ\tilde{\sigma}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w)\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}S(w)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \rho_{n,J}(w)\right) \neq 0\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{H}_f$$

is obvious. Now let $w_0 \in \mathbb{H}_f$. From (3.1) then

$$\begin{aligned} &w_0 J\tilde{\tau}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w_0)\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}S(w_0)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \pi_{n,J}(w_0) \\ &= f(w_0)\Big(w_0 J\tilde{\sigma}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w_0)\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}S(w_0)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \rho_{n,J}(w_0)\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

follows. Further, $K := S(w_0)$ is a contractive $m \times m$ matrix. Let $A_{n+1} := M_{n+1,J} + \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}K\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}$. According to Theorem 1.3, $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$ is a J-Potapov sequence. Let t_{n+1} be given by (2.16), and for each $w \in \mathbb{C}$ let the matrix polynomials $\pi_{n+1,J}$ and $\rho_{n+1,J}$ be defined by (2.17) and (2.18) (with $s = 0$). Because of $t_{n+1} = L_{n+1,J}^{+}\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}K\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} = \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}S(w_0)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}$ from (3.35) we get $\pi_{n+1,J}(w_0) = f(w_0)\rho_{n+1,J}(w_0)$ and consequently

$$\mathcal{N}(\rho_{n+1,J}(w_0)) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\pi_{n+1,J}(w_0)). \tag{3.36}$$

In the case $n \geq 1$, because of $V_n \in \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{n,J}$ condition (3.29) is satisfied for each $w \in \mathbb{D}$. If $n = 0$ then (3.29) obviously holds true for all $w \in \mathbb{D}$. According to [28, Proposition 3.6], this implies $\mathcal{N}(\rho_{n+1,J}(w)) \cap \mathcal{N}(\pi_{n+1,J}(w)) = \{0_{m\times 1}\}$ for each $w \in \mathbb{D}$. In view of (3.36) we get therefore

$$\det\Big(w_0 J\tilde{\sigma}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w_0)\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+}S(w_0)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \rho_{n,J}(w_0)\Big) = \det(\rho_{n+1,J}(w_0)) \neq 0.$$

Hence (3.31) is verified. Having in mind Remark 3.1 we see that f admits the representation (3.2). Thus, equation (3.32) can be checked analogously to (3.31). Furthermore, from (3.1), (3.2), (3.31), and (3.32) it follows immediately that f can be represented via (3.33) and (3.34) for each $w \in \mathbb{H}_f$. □

Now we are able to prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Use Theorems 3.2 and 3.5 as well as Remark 3.7 and Proposition 3.8. □

Theorems 3.2 and 3.5 as well as Proposition 3.8 should be regarded in connection to [28, Section 3]. As it was mentioned above, there is some freedom in the construction of the matrix polynomials $\pi_{n,J}$, $\rho_{n,J}$, $\sigma_{n,J}$, and $\tau_{n,J}$ occuring in the representations (3.1) and (3.2) of the functions belonging to $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^{n}]$. Section 3 in [28] points out a possibility for a recursive construction of such matrix polynomials that fulfill the requirements of Theorems 3.2 and 3.5 (and even of Proposition 3.8). More precisely, we can state the following.

Remark 3.9. Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ be a J-Potapov sequence. Further, let

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_{0,J}(w) := A_0, \quad \boldsymbol{\rho}_{0,J} := I_m, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{0,J}(w) := A_0, \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\tau}_{0,J}(w) := I_m$$

for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$. If $n \geq 1$, then let, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0,n-1}$ and each $w \in \mathbb{C}$, the matrix polynomials $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{k+1,J}$, $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{k+1,J}$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{k+1,J}$, and $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{k+1,J}$ be defined recursively by

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_{k+1,J}(w) := \boldsymbol{\pi}_{k,J}(w) + wJ\tilde{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_{k,J}^{[k]}(w)t_{k+1}, \quad \boldsymbol{\rho}_{k+1,J}(w) := \boldsymbol{\rho}_{k,J}(w) + wJ\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{k,J}^{[k]}(w)t_{k+1},$$

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{k+1,J}(w) := \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{k,J}(w) + u_{k+1}w\tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{k,J}^{[k]}(w)J, \quad \boldsymbol{\tau}_{k+1,J}(w) := \boldsymbol{\tau}_{k,J}(w) + u_{k+1}w\tilde{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{k,J}^{[k]}(w)J$$

where t_{k+1} and u_{k+1} are given by (2.16). Then, in the case $n \geq 1$, there exist some $\mathbf{V}_n \in \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{n,J}$ and $\mathbf{W}_n \in \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{n,J}$ such that (3.3) and (3.4) hold for each $w \in \mathbb{C}$ (see [28, Remark 4.2 and Proposition 3.6]). However, observe that in general the matrix polynomials $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{n,J}$, $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{n,J}$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n,J}$, and $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{n,J}$ constructed in this way do not coincide with $\pi_{n,J}$, $\rho_{n,J}$, $\sigma_{n,J}$, and $\tau_{n,J}$, respectively, given in Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 3.10. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ be a J-Potapov sequence. Further, let the matrix polynomials $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{n,J}$, $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{n,J}$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n,J}$, and $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{n,J}$ be recursively defined as in Remark 3.9. Then, for each $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$,*

$$\begin{aligned} f_S :=& \left(\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}^{[n]} \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+} S \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \boldsymbol{\pi}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\right) \\ &\cdot \left(\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}^{[n]} \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+} S \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \boldsymbol{\rho}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

is a (well-defined) matrix-valued function meromorphic in $\mathbb{D}$, and the set $\mathbb{H}_{f_S}$ of all $w \in \mathbb{D}$ at which f_S is holomorphic fulfills

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}_{f_S} &= \left\{w \in \mathbb{D} : \det\left(wJ\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w)\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+} S(w)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \boldsymbol{\rho}_{n,J}(w)\right) \neq 0\right\} \\ &= \left\{w \in \mathbb{D} : \det\left(w\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}S(w)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w)J + \boldsymbol{\tau}_{n,J}(w)\right) \neq 0\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

Further, for each $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$, f_S admits the representations

$$\begin{aligned} f_S =& \left(\mathcal{E}_1 \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}} S \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}^{[n]} J + \boldsymbol{\tau}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\right)^{-1} \\ &\cdot \left(\mathcal{E}_1 \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}} S \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{+} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}^{[n]} J + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_S =& \left(\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\tau}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}^{[n]} \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+} S \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \pi_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\right) \\ &\cdot \left(\mathcal{E}_1 J \tilde{\sigma}_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}^{[n]} \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{+} S \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} + \rho_{n,J,\mathbb{D}}\right)^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

where $\pi_{n,J}$, $\rho_{n,J}$, $\sigma_{n,J}$, and $\tau_{n,J}$ are the matrix polynomials given in Theorem 1.4. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^{n}] = \{f_S : S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})\} \tag{3.40}$$

holds true.

Proof. In the case $n = 0$ the assertion is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.4. Now suppose $n \geq 1$. Then Remark 3.9 shows that there exist some $\mathbf{V}_n \in \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{n,J}$ and $\mathbf{W}_n \in \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{n,J}$ such that (3.3) and (3.4) hold for each $w \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus, applying Theorems 3.2 and 3.5 and Proposition 3.8 we obtain (3.37), (3.38), and (3.40). Finally, Remarks 2.4 and 3.1 yield (3.39). □

4. The case of a unique solution

In this section we study the case of a given J-Potapov sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ for which the J-central J-Potapov function corresponding to $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ is the unique J-Potapov function fulfilling (1.4) for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0,n}$. This section generalizes the corresponding results obtained in [25, Section 7] where the case of matricial Schur functions is treated.

Lemma 4.1. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ be a J-Potapov sequence. Further, let $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\infty}$ be the J-central J-Potapov sequence corresponding to $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- *(i) There is a unique $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$ such that (1.4) is fulfilled for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0,n}$ (namely the J-central J-Potapov function $f = f_{c,n}$ corresponding to $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$).*
- *(ii) For each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{n+1,\infty}$, the identities $L_{k,J} = 0_{m\times m}$ and $R_{k,J} = 0_{m\times m}$ are satisfied.*
- *(iii) $L_{n+1,J} = 0_{m\times m}$ or $R_{n+1,J} = 0_{m\times m}$.*

Proof. The equivalence of (i) and (ii) follows easily from Theorem 1.2 and [27, Proposition 3.12], whereas the equivalence of (ii) and (iii) is an immediate consequence of [27, Proposition 3.12 and Proposition 5.5]. □

Proposition 4.2. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ be a J-Potapov sequence. If $n \geq 1$, then let $V_n \in \mathcal{Y}_{n,J}$ and $W_n \in \mathcal{Z}_{n,J}$. Furthermore, let the matrix polynomials $\pi_{n,J}$, $\rho_{n,J}$, $\sigma_{n,J}$, and $\tau_{n,J}$ be given by (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), and (2.12). Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- *(i) There is a unique $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$ such that (1.4) is fulfilled for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0,n}$.*
- *(ii) The identities $\tilde{\rho}^{[n]}_{n,J} J \rho_{n,J} = \tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J} J \pi_{n,J}$ and $\tau_{n,J} J \tilde{\tau}^{[n]}_{n,J} = \sigma_{n,J} J \tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J}$ hold.*
- *(iii) For each $z \in \mathbb{T}$, the identities $[\rho_{n,J}(z)]^* J \rho_{n,J}(z) = [\pi_{n,J}(z)]^* J \pi_{n,J}(z)$ and $\tau_{n,J}(z) J [\tau_{n,J}(z)]^* = \sigma_{n,J}(z) J [\sigma_{n,J}(z)]^*$ are satisfied.*
- *(iv) There is some $z \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $[\rho_{n,J}(z)]^* J \rho_{n,J}(z) = [\pi_{n,J}(z)]^* J \pi_{n,J}(z)$ or $\tau_{n,J}(z) J [\tau_{n,J}(z)]^* = \sigma_{n,J}(z) J [\sigma_{n,J}(z)]^*$ is fulfilled.*

PROOF. The assertion is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.1 and [28, Proposition 2.11]. □

In the sequel, if β is some matrix polynomial of quadratic size, then we will use the notation $\mathcal{N}_\beta := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : \det \beta(w) = 0\}$.

Remark 4.3. Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ be a J-Potapov sequence. If $n \geq 1$, then let $V_n \in \mathcal{Y}_{n,J}$ and $W_n \in \mathcal{Z}_{n,J}$. Furthermore, let the matrix polynomials $\rho_{n,J}$ and $\tau_{n,J}$ be given by (2.10) and (2.12), respectively. Then one can easily see that each of the sets $\mathcal{N}_{\rho_{n,J}}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\tau_{n,J}}$ consists of at most nm elements. Moreover, taking into account [16, Lemma 1.2.2], it follows that each of the sets $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\rho}^{[n]}_{n,J}}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\tau}^{[n]}_{n,J}}$ also consists of at most nm elements.

In view of Theorem 2.5 and Remark 4.3 there exists a unique rational extension $f^{\diamondsuit}_{c,n}$ of the J-central J-Potapov function $f_{c,n}$ to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_r\}$ for some complex numbers $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_r$ with $r \leq nm$.

Recall that a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ is said to be J-unitary if $A^* J A = J$, where J is some $m \times m$ signature matrix. Obviously, $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ is J-unitary if and only if $AJA^* = J$.

Corollary 4.4. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ be a J-Potapov sequence. Further, let $f_{c,n}$ be the J-central J-Potapov function corresponding to $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ and let $f^{\diamondsuit}_{c,n}$ be the unique rational extension of $f_{c,n}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- *(i) There is a unique $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$ such that (1.4) is fulfilled for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0,n}$.*
- *(ii) There exists a finite subset $\mathfrak{F}$ of $\mathbb{T}$ such that for each $z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathfrak{F}$ the matrix $f^{\diamondsuit}_{c,n}(z)$ is J-unitary.*
- *(iii) There is some $z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus (\mathcal{N}_{\rho_{n,J}} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\tau_{n,J}})$ such that the matrix $f^{\diamondsuit}_{c,n}(z)$ is J-unitary, where $\rho_{n,J}$ and $\tau_{n,J}$ are given by (2.10) and (2.12) with some $V_n \in \mathcal{Y}_{n,J}$ and $W_n \in \mathcal{Z}_{n,J}$ if $n \geq 1$.*

PROOF. Use Proposition 4.2, Remark 4.3, and Theorem 2.5. □

Corollary 4.5. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ be a J-Potapov sequence. Further, let $f_{c,n}$ be the J-central J-Potapov function corresponding to $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ and let $f^{\diamondsuit}_{c,n}$ be the unique rational extension of $f_{c,n}$. If $n \geq 1$, then let $V_n \in \mathcal{Y}_{n,J}$ and $W_n \in \mathcal{Z}_{n,J}$. Let the matrix polynomials $\pi_{n,J}$, $\rho_{n,J}$, $\sigma_{n,J}$, and $\tau_{n,J}$ be given by (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), and (2.12). Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- *(i) There is a unique $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$ such that (1.4) is fulfilled for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0,n}$.*
- *(ii) The complex-valued functions* $\det \tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}$ *and* $\det \tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J}$ *do not vanish identically, and $f^{\diamondsuit}_{c,n} = J(\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J})^{-1} \tilde{\rho}^{[n]}_{n,J} J = J \tilde{\tau}^{[n]}_{n,J} (\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J})^{-1} J$ holds.*
- *(iii) Each of the sets $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J}}$ consists of at most nm elements, and for each $z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}}$ the matrix $(\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z))^{-1} \tilde{\rho}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z)$ is J-unitary, and for each $z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J}}$ the matrix $\tilde{\tau}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z) (\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z))^{-1}$ is J-unitary.*
- *(iv) There exists some $z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}}$ such that $(\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z))^{-1} \tilde{\rho}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z)$ is J-contractive, or there exists some $z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J}}$ such that $\tilde{\tau}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z) (\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z))^{-1}$ is J-contractive.*

Proof. From Proposition 4.2 and Remark 4.3 we infer that, if (i) is fulfilled, then each of the sets $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J}}$ consists of at most nm elements. Furthermore, Theorem 2.5 implies $f^{\diamondsuit}_{c,n} = \pi_{n,J}\rho^{-1}_{n,J} = \tau^{-1}_{n,J}\sigma_{n,J}$. Hence the equivalence of (i) and (ii) follows from Proposition 4.2. Moreover, [28, Proposition 2.11] yields

$$[\rho_{n,J}(z)]^* J \rho_{n,J}(z) - [\pi_{n,J}(z)]^* J \pi_{n,J}(z) = R_{n+1,J}$$

and

$$\tau_{n,J}(z) J [\tau_{n,J}(z)]^* - \sigma_{n,J}(z) J [\sigma_{n,J}(z)]^* = L_{n+1,J}$$

for each $z \in \mathbb{T}$. Thus, taking into account [16, Lemma 1.2.2], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left([\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z)]^{-1}\tilde{\rho}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z)\right) J \left([\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z)]^{-1}\tilde{\rho}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z)\right)^* - J \\
&= [\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z)]^{-1}\left(\tilde{\rho}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z) J [\tilde{\rho}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z)]^* - \tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z) J [\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z)]^*\right)[\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z)]^{-*} \\
&= [\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z)]^{-1} R_{n+1,J} [\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z)]^{-*} \geq 0
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

for each $z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}}$ and, analogously,

$$\left(\tilde{\tau}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z)[\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z)]^{-1}\right)^* J \left(\tilde{\tau}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z)[\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z)]^{-1}\right) - J = [\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z)]^{-*} L_{n+1,J} [\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J}(z)]^{-1} \geq 0 \tag{4.2}$$

for each $z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J}}$. Thus, the implications (i)⇒(iii) and (iv)⇒(i) are immediate consequences of (4.1), (4.2), Lemma 4.1, and [16, Theorem 1.3.3]. Finally, the implication (iii)⇒(iv) is obvious. □

In his landmark paper [33], V.P. Potapov obtained a multiplicative decomposition of a function $f \in \mathcal{P}_J(\mathbb{D})$ into special factors belonging to $\mathcal{P}_J(\mathbb{D})$. Perhaps the simplest functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{P}_J(\mathbb{D})$ are particular rational $m \times m$ matrix-valued functions which have exactly one pole of order 1 in the extended complex plane. These functions will be discussed in the following two examples.
For $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$, we denote by b_α the normalized elementary Blaschke factor associated with α, i. e., for $w \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{\frac{1}{\overline{\alpha}}\}$ we have

$$b_\alpha(w) := \begin{cases} w & \text{, if } \alpha = 0 \\ \frac{|\alpha|}{\alpha}\frac{\alpha - w}{1 - \overline{\alpha}w} & \text{, if } \alpha \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Example 4.6. Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$.

(a) Let P be a complex $m \times m$ matrix satisfying $P \neq 0_{m \times m}$, $JP \geq 0$, and $P^2 = P$. Let the function $B_{\alpha,P} : \mathbb{C}\backslash\{\frac{1}{\overline{\alpha}}\} \to \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ be defined by

$$B_{\alpha,P}(w) := I_m + [b_\alpha(w) - 1]\, P.$$

For $w \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{\frac{1}{\overline{\alpha}}\}$, then the identity

$$J - [B_{\alpha,P}(w)]^*\, J\, [B_{\alpha,P}(w)] = \left(1 - |b_\alpha(w)|^2\right) JP$$

holds. Thus, the restriction of the function $B_{\alpha,P}$ onto $\mathbb{D}$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$. The function $B_{\alpha,P}$ is called *the Blaschke-Potapov J-elementary factor of first kind associated with α and P.*

(b) Let Q be a complex $m \times m$ matrix satisfying $Q \neq 0_{m \times m}$, $-JQ \geq 0$ and $Q^2 = Q$. Let the function $C_{\alpha,Q} : \mathbb{C}\backslash\{\alpha\} \to \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ be defined by

$$C_{\alpha,Q}(w) := I_m + \left[\frac{1}{b_\alpha(w)} - 1\right] Q.$$

For $w \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{\alpha\}$, then the identity

$$J - [C_{\alpha,Q}(w)]^* \, J \, [C_{\alpha,Q}(w)] = \frac{1 - |b_\alpha(w)|^2}{|b_\alpha(w)|^2}(-JQ)$$

holds. Thus, the restriction of the function $C_{\alpha,Q}$ onto $\mathbb{D}$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}_J(\mathbb{D})$. In the case $\alpha \neq 0$ this restriction even belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$. The function $C_{\alpha,Q}$ is called *the Blaschke-Potapov J-elementary factor of second kind associated with α and Q.*

Observe that if $J = -I_m$ (respectively, $J = I_m$), then there is no matrix $P \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}\backslash\{0_{m\times m}\}$ (respectively, $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}\backslash\{0_{m\times m}\}$) such that $JP \geq 0$ and $P^2 = P$ (respectively, $-JQ \geq 0$ and $Q^2 = Q$). Consequently, if $J = -I_m$ (respectively, $J = I_m$), then there is no Blaschke-Potapov J-elementary factor of first (respectively, second) kind.

Example 4.7. Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix and let $u \in \mathbb{T}$. Furthermore, let R be a complex $m \times m$ matrix satisfying $R \neq 0_{m\times m}$, $JR \geq 0$, and $R^2 = 0_{m\times m}$. Let the function $D_{u,R} : \mathbb{C}\backslash\{u\} \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ be defined by

$$D_{u,R}(w) := I_m - \frac{u+w}{u-w}R.$$

For $w \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{u\}$, then the identity

$$J - [D_{u,R}(w)]^* \, J \, [D_{u,R}(w)] = \frac{2(1-|w|^2)}{|u-w|^2}JR$$

holds. Thus, the restriction of the function $D_{u,R}$ onto $\mathbb{D}$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$. The function $D_{u,R}$ is called *the Blaschke-Potapov J-elementary factor of third kind associated with u and R.*

Observe that in the cases $J = I_m$ and $J = -I_m$ there does not exist a complex $m \times m$ matrix $R \neq 0_{m\times m}$ satisfying $JR \geq 0$ and $R^2 = 0_{m\times m}$, i.e., there is no Blaschke-Potapov J-elementary factor of third kind in these cases.

An $m\times m$ matrix function B meromorphic in $\mathbb{C}$ is said to be a *finite Blaschke-Potapov product with respect to J* if either B is a constant function with J-unitary value or B admits a representation $B = B_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot B_n$ with some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where each of the factors B_j has the shape $B_j = U_j \tilde{B}_j V_j$ where U_j and V_j are constant J-unitary matrices and $\tilde{B}_j$ is a Blaschke-Potapov J-elementary factor of first or second or third kind.

Let us now introduce some notations. Let $\mathbb{C}_0 := \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the extended complex plane, and $\mathbb{E} := \mathbb{C}_0 \setminus (\mathbb{D} \cup \mathbb{T})$. Suppose that G is a simply connected domain of $\mathbb{C}_0$. Then let $\mathcal{NM}(G)$ be the *Nevanlinna class* of all functions which are meromorphic in G and which can be represented as quotient of two bounded holomorphic functions in G. If $f \in \mathcal{NM}(\mathbb{D})$ (resp., $f \in \mathcal{NM}(\mathbb{E})$), then a well-known theorem due to Fatou implies that f has radial boundary values $\underline{\lambda}$-almost everywhere on $\mathbb{T}$, i.e., there exists a Borel measurable function $\underline{f} : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\lim_{r\to 1-0} f(rz) = \underline{f}(z) \quad \text{(resp.,} \lim_{r\to 1+0} f(rz) = \underline{f}(z))$$

for $\underline{\lambda}$-a.e. $z \in \mathbb{T}$, where $\underline{\lambda}$ stands for the linear Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}$.

Recall that each entry function of a given matrix-valued function $f \in \mathcal{P}_J(\mathbb{D})$ belongs to $\mathcal{NM}(\mathbb{D})$ (see, e.g., [26, Corollary 3.6]). In particular, every $f \in \mathcal{P}_J(\mathbb{D})$ has radial boundary values $\underline{\lambda}$-a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$. This observation leads to the following notion. If J is an $m \times m$ signature matrix and if $f \in \mathcal{P}_J(\mathbb{D})$, then the function f is called *J-inner*, if f has J-unitary radial boundary values $\underline{\lambda}$-a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$. In the special case $J = I_m$, the class of all J-inner functions in $\mathbb{D}$ coincides with the class of all *inner $m \times m$ Schur functions* in $\mathbb{D}$, i.e. the class of all functions $f \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$ which have unitary radial boundary values $\underline{\lambda}$-a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$.

Remark 4.8. Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, and let B be a finite Blaschke-Potapov product with respect to J. Then Examples 4.6 and 4.7 and [16, Lemma 1.3.13] show that B is a rational $m \times m$ matrix-valued function and the restriction of B onto $\mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{H}_B$ is a J-inner function.

For our purposes it will be important that the converse statement given below is also true. This well-known fact is a particular consequence of V.P. Potapov's famous factorization theorem for J-Potapov functions [33].

Proposition 4.9. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, and let B be a rational $m \times m$ matrix-valued function such that the restriction of B onto $\mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{H}_B$ is J-inner. Then B is a finite Blaschke-Potapov product with respect to J.*

Now we can give a further characterization of the case that the interpolation problem (P) has a unique solution.

Theorem 4.10. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be a J-Potapov sequence. Further, let $f_{c,n}$ be the J-central J-Potapov function corresponding to $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ and let $f_{c,n}^{\diamondsuit}$ be the unique rational extension of $f_{c,n}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- *(i) There is a unique $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$ such that (1.4) is fulfilled for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0,n}$ (namely $f = f_{c,n}$).*
- *(ii) $f_{c,n}^{\diamondsuit}$ is a finite Blaschke-Potapov product with respect to J.*
- *(iii) $f_{c,n}$ is J-inner.*
- *(iv) There is some $z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus (\mathcal{N}_{\rho_{n,J}} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\tau_{n,J}})$ such that the matrix $f_{c,n}^{\diamondsuit}(z)$ is J-unitary, where $\rho_{n,J}$ and $\tau_{n,J}$ are given by (2.10) and (2.12) with some $V_n \in \mathcal{Y}_{n,J}$ and $W_n \in \mathcal{Z}_{n,J}$ if $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. The equivalence of (i), (iii), and (iv) is an immediate consequence of Corollary 4.4. Furthermore, Remark 4.8 and Proposition 4.9 yield the equivalence of (ii) and (iii), since $f_{c,n}$ is the restriction of a rational $m \times m$ matrix-valued function onto $\mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{H}_{f_{c,n}}$. □

We are now going to derive some kind of converse statement. More precisely, we will show that for each finite Blaschke-Potapov product B (with respect to J) which is holomorphic at 0, there exist an $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and a sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ such that the restriction of B onto $\mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{H}_B$ is the unique $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$ such that (1.4) is fulfilled for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0,n}$. In our proof we will refer to the corresponding result for matricial Schur functions obtained in [25]. The following observation, which is based on the well-known concept of Potapov-Ginzburg transformation (Potapov [35], Ginzburg [29], see also, e.g., [26]), will enable us to do so.

Remark 4.11. Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, and let

$$\mathbf{P}_J := \tfrac{1}{2}(I + J) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Q}_J := \tfrac{1}{2}(I - J). \tag{4.3}$$

Let B be a finite Blaschke-Potapov product with respect to J. Then we see from Remark 4.8 and [26, Proposition 3.8] that $\det(\mathbf{Q}_J B(w) + \mathbf{P}_J) \neq 0$ for each $w \in \mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{H}_B$, that the matrix-valued function

$$S := (\mathbf{P}_J B + \mathbf{Q}_J)(\mathbf{Q}_J B + \mathbf{P}_J)^{-1} \tag{4.4}$$

is holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}$, and that the restriction of S onto $\mathbb{D}$ is an inner $m \times m$ Schur function. Since S is a rational matrix-valued function, Proposition 4.9 yields that S is a finite Blaschke-Potapov product with respect to the signature matrix I_m.

Conversely, if S is a finite Blaschke-Potapov product with respect to the signature matrix I_m such that $\det(\mathbf{Q}_J S + \mathbf{P}_J)$ does not vanish identically, then a combination of Remark 4.8 and [26, Proposition 3.8] shows that the restriction of the matrix-valued function

$$B := (\mathbf{P}_J S + \mathbf{Q}_J)(\mathbf{Q}_J S + \mathbf{P}_J)^{-1} \tag{4.5}$$

onto $\mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{H}_B$ is J-inner. Applying again Proposition 4.9, we infer that B is a finite Blaschke-Potapov product with respect to J.

Proposition 4.12. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, and let B be a finite Blaschke-Potapov product with respect to J such that $0 \in \mathbb{H}_B$. Furthermore, let*

$$B(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k w^k \tag{4.6}$$

be the Taylor series representation of B for each w belonging to some neighborhood of 0. Then there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n] = \{f\}$ where f denotes the restriction of B onto $\mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{H}_B$.

Proof. Let the matrix-valued function S be defined by (4.4), where $\mathbf{P}_J$ and $\mathbf{Q}_J$ are given by (4.3). Remark 4.11 yields that S is a finite Blaschke-Potapov product with respect to the signature matrix I_m. Let $S(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k w^k$ be the Taylor series representation of S for each $w \in \mathbb{D}$. Then [25, Proposition 7.7] implies that there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that the restriction of S onto $\mathbb{D}$ is the unique $m \times m$ Schur function g satisfying $g^{(j)}(0) = \frac{C_j}{j!}$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0,n}$. Remark 4.8 provides us that f belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n]$. Now suppose $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n]$. From [26, Proposition 3.4] it follows that $\det(\mathbf{Q}_J \tilde{f}(w) + \mathbf{P}_J) \neq 0$ holds for each $w \in \mathbb{H}_{\tilde{f}}$ and that the matrix-valued function

$$\tilde{g} := (\mathbf{P}_J \tilde{f} + \mathbf{Q}_J)(\mathbf{Q}_J \tilde{f} + \mathbf{P}_J)^{-1}$$

is an $m \times m$ Schur function. Let $\tilde{g}(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{C}_k w^k$ be the Taylor series representation of $\tilde{g}$ for each $w \in \mathbb{D}$. From [26, Remark 6.1] we obtain $\tilde{C}_j = C_j$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0,n}$ and therefore $\tilde{g} = g$. Finally, an application of [26, Proposition 3.4] yields $\det(\mathbf{Q}_J \tilde{g}(0) + \mathbf{P}_J) \neq 0$, $\det(\mathbf{Q}_J g(0) + \mathbf{P}_J) \neq 0$, and

$$\tilde{f} = (\mathbf{P}_J \tilde{g} + \mathbf{Q}_J)(\mathbf{Q}_J \tilde{g} + \mathbf{P}_J)^{-1} = (\mathbf{P}_J g + \mathbf{Q}_J)(\mathbf{Q}_J g + \mathbf{P}_J)^{-1} = f.$$

This completes the proof. □

Corollary 4.13. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $\mathbf{P}_J$ and $\mathbf{Q}_J$ be given by (4.3), and let B be a rational $m \times m$ matrix-valued function. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- *(i) B is a finite Blaschke-Potapov product with respect to J.*
- *(ii) There are some $m \times m$ matrix polynomials π and ρ such that $\det \rho$ does not vanish identically and the following three conditions are satisfied:*
  - *(I) $B = \pi \rho^{-1}$.*
  - *(II) $\det[\mathbf{Q}_J \pi(w) + \mathbf{P}_J \rho(w)] \neq 0$ for each $w \in \mathbb{D}$.*
  - *(III) $[\rho(z)]^* J \rho(z) = [\pi(z)]^* J \pi(z)$ for each $z \in \mathbb{T}$.*
- *(iii) There are some $m \times m$ matrix polynomials σ and τ such that $\det \tau$ does not vanish identically and the following three conditions are satisfied:*
  - *(IV) $B = \tau^{-1} \sigma$.*
  - *(V) $\det[\sigma(w) \mathbf{Q}_J - \tau(w) \mathbf{P}_J] \neq 0$ for each $w \in \mathbb{D}$.*
  - *(VI) $\tau(z) J [\tau(z)]^* = \sigma(z) J [\sigma(z)]^*$ for each $z \in \mathbb{T}$.*

Proof. (i)⇒(ii): Let the matrix-valued function S be defined by (4.4). Then from Remark 4.11 we know that S is a finite Blaschke-Potapov product with respect to the signature matrix I_m. Thus, [25, Corollary 7.8] yields the existence of some $m \times m$ matrix polynomials π_S and ρ_S such that the following three conditions are satisfied:

- (I') $S = \pi_S \rho_S^{-1}$.
- (II') $\det \rho_S(w) \neq 0$ for each $w \in \mathbb{D}$.
- (III') $[\rho_S(z)]^* \rho_S(z) = [\pi_S(z)]^* \pi_S(z)$ for each $z \in \mathbb{T}$.

Let $\pi := \mathbf{P}_J\pi_S + \mathbf{Q}_J\rho_S$ and $\rho := \mathbf{Q}_J\pi_S + \mathbf{P}_J\rho_S$. Then we have $\rho = (\mathbf{Q}_J S + \mathbf{P}_J)\rho_S$. From Remark 4.8 and [26, Proposition 3.4] it follows that $\det(\mathbf{Q}_J S + \mathbf{P}_J)$ does not vanish identically in $\mathbb{D}$, and therefore $\det \rho$ does not vanish identically. Taking into account (I'), (II'), and (III'), straightforward calculations show that the matrix polynomials π and ρ fulfill conditions (I), (II), and (III).

(ii)⇒(i): From (I) and (II) we see that $\det(\mathbf{Q}_J B + \mathbf{P}_J)$ does not vanish identically in $\mathbb{D}$, i.e., the matrix-valued function

$$S := (\mathbf{P}_J B + \mathbf{Q}_J)(\mathbf{Q}_J B + \mathbf{P}_J)^{-1}$$

is well-defined. Using (I), (II), and (III), it is readily checked that the matrix polynomials $\pi_S := \mathbf{P}_J\pi + \mathbf{Q}_J\rho$ and $\rho_S := \mathbf{Q}_J\pi + \mathbf{P}_J\rho$ fulfill conditions (I'), (II'), and (III'). In particular, S is a rational matrix-valued function holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}$. Moreover, from (I') and (III') we infer that $\mathbb{T} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{\rho_S} \subseteq \mathbb{H}_S$ and that $S(z)$ is unitary for each $z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{\rho_S}$. Since $\mathcal{N}_{\rho_S}$ is a finite set, it follows that $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \mathbb{H}_S$ and that $S(z)$ is unitary for each $z \in \mathbb{T}$. Thus, a matrix version of the maximum modulus principle yields that the restriction of S onto $\mathbb{D}$ is an inner $m \times m$ Schur function. Proposition 4.9 ensures therefore that S is a finite Blaschke-Potapov product with respect to the signature matrix I_m. Using well-known properties of the Potapov-Ginzburg transformation (see, e.g., [26, formula (2.6)]) we obtain that $\det(\mathbf{Q}_J S + \mathbf{P}_J)$ does not vanish identically in $\mathbb{D}$ and that $B = (\mathbf{P}_J S + \mathbf{Q}_J)(\mathbf{Q}_J S + \mathbf{P}_J)^{-1}$ holds. Consequently, an application of Remark 4.11 yields (i). Thus, the equivalence of (i) and (ii) is proved.

Taking into account additionally [26, Remark 2.1], the equivalence of (i) and (iii) can be shown similarly. □

5. The nondegenerate case

The main goal of this section is to specify some of the preceding results for the interpolation problem (P) in the nondegenerate case. Moreover, we will state a complete description of the Weyl matrix balls associated with a strict J-Potapov sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$. The results in this section extend the corresponding well-known results for the nondegenerate matricial Schur problem (see, e.g., [16]).

Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix and let $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{+\infty\}$. Throughout this section, we consider a strict J-Potapov sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$. Observe that in this case the matrices $P_{n,J}$ and $Q_{n,J}$ are nonsingular for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\kappa}$. Moreover, from [27, Lemmata 3.3 and 3.7] we know that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\kappa}$, the matrices $L_{n+1,J}$ and $R_{n+1,J}$ are nonsingular as well. Obviously, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{1,\kappa}$, the sets $\mathcal{Y}_{n,J}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{n,J}$ defined by (2.6) and (2.7), respectively, fulfill $\mathcal{Y}_{n,J} = \{V_n^{\square}\}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{n,J} = \{W_n^{\square}\}$ where

$$V_n^{\square} := Q_{n-1,J}^{-1} S_{n-1}^{*} J_{[n-1]} y_n \quad \text{and} \quad W_n^{\square} := z_n J_{[n-1]} S_{n-1}^{*} P_{n-1,J}^{-1}. \tag{5.1}$$

In the sequel, whenever a strict J-Potapov sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$ is given, then let for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\kappa}$ the matrix polynomials $\pi_{n,J}$, $\rho_{n,J}$, $\sigma_{n,J}$, and $\tau_{n,J}$ be defined by

$$\pi_{n,J}(w) := \begin{cases} A_0, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ A_0 + w e_{n-1,m}(w) J_{[n-1]} P_{n-1,J}^{-1} y_n, & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

$$\rho_{n,J}(w) := \begin{cases} I_m, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ I_m + w e_{n-1,m}(w) J_{[n-1]} S_{n-1}^{*} P_{n-1,J}^{-1} y_n, & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \tag{5.3}$$

$$\sigma_{n,J}(w) := \begin{cases} A_0, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ z_n Q_{n-1,J}^{-1} J_{[n-1]} w \varepsilon_{n-1,m}(w) + A_0, & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$\tau_{n,J}(w) := \begin{cases} I_m, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ z_n Q_{n-1,J}^{-1} S_{n-1}^{*} J_{[n-1]} w \varepsilon_{n-1,m}(w) + I_m, & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases} \tag{5.5}$$

for each $w \in \mathbb{C}$. In view of [28, Remark 5.2], for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{1,\kappa}$, the matrix polynomials $\pi_{n,J}$, $\rho_{n,J}$, $\sigma_{n,J}$, and $\tau_{n,J}$ satisfy

$$\pi_{n,J}(w) = A_0 + w e_{n-1,m}(w)(y_n + S_{n-1} V_n^{\square}), \tag{5.6}$$

$$\rho_{n,J}(w) = I_m + w e_{n-1,m}(w) V_n^{\square}, \tag{5.7}$$

$$\sigma_{n,J}(w) = (W_n^{\square} S_{n-1} + z_n) w \varepsilon_{n-1,m}(w) + A_0, \tag{5.8}$$

and

$$\tau_{n,J}(w) = W_n^{\square} w \varepsilon_{n-1,m}(w) + I_m \tag{5.9}$$

for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$. Furthermore, whenever a strict J-Potapov sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$ is given, then let the matrix polynomials $\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{n,J}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\kappa}$ be defined by

$$\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w) := \begin{pmatrix} wJ\tilde{\tau}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w) & \pi_{n,J}(w) \\ wJ\tilde{\sigma}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w) & \rho_{n,J}(w) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{-1} & 0_{m\times m} \\ 0_{m\times m} & \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.10}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{D}_{n,J}(w) := \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{-1} & 0_{m\times m} \\ 0_{m\times m} & \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w\tilde{\rho}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w)J & w\tilde{\pi}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w)J \\ \sigma_{n,J}(w) & \tau_{n,J}(w) \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.11}$$

for each $w \in \mathbb{C}$. In the case $\kappa \geq 1$ we observe that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{1,\kappa}$, the matrix polynomials $\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{n,J}$ can be factorized via

$$\mathfrak{C}_{n,J} = \mathfrak{C}_{0,J} G_{1,J} \cdot \ldots \cdot G_{n,J} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathfrak{D}_{n,J} = H_{n,J} \cdot \ldots \cdot H_{1,J} \mathfrak{D}_{0,J} \tag{5.12}$$

where for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{1,n}$, $G_{k,J} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{2m\times 2m}$ and $H_{k,J} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{2m\times 2m}$ are defined by

$$G_{k,J}(w) := \begin{pmatrix} I_m & K_{k,J} \\ K_{k,J}^* & I_m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w\sqrt{L_{k,J}}\sqrt{L_{k+1,J}}^{-1} & 0_{m\times m} \\ 0_{m\times m} & \sqrt{R_{k,J}}\sqrt{R_{k+1,J}}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.13}$$

and

$$H_{k,J}(w) := \begin{pmatrix} w\sqrt{R_{k+1,J}}^{-1}\sqrt{R_{k,J}} & 0_{m\times m} \\ 0_{m\times m} & \sqrt{L_{k+1,J}}^{-1}\sqrt{L_{k,J}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_m & K_{k,J}^* \\ K_{k,J} & I_m \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.14}$$

with

$$K_{k,J} := \sqrt{L_{k,J}}^{-1}(A_k - M_{k,J})\sqrt{R_{k,J}}^{-1} \tag{5.15}$$

(see [28, Proposition 5.6]). For a more detailed discussion of the matrix polynomials introduced in (5.10)–(5.14) we refer the reader to [28, Section 5]. The special case $J = I_m$ was already treated in [22, Section 3].

Let us now recall the notion of linear fractional transformations of matrices (see Potapov [34] or [16, Section 1.6]). Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, and let A and B be complex $(p+q) \times (p+q)$ matrices and let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \gamma \\ \beta & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

be the block representations of A and B with $p \times p$ blocks a and δ. If the set

$$\mathcal{Q}_A^{(p,q)} := \{x \in \mathbb{C}^{p\times q} : \det(cx + d) \neq 0\}$$

is nonempty, then let $\mathcal{S}_A^{(p,q)} : \mathcal{Q}_A^{(p,q)} \to \mathbb{C}^{p\times q}$ be defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_A^{(p,q)}(x) := (ax + b)(cx + d)^{-1}.$$

If the set

$$\mathcal{R}_B^{(q,p)} := \{x \in \mathbb{C}^{p\times q} : \det(x\gamma + \delta) \neq 0\}$$

is nonempty, then let $\mathcal{T}_B^{(q,p)} : \mathcal{R}_B^{(q,p)} \to \mathbb{C}^{p\times q}$ be defined by

$$\mathcal{T}_B^{(q,p)}(x) := (x\gamma + \delta)^{-1}(x\alpha + \beta).$$

Observe that $\mathcal{Q}_A^{(p,q)} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\operatorname{rank}(c, d) = q$. Moreover, $\mathcal{R}_B^{(q,p)} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\operatorname{rank}\binom{\gamma}{\delta} = p$.

We will now specify Theorem 1.4 in the nondegenerate case.

Theorem 5.1. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be a strict J-Potapov sequence. For every $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$ and each $w \in \mathbb{D}$ for which $S(w) \in \mathcal{Q}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)}$ is satisfied, let*

$$f_S(w) := \mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)}(S(w)). \tag{5.16}$$

Then, for each $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$, by (5.16) a matrix-valued function f_S meromorphic in $\mathbb{D}$ is given, and the set $\mathbb{H}_{f_S}$ of all $w \in \mathbb{D}$ at which f_S is holomorphic fulfills

$$\mathbb{H}_{f_S} = \{w \in \mathbb{D} : S(w) \in \mathcal{Q}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)}\} = \{w \in \mathbb{D} : S(w) \in \mathcal{R}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{D}_{n,J}(w)}\}.$$

Further, for each $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$ and each $w \in \mathbb{H}_{f_S}$, f_S admits the representation $f_S(w) = \mathcal{T}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{D}_{n,J}(w)}(S(w))$. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n] = \{f_S : S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})\}$$

holds true.

Proof. If $n \geq 1$, then $\mathcal{Y}_{n,J} = \{V_n^\square\}$, $\mathcal{Z}_{n,J} = \{W_n^\square\}$, and (5.6)–(5.9) hold true. Thus, due to $\det \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}} \neq 0$ and $\det \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} \neq 0$, all the assertions follow immediately from Theorem 1.4. □

In the special case $J = I_m$, Theorem 5.1 goes back to Arov/Krein [2], who obtained this result as a consequence of the Adamjan/Arov/Krein paper [1] on the matricial Nehari problem. For an alternate approach to Theorem 5.1 in the case $J = I_m$ we refer to [16, Section 3.10] and [21].

Lemma 5.2. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be a strict J-Potapov sequence. Then*

$$\det \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w) = \det \mathfrak{D}_{n,J}(w) = w^{(n+1)m}$$

holds for each $w \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. From [27, Lemma 3.11] we know that

$$\det L_{k+1,J} = \det R_{k+1,J} \tag{5.17}$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0,n}$. In view of

$$\mathfrak{C}_{0,J}(w) = \begin{pmatrix} wJ & A_0 \\ wJA_0^* & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{L_{1,J}}^{-1} & 0_{m\times m} \\ 0_{m\times m} & \sqrt{R_{1,J}}^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

an application of [16, Lemma 1.1.7] yields therefore for each $w \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\det \mathfrak{C}_{0,J}(w) = w^m \det(J - A_0JA_0^*) \sqrt{\det L_{1,J}}^{-1} \sqrt{\det R_{1,J}}^{-1} = w^m. \tag{5.18}$$

Now suppose $n \geq 1$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{1,n}$, let $K_{k,J}$ be defined by (5.15), and let the matrix polynomial $G_{k,J}$ for each $w \in \mathbb{C}$ be given by (5.13). Then [27, Proposition 4.1] provides us

$$L_{k+1,J} = \sqrt{L_{k,J}}(I - K_{k,J}K_{k,J}^*)\sqrt{L_{k,J}} \tag{5.19}$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{1,n}$. Taking into account (5.13), [16, Lemma 1.1.7], (5.17), and (5.19), we obtain for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{1,n}$ and each $w \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{aligned} \det G_{k,J}(w) &= w^m \det(I - K_{k,J}K_{k,J}^*) \det\Big(\sqrt{L_{k,J}}\sqrt{L_{k+1,J}}^{-1}\Big) \det\Big(\sqrt{R_{k,J}}\sqrt{R_{k+1,J}}^{-1}\Big) \\ &= w^m \det(I - K_{k,J}K_{k,J}^*) \cdot \det L_{k,J} \cdot (\det L_{k+1,J})^{-1} = w^m. \end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

Similarly, it can be checked that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{1,n}$ and each $w \in \mathbb{C}$ the equations

$$\det H_{k,J}(w) = w^m \quad \text{and} \quad \det \mathfrak{D}_{0,J}(w) = w^m \tag{5.21}$$

hold true where $H_{k,J} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{2m\times 2m}$ is defined by (5.14). Hence the assertion follows from (5.18), (5.20), (5.21), and (5.12). □

We are now interested in describing particular subsets of $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D},(A_j)_{j=0}^n]$ for a given strict J-Potapov sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$. For this purpose, let us recall the notion of pseudocontinuable functions. Let $\mathcal{NM}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\mathcal{NM}(\mathbb{E})$ be the Nevanlinna classes of meromorphic functions introduced in Section 4. Then a (scalar) meromorphic function f in $\mathbb{D}$ is said to be *pseudocontinuable (into* $\mathbb{E}$*)* if it belongs to $\mathcal{NM}(\mathbb{D})$ and if there is an $f^{\#} \in \mathcal{NM}(\mathbb{E})$ such that the radial boundary values $\underline{f}$ and $\underline{f^{\#}}$ of f and $f^{\#}$, respectively, coincide $\underline{\lambda}$-a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$. This function $f^{\#}$ is then called the *pseudocontinuation of f (into* $\mathbb{E}$*)*. Clearly, a function $f \in \mathcal{NM}(\mathbb{D})$ admits at most one pseudocontinuation $f^{\#}$. A matrix-valued meromorphic function f in $\mathbb{D}$ is said to be *pseudocontinuable* if each entry function of f is pseudocontinuable. It is easy to see that every rational matrix-valued function in $\mathbb{D}$ and every J-inner function is pseudocontinuable.

Now we are going to describe the sets $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}^{pc}[\mathbb{D},(A_j)_{j=0}^n]$ (resp., $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}^{r}[\mathbb{D},(A_j)_{j=0}^n]$, $\underline{\mathcal{P}}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D},(A_j)_{j=0}^n]$) of all matrix-valued functions $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D},(A_j)_{j=0}^n]$ which are pseudocontinuable (resp., rational, J-inner). In the following, we denote by $\mathcal{S}_{m\times m}^{pc}(\mathbb{D})$ (resp., $\mathcal{S}_{m\times m}^{r}(\mathbb{D})$, $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$) the set of all pseudocontinuable (resp., rational, inner) $m \times m$ Schur functions in $\mathbb{D}$.

Proposition 5.3. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be a strict J-Potapov sequence. For each $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$, let the matrix-valued function f_S be defined as in Theorem 5.1. Then:*

- *(a)* $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}^{pc}[\mathbb{D},(A_j)_{j=0}^n] = \{f_S : S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}^{pc}(\mathbb{D})\}$.
- *(b)* $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}^{r}[\mathbb{D},(A_j)_{j=0}^n] = \{f_S : S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}^{r}(\mathbb{D})\}$.
- *(c)* $\underline{\mathcal{P}}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D},(A_j)_{j=0}^n] = \{f_S : S \in \underline{\mathcal{S}}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})\}$.

PROOF. in view of Theorem 5.1, Lemma 5.2, and [16, Proposition 1.6.2], it follows

$$f_S(w) = \mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)}(S(w)) \quad \text{and} \quad S(w) = \mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}^{-1}_{n,J}(w)}(f_S(w)) \tag{5.22}$$

for each $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$ and each $w \in \mathbb{H}_{f_S} \setminus \{0\}$. Since the matrix-valued functions $\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{-1}$ are both rational, (a) and (b) follow from (5.22), Theorem 5.1, and the arithmetic of pseudocontinuable and of rational matrix-valued functions. Now we will prove part (c). Let $\mathfrak{C}_{n,J} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[11]} & \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[12]} \\ \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[21]} & \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[22]} \end{pmatrix}$ be the block partition of $\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}$ into $m \times m$ blocks, and let $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$. If $\underline{S}$ (resp., $\underline{f_S}$) stands for a radial boundary function of S (resp., f_S), then we get $\underline{S}(z) \in \mathcal{Q}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(z)}$ and $\underline{f_S}(z) = \mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(z)}(\underline{S}(z))$ for $\underline{\lambda}$-a.e. $z \in \mathbb{T}$. Further, from [28, Proposition 5.7] we know that $\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^*(z) J^{\square} \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(z) = \operatorname{diag}(I_m, -I_m)$ holds for every $z \in \mathbb{T}$, where $J^{\square} := \operatorname{diag}(J, -J)$. Hence a straihgtforward calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&J - \underline{f_S}^* J \underline{f_S} \\
&= (\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[21]}\underline{S} + \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[22]})^{-*} \\
&\quad \cdot \big[(\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[21]}\underline{S} + \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[22]})^* J (\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[21]}\underline{S} + \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[22]}) - (\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[11]}\underline{S} + \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[12]})^* J (\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[11]}\underline{S} + \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[12]})\big] \\
&\quad \cdot (\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[21]}\underline{S} + \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[22]})^{-1} \\
&= (\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[21]}\underline{S} + \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[22]})^{-*} \big[- (\underline{S}^*, I) \cdot \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^* J^{\square} \mathfrak{C}_{n,J} \cdot (\underline{S}^*, I)^* \big] (\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[21]}\underline{S} + \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[22]})^{-1} \\
&= (\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[21]}\underline{S} + \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[22]})^{-*} \big(I - \underline{S}^* \underline{S}\big) (\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[21]}\underline{S} + \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}^{[22]})^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

$\underline{\lambda}$-a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$. Thus, taking into account Theorem 5.1, we obtain (c). □

We are now going to describe the Weyl matrix balls corresponding to a given strict J-Potapov sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$. For this purpose, we need some preparation. For $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ we will work with the special $(p+q)\times(p+q)$ signature matrix

$$j_{pq} := \operatorname{diag}(I_p, -I_q).$$

Further, let $\mathbb{K}_{p\times q}$ be the set of all contractive matrices from $\mathbb{C}^{p\times q}$.

A closer look at the proof of [16, Theorem 1.6.3] shows that the following result holds, which is slightly more general than [16, Theorem 1.6.3].

Lemma 5.4. *Let* $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, *let* A *be a nonsingular matrix from* $\mathbb{C}^{(p+q)\times(p+q)}$, *and let*

$$W := A^{-*} j_{pq} A^{-1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad V := A j_{pq} A^*. \tag{5.23}$$

Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad W = \begin{pmatrix} W_{11} & W_{12} \\ W_{21} & W_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & V_{12} \\ V_{21} & V_{22} \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.24}$$

be the block partitions of A, W, *and* V, *respectively, where* A_{11}, W_{11}, *and* V_{11} *are* $p \times p$ *blocks. Suppose that* A_{22} *is nonsingular and that the matrix* $A_{22}^{-1}A_{21}$ *is strictly contractive. Then the matrices* W_{11} *and* V_{22} *are nonsingular, the inclusion* $\mathbb{K}_{p\times q} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_A^{(p,q)}$ *holds, and the identities*

$$\mathcal{S}_A^{(p,q)}(\mathbb{K}_{p\times q}) = \{Y \in \mathbb{C}^{p\times q} : -(Y^*, I)W(Y^*, I)^* \geq 0\} = \mathfrak{K}(M; \sqrt{L}, \sqrt{R})$$

are satisfied where

$$\begin{aligned} M &:= -W_{11}^{-1}W_{12} = V_{12}V_{22}^{-1}, \\ L &:= W_{11}^{-1} = V_{11} - V_{12}V_{22}^{-1}V_{21} > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{5.25}$$

and

$$R := W_{21}W_{11}^{-1}W_{12} - W_{22} = -V_{22}^{-1} > 0. \tag{5.26}$$

Remark 5.5. Under the assumptions of Lemma 5.4, the identities

$$\frac{\det L}{\det R} = \frac{(-1)^q}{\det W} = |\det A|^2$$

hold true, where L and R are given by (5.25) and (5.26). This can be easily seen from the well-known Schur block decomposition of the matrix W (see, e.g., [16, Lemma 1.1.7]).

Lemma 5.6. *Let* J *be an* $m \times m$ *signature matrix, let* $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, *and let* $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ *be a strict* J*-Potapov sequence. Let* $\mathcal{E}_1 : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ *be defined by* $w \mapsto w$. *In view of* $\det \rho_{n,J}(0) \neq 0$, *let*

$$\chi_{n,J} := \mathcal{E}_1 \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}\, \rho_{n,J}^{-1} J \tilde{\sigma}_{n,J}^{[n]} \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{\,-1}. \tag{5.27}$$

Then $\{w \in \mathbb{D} : \det \rho_{n,J}(w) \neq 0\} = \{w \in \mathbb{D} : \det \tau_{n,J}(w) \neq 0\}$ *holds, and the identity*

$$\chi_{n,J} = \mathcal{E}_1 \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{\,-1} \tilde{\pi}_{n,J}^{[n]} J \tau_{n,J}^{-1} \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}} \tag{5.28}$$

is satisfied.

Proof. First we note that, in the case $n \geq 1$, equations (5.6)–(5.9) hold true where $V_n^\square$ and $W_n^\square$ are given by (5.1). Thus, from [28, Propositions 2.19 and 2.20] we get $\{w \in \mathbb{D} : \det \rho_{n,J}(w) \neq 0\} = \{w \in \mathbb{D} : \det \tau_{n,J}(w) \neq 0\}$. Further, [28, Remark 2.5 and Theorems 2.7. and 2.8] imply the identities

$$\tau_{n,J}\pi_{n,J} = \sigma_{n,J}\rho_{n,J} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\pi}_{n,J}^{[n]}\tilde{\tau}_{n,J}^{[n]} = \tilde{\rho}_{n,J}^{[n]}\tilde{\sigma}_{n,J}^{[n]}. \tag{5.29}$$

Moreover, [28, Proposition 2.11] yields

$$\tilde{\rho}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w) J \rho_{n,J}(w) - \tilde{\pi}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w) J \pi_{n,J}(w) = w^n R_{n+1,J} \tag{5.30}$$

and

$$\tau_{n,J}(w) J \tilde{\tau}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w) - \sigma_{n,J}(w) J \tilde{\sigma}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w) = w^n L_{n+1,J} \tag{5.31}$$

for each $w \in \mathbb{C}$. Now let $w \in \mathbb{D}$ be such that $\det \rho_{n,J}(w) \neq 0$. Then using (5.29), (5.30), and (5.31) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &w^n R_{n+1,J} [\rho_{n,J}(w)]^{-1} J \tilde{\sigma}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w) \\ &= \left(\tilde{\rho}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w) J \rho_{n,J}(w) - \tilde{\pi}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w) J \pi_{n,J}(w)\right) [\rho_{n,J}(w)]^{-1} J \tilde{\sigma}_{n,J}^{[n]}(w) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}(w)J[\tau_{n,J}(w)]^{-1}\Big(\tau_{n,J}(w)J\tilde{\tau}^{[n]}_{n,J}(w) - \sigma_{n,J}(w)J\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J}(w)\Big)$$

$$= w^n\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}(w)J[\tau_{n,J}(w)]^{-1}L_{n+1,J}$$

and therefore (5.28). □

Remark 5.7. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $K \in \mathbb{C}^{p\times q}$. Then, using [16, Remark 1.1.2, Lemma 1.1.13] and the singular value decomposition of K, it is readily checked that $\det(I_p + KX) \neq 0$ holds for each $X \in \mathbb{K}_{q\times p}$ if and only if K is strictly contractive.

Now we are going to determine the Weyl matrix balls associated with a finite strict J-Potapov sequence.

Theorem 5.8. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be a strict J-Potapov sequence. Further, let $\chi_{n,J}$ be given by (5.27), and let $w \in \mathbb{D}$. Then:*

(a) The following statements are equivalent:

(i) Every $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n]$ is holomorphic at w.

(ii) The condition $\det \rho_{n,J}(w) \neq 0$ holds, and the matrix $\chi_{n,J}(w)$ is strictly contractive.

Moreover, the set

$$\mathbb{H}^{(n)} := \bigcap_{f\in\mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D},(A_j)_{j=0}^n]} \mathbb{H}_f \tag{5.32}$$

is open in $\mathbb{D}$. In particular, there exists a positive real number r such that the inclusion $\{v \in \mathbb{D} : |v| < r\} \subseteq \mathbb{H}^{(n)}$ holds.

(b) Suppose that (i) is fulfilled. Then the matrices

$$\Phi_{n,J}(w) := [\tau_{n,J}(w)]^* L^{-1}_{n+1,J}\tau_{n,J}(w) - |w|^2 J[\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}(w)]^* R^{-1}_{n+1,J}\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}(w)J \tag{5.33}$$

and

$$\Psi_{n,J}(w) := \rho_{n,J}(w)R^{-1}_{n+1,J}[\rho_{n,J}(w)]^* - |w|^2 J\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J}(w)L^{-1}_{n+1,J}[\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J}(w)]^* J \tag{5.34}$$

are both positive Hermitian, and the identity

$$\{f(w) : f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n]\} = \mathfrak{K}\Big(\mathcal{M}_{n,J}(w); |w|^{n+1}\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{n,J}(w)}, \sqrt{\mathcal{R}_{n,J}(w)}\Big) \tag{5.35}$$

holds true where

$$\mathcal{M}_{n,J}(w) := [\Phi_{n,J}(w)]^{-1}\Big([\tau_{n,J}(w)]^* L^{-1}_{n+1,J}\sigma_{n,J}(w) - |w|^2 J[\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}(w)]^* R^{-1}_{n+1,J}\tilde{\rho}^{[n]}_{n,J}(w)J\Big), \tag{5.36}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{n,J}(w) := [\Phi_{n,J}(w)]^{-1}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{R}_{n,J}(w) := [\Psi_{n,J}(w)]^{-1}. \tag{5.37}$$

Moreover, the matrix $\mathcal{M}_{n,J}(w)$ admits the representation

$$\mathcal{M}_{n,J}(w) = \Big(\pi_{n,J}(w)R^{-1}_{n+1,J}[\rho_{n,J}(w)]^* - |w|^2 J\tilde{\tau}^{[n]}_{n,J}(w)L^{-1}_{n+1,J}[\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J}(w)]^* J\Big)[\Psi_{n,J}(w)]^{-1}. \tag{5.38}$$

(c) Suppose that (i) is fulfilled. Then the identity $\det \mathcal{L}_{n,J}(w) = \det \mathcal{R}_{n,J}(w)$ holds true.

Proof. (a) First we note that in the case $\det \rho_{n,J}(w) \neq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\det\Big(wJ\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J}(w)\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{-1}S(w) + \rho_{n,J}(w)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{-1}\Big)\\ &= \det \rho_{n,J}(w) \cdot \det \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{-1} \cdot \det[I_m + \chi_{n,J}(w)S(w)]\end{aligned} \tag{5.39}$$

for each $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$. Now suppose that (i) is satisfied. Then Theorem 5.1 implies

$$\det\Big(wJ\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_{n,J}(w)\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{-1}S(w) + \rho_{n,J}(w)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{-1}\Big) \neq 0 \tag{5.40}$$

for each $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$. In particular, $\det \rho_{n,J}(w) \neq 0$ follows. Hence, (5.39) and (5.40) imply $\det[I_m + \chi_{n,J}(w)S(w)] \neq 0$ for each $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$. Thus, Remark 5.7 yields (ii). Conversely, now let (ii) be satisfied. Then from Remark 5.7 we get $\det[I_m + \chi_{n,J}(w)S(w)] \neq 0$ for every $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$. In view of $\det \rho_{n,J}(w) \neq 0$ and (5.39) it follows therefore that (5.40) holds for each $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$. Taking into account Theorem 5.1 we obtain (i). Thus, (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Furthermore, since $\chi_{n,J}$ is continuous in the open subset $\{v \in \mathbb{D} : \det \rho_{n,J}(v) \neq 0\}$ of $\mathbb{D}$, the equivalence of (i) and (ii) implies that the set $\mathbb{H}^{(n)}$ is open in $\mathbb{D}$. In particular, because of $0 \in \mathbb{H}^{(n)}$, there is an $r > 0$ such that $\{v \in \mathbb{D} : |v| < r\} \subseteq \mathbb{H}^{(n)}$ holds.

(b) In view of $\{f(0) : f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n]\} = \{A_0\}$, the case $w = 0$ is trivial. Now let $w \neq 0$. From part (a) we know that (ii) is valid. Hence we have

$$\Psi_{n,J}(w) = \rho_{n,J}(w)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{-1}\Big(I - \chi_{n,J}(w)[\chi_{n,J}(w)]^*\Big)\Big(\rho_{n,J}(w)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{-1}\Big)^* > 0.$$

Similarly, using Lemma 5.6, we obtain $\Phi_{n,J}(w) > 0$. Taking into account (i) and Theorem 5.1, we get (5.40) for each $S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$ and, consequently, $\mathbb{K}_{m\times m} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)}$. Further, Theorem 5.1 provides us

$$\{f(w) : f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n]\} = \mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)}(\mathbb{K}_{m\times m}). \tag{5.41}$$

According to [28, Lemma 5.4], the identity $\mathfrak{D}_{n,J}(w)U_{mm}\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w) = w^{n+1}U_{mm}$ holds where

$$U_{mm} := \begin{pmatrix} 0_{m\times m} & I_m \\ -I_m & 0_{m\times m} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.42}$$

Because of $w \neq 0$ this implies $\det \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w) \neq 0$ and, in view of (5.11),

$$[\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)]^{-1} = \frac{1}{w^{n+1}}\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{-1}\tau_{n,J}(w) & -\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{-1}\sigma_{n,J}(w) \\ -w\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{-1}\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}(w)J & w\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{-1}\tilde{\rho}^{[n]}_{n,J}(w)J \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.43}$$

Let $W := [\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)]^{-*}j_{mm}[\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)]^{-1}$ and $V := \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)j_{mm}[\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)]^*$. Let the block partitions of W and V be given as in (5.24) where W_{11} and V_{11} are $m \times m$ blocks. Having in mind condition (ii) and Lemma 5.4 we see that the matrices W_{11} and V_{22} are nonsingular. Moreover, using (5.43) and (5.10) it is readily checked that

$$\mathcal{M}_{n,J}(w) = -W_{11}^{-1}W_{12}, \quad |w|^{2(n+1)}\mathcal{L}_{n,J}(w) = W_{11}^{-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}_{n,J}(w) = -V_{22}^{-1} \tag{5.44}$$

hold true. Thus, condition (ii) and Lemma 5.4 provide us

$$\mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)}(\mathbb{K}_{m\times m}) = \mathfrak{K}\Big(\mathcal{M}_{n,J}(w); |w|^{n+1}\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{n,J}(w)}, \sqrt{\mathcal{R}_{n,J}(w)}\Big) \tag{5.45}$$

and $\mathcal{M}_{n,J}(w) = V_{12}V_{22}^{-1}$. It is easy to see that the latter identity implies (5.38). Finally, (5.41) and (5.45) yield (5.35).

(c) According to [27, Lemma 3.11] the equation $\det L_{n+1,J} = \det R_{n+1,J}$ holds. Thus, in the case $w = 0$ the assertion of (c) is obvious. Now suppose $w \neq 0$. Then using $\det \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w) \neq 0$, (ii), Lemma 5.4, (5.44), Remark 5.5, and Lemma 5.2 we obtain

$$\frac{\det(|w|^{2(n+1)}\mathcal{L}_{n,J}(w))}{\det \mathcal{R}_{n,J}(w)} = |\det \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)|^2 = |w|^{2(n+1)m}$$

and, consequently, (c). □

From now on, whenever some $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{+\infty\}$ and a strict J-Potapov sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$ are given, then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\kappa}$, let the set $\mathbb{H}^{(n)}$ and the matrix-valued functions $\mathcal{M}_{n,J} : \mathbb{H}^{(n)} \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$, $\mathcal{L}_{n,J} : \mathbb{H}^{(n)} \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$, and $\mathcal{R}_{n,J} : \mathbb{H}^{(n)} \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ be given by (5.32), (5.33), (5.34), (5.36), and (5.37), respectively.

A closer look at formula (5.35) shows that there occurs an appropriate normalization of the left semi-radius of the matrix ball under consideration. This type of normalization originates in V.K. Dubovoj's paper [11].

Remark 5.9. Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, and let A_0 be a strictly J-contractive matrix. Then a straightforward calculation yields

$$I - \left(\sqrt{R_{1,J}} J A_0^* \sqrt{L_{1,J}}^{-1}\right)^* \left(\sqrt{R_{1,J}} J A_0^* \sqrt{L_{1,J}}^{-1}\right) = \sqrt{L_{1,J}} J \sqrt{L_{1,J}}.$$

In the case $J \neq I_m$ this implies $\| \sqrt{R_{1,J}} J A_0^* \sqrt{L_{1,J}}^{-1} \| > 1$. Taking into account Theorem 5.8 we see that $\mathbb{H}^{(0)}$ coincides with the set of all $w \in \mathbb{D}$ for which the matrix $w \sqrt{R_{1,J}} J A_0^* \sqrt{L_{1,J}}^{-1}$ is strictly contractive, i.e., $\mathbb{H}^{(0)} = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < r_0\}$ where

$$r_0 := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } J = I_m \\ \| \sqrt{R_{1,J}} J A_0^* \sqrt{L_{1,J}}^{-1} \|^{-1}, & \text{if } J \neq I_m. \end{cases} \tag{5.46}$$

In particular, if f is some matrix-valued function belonging to $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $A_0 := f(0)$ is strictly J-contractive, then f is holomorphic in the open disk $\{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < r_0\}$. Further, it is readily checked that in the case $J \neq I_m$ the identity $r_0 = \| \sqrt{R_{1,J}}^{-1} A_0^* J \sqrt{L_{1,J}} \|^{-1}$ holds.

Remark 5.10. Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ be a strict J-Potapov sequence. Then Remark 5.9 provides us in particular $\{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < r_0\} \subseteq \mathbb{H}^{(n)}$ where r_0 is given by (5.46).

The following result was inspired by [24, Proposition 7.2]. However, the strategy of the following proof of Proposition 5.11 is completely different from the proof of [24, Proposition 7.2], which is essentielly based on using Christoffel-Darboux formulas.

Proposition 5.11. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$ be a strict J-Potapov sequence. Let $w \in \mathbb{H}^{(n)}$. Then:*

(a) $\mathcal{L}_{n,J}(w) \geq \mathcal{L}_{n+1,J}(w)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{n,J}(w) \geq \mathcal{R}_{n+1,J}(w)$.

(b) Let $K_{n+1,J}$ be given by (5.15), and let $\chi_{n,J}$ be defined by (5.27). Then the following statements are equivalent:

- *(i) $\mathcal{L}_{n,J}(w) = \mathcal{L}_{n+1,J}(w)$.*
- *(ii) $\mathcal{R}_{n,J}(w) = \mathcal{R}_{n+1,J}(w)$.*
- *(iii) $\chi_{n,J}(w) = -K_{n+1,J}^*$.*

Moreover, if $w \neq 0$, then (i) is equivalent to

- *(iv) $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,J}(w) = f_{c,n+1}(w)$,*

where $f_{c,n+1}$ denotes the J-central J-Potapov function corresponding to $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$.

Proof. First we note that, in view of $\mathbb{H}^{(n)} \subseteq \mathbb{H}^{(n+1)}$ and part (a) of Theorem 5.8, the complex matrices $\mathcal{L}_{n+1,J}(w)$, $\mathcal{R}_{n+1,J}(w)$, $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,J}(w)$, and $\chi_{n,J}(w)$ are well-defined. Further, let $G_{n+1,J}$ and $H_{n+1,J}$ be defined via (5.13) and (5.14), respectively. From [27, Proposition 4.1] we know that $K_{n+1,J}$ is strictly contractive and that the identities $L_{n+2,J} = \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}(I - K_{n+1,J}K_{n+1,J}^*)\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}$ and $R_{n+2,J} = \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}(I - K_{n+1,J}^* K_{n+1,J})\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}$ hold. Having this in mind, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &[H_{n+1,J}(w)]^* j_{mm} H_{n+1,J}(w) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} I & K_{n+1,J}^* \\ K_{n+1,J} & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |w|^2 (I - K_{n+1,J}^* K_{n+1,J})^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -(I - K_{n+1,J} K_{n+1,J}^*)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \cdot \begin{pmatrix} I & K_{n+1,J}^* \\ K_{n+1,J} & I \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.47}$$

Taking into account (5.47) and the identities $K_{n+1,J}(I-K^*_{n+1,J}K_{n+1,J})^{-1}=(I-K_{n+1,J}K^*_{n+1,J})^{-1}K_{n+1,J}$ as well as $K^*_{n+1,J}(I-K_{n+1,J}K^*_{n+1,J})^{-1}=(I-K^*_{n+1,J}K_{n+1,J})^{-1}K^*_{n+1,J}$, a straightforward calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&j_{mm}-[H_{n+1,J}(w)]^*j_{mm}H_{n+1,J}(w)\\
&=(1-|w|^2)\begin{pmatrix}(I-K^*_{n+1,J}K_{n+1,J})^{-1} & (I-K^*_{n+1,J}K_{n+1,J})^{-1}K^*_{n+1,J}\\ K_{n+1,J}(I-K^*_{n+1,J}K_{n+1,J})^{-1} & K_{n+1,J}(I-K^*_{n+1,J}K_{n+1,J})^{-1}K^*_{n+1,J}\end{pmatrix}\\
&=(1-|w|^2)(I,K^*_{n+1,J})^*(I-K^*_{n+1,J}K_{n+1,J})^{-1}(I,K^*_{n+1,J}).
\end{aligned}\tag{5.48}$$

Analoguosly it can be checked that

$$j_{mm}-G_{n+1,J}(w)j_{mm}[G_{n+1,J}(w)]^*=(1-|w|^2)(I,K_{n+1,J})^*(I-K_{n+1,J}K^*_{n+1,J})^{-1}(I,K_{n+1,J})\tag{5.49}$$

holds true. Further, because of (5.12) the equations

$$\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)G_{n+1,J}(w)=\mathfrak{C}_{n+1,J}(w)\quad\text{and}\quad H_{n+1,J}(w)\mathfrak{D}_{n,J}(w)=\mathfrak{D}_{n+1,J}(w)\tag{5.50}$$

are fulfilled. For $k\in\{n,n+1\}$ let $\Phi_{k,J}(w)$ and $\Psi_{k,J}(w)$ be given by (5.33) and (5.34), respectively. It is readily checked that

$$\Phi_{k,J}(w)=-(0_{m\times m},I_m)[\mathfrak{D}_{k,J}(w)]^*j_{mm}\mathfrak{D}_{k,J}(w)(0_{m\times m},I_m)^*\tag{5.51}$$

and

$$\Psi_{k,J}(w)=-(0_{m\times m},I_m)\mathfrak{C}_{k,J}(w)j_{mm}[\mathfrak{C}_{k,J}(w)]^*(0_{m\times m},I_m)^*\tag{5.52}$$

hold for each $k\in\{n,n+1\}$. Using (5.51), (5.50), (5.48), and Lemma 5.6 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi_{n+1,J}(w)-\Phi_{n,J}(w)\\
&=(0_{m\times m},I_m)[\mathfrak{D}_{n,J}(w)]^*\Big(j_{mm}-[H_{n+1,J}(w)]^*j_{mm}H_{n+1,J}(w)\Big)\mathfrak{D}_{n,J}(w)(0_{m\times m},I_m)^*\\
&=(1-|w|^2)(0_{m\times m},I_m)[\mathfrak{D}_{n,J}(w)]^*(I,K^*_{n+1,J})^*(I-K^*_{n+1,J}K_{n+1,J})^{-1}\\
&\quad\cdot(I,K^*_{n+1,J})\mathfrak{D}_{n,J}(w)(0_{m\times m},I_m)^*\\
&=(1-|w|^2)\Big(w\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{-1}\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}(w)J+K^*_{n+1,J}\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{-1}\tau_{n,J}(w)\Big)^*\\
&\quad\cdot\big(I-K^*_{n+1,J}K_{n+1,J}\big)^{-1}\Big(w\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{-1}\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_{n,J}(w)J+K^*_{n+1,J}\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{-1}\tau_{n,J}(w)\Big)\\
&=(1-|w|^2)[\tau_{n,J}(w)]^*\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{-1}\Big(\chi_{n,J}(w)+K^*_{n+1,J}\Big)^*\big(I-K^*_{n+1,J}K_{n+1,J}\big)^{-1}\\
&\quad\cdot\Big(\chi_{n,J}(w)+K^*_{n+1,J}\Big)\sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{-1}\tau_{n,J}(w)
\end{aligned}\tag{5.53}$$

and, analoguosly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Psi_{n+1,J}(w)-\Psi_{n,J}(w)\\
&=(1-|w|^2)\rho_{n,J}(w)\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{-1}\Big(\chi_{n,J}(w)+K^*_{n+1,J}\Big)\big(I-K_{n+1,J}K^*_{n+1,J}\big)^{-1}\\
&\quad\cdot\Big(\chi_{n,J}(w)+K^*_{n+1,J}\Big)^*\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{-1}[\rho_{n,J}(w)]^*.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.54}$$

In view of Theorem 5.8 we have $0<\mathcal{L}_{k,J}(w)=[\Phi_{k,J}(w)]^{-1}$ and $0<\mathcal{R}_{k,J}(w)=[\Psi_{k,J}(w)]^{-1}$ for $k\in\{n,n+1\}$. Further, because of part (a) of Theorem 5.8 and Lemma 5.6, the matrices $\rho_{n,J}(w)$ and $\tau_{n,J}(w)$ are both nonsingular. Consequently, since $(I-K^*_{n+1,J}K_{n+1,J})^{-1}$ and $(I-K_{n+1,J}K^*_{n+1,J})^{-1}$ are both positive Hermitian, (5.53) and (5.54) imply (a) and the equivalence of (i), (ii), and (iii).

Now let $w\neq 0$. Because of $w\in\mathbb{H}^{(n)}$, Theorem 5.1 yields $\mathbb{K}_{m\times m}\subseteq\mathcal{Q}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)}$. In particular, $K_{n+1,J}\in\mathcal{Q}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)}$. Hence, in view of (5.15), Corollary 2.8 (in combination with (5.6)–(5.9)) implies

$$f_{c,n+1}(w)=\mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)}(K_{n+1,J}).\tag{5.55}$$

Furthermore, from the block representation of $\mathfrak{C}_{n+1,J}(w)j_{mm}[\mathfrak{C}_{n+1,J}(w)]^*$ and Theorem 5.8 (see formula (5.38)) we infer that $0_{m\times m} \in \mathcal{Q}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n+1,J}(w)j_{mm}[\mathfrak{C}_{n+1,J}(w)]^*}$ and

$$\mathcal{M}_{n+1,J}(w) = \mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n+1,J}(w)j_{mm}[\mathfrak{C}_{n+1,J}(w)]^*}(0_{m\times m}) \tag{5.56}$$

are satisfied. Because of $w \in \mathbb{H}^{(n)}$, part (a) of Theorem 5.8 provides us $0_{m\times m} \in \mathcal{Q}^{(m,m)}_{[\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)]^*}$. Thus,

$$\mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{[\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)]^*}(0_{m\times m}) = [\chi_{n,J}(w)]^*. \tag{5.57}$$

Using well-known properties of linear-fractional transformations of matrices (see, e.g., [16, Proposition 1.6.3], we conclude from (5.56), (5.50), and (5.57) that $[\chi_{n,J}(w)]^* \in \mathcal{Q}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)G_{n+1,J}(w)j_{mm}[G_{n+1,J}(w)]^*}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_{n+1,J}(w) &= \mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)G_{n+1,J}(w)j_{mm}[G_{n+1,J}(w)]^*[\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)]^*}(0_{m\times m})\\ &= \mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)G_{n+1,J}(w)j_{mm}[G_{n+1,J}(w)]^*}([\chi_{n,J}(w)]^*).\end{aligned} \tag{5.58}$$

Applying again [16, Proposition 1.6.3], we see that all terms in the equations (5.59) below are well-defined and

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{G_{n+1,J}(w)j_{mm}[G_{n+1,J}(w)]^*}(-K_{n+1,J}) = \mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{G_{n+1,J}(w)j_{mm}}\big(\mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{[G_{n+1,J}(w)]^*}(-K_{n+1,J})\big)\\ &= \mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{G_{n+1,J}(w)j_{mm}}(0_{m\times m}) = \mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{G_{n+1,J}(w)}(0_{m\times m}) = K_{n+1,J}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.59}$$

In view of Lemma 5.2, the matrix $\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)$ is nonsingular. Hence $\mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)}$ is injective and $\big(\mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)}\big)^{-1} = \mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{[\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)]^{-1}}$ (see, e.g., [16, Proposition 1.6.2]). Consequently, (5.55), (5.58) and [16, Proposition 1.6.3] imply that (iv) holds if and only if $[\chi_{n,J}(w)]^* \in \mathcal{Q}^{(m,m)}_{G_{n+1,J}(w)j_{mm}[G_{n+1,J}(w)]^*}$ and

$$\mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{G_{n+1,J}(w)j_{mm}[G_{n+1,J}(w)]^*}([\chi_{n,J}(w)]^*) = K_{n+1,J}.$$

Due to (5.50) and Lemma 5.2, the matrix $G_{n+1,J}(w)j_{mm}[G_{n+1,J}(w)]^*$ is nonsingular. Consequently, the map $\mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{G_{n+1,J}(w)j_{mm}[G_{n+1,J}(w)]^*}$ is injective. Thus, taking into account additionally (5.59) we infer that (iv) holds if and only if (iii) is satisfied. This completes the proof. □

6. Interrelations between the Weyl matrix balls connected with strict *J*-Potapov sequences and their Potapov-Ginzburg-associated Schur sequences

In [26, Section 5] and [27, Section 6] we have shown that via the concept of the J-PG transform there is an intimate connection between J-Potapov sequences and $m \times m$ Schur sequences. In the following, we will continue these studies by focussing on interrelations between the parameters of the Weyl matrix balls associated with a strict J-Potapov sequence and the corresponding Schur sequence.

We start with some notations. Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{+\infty\}$. In the sequel, if $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$ is a strict J-Potapov sequence, then we will continue to use the notations $\pi_{n,J}$, $\rho_{n,J}$, $\sigma_{n,J}$, $\tau_{n,J}$, $\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}$, and $\mathfrak{D}_{n,J}$ introduced in (5.2)–(5.5), (5.10), and (5.11), respectively, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\kappa}$. Furthermore, whenever some strict $m \times m$ Schur sequence $(B_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$ is given, then we will assign the following matrices and matrix polynomials to the sequence $(B_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$ by specifying the corresponding settings for J-Potapov sequences in the case $J = I_m$. Let

$$\pi_0(w) := B_0, \quad \rho_0(w) := I_m, \quad \sigma_0(w) := B_0, \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_0(w) := I_m \tag{6.1}$$

for each $w \in \mathbb{C}$. If $\kappa \geq 1$, then let for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_{1,\kappa}$ the matrix polynomials π_n, ρ_n, σ_n, and τ_n be given by

$$\pi_n(w) := B_0 + we_{n-1,m}(w)P_{n-1}^{-1}y_n^{(B)}, \tag{6.2}$$

$$\rho_n(w) := I_m + we_{n,m}(w)(S^{(B)}_{n-1})^* P^{-1}_{n-1} y^{(B)}_n, \tag{6.3}$$

$$\sigma_n(w) := z^{(B)}_n Q^{-1}_{n-1} w\varepsilon_{n-1,m}(w) + B_0, \tag{6.4}$$

and

$$\tau_n(w) := z^{(B)}_n Q^{-1}_{n-1} (S^{(B)}_{n-1})^* w\varepsilon_{n-1,m}(w) + I_m \tag{6.5}$$

for each $w \in \mathbb{C}$, where $P_{n-1} := I - S^{(B)}_{n-1}(S^{(B)}_{n-1})^*$ and $Q_{n-1} := I - (S^{(B)}_{n-1})^* S^{(B)}_{n-1}$. (Here the matrices $S^{(B)}_{n-1}$, $y^{(B)}_n$, and $z^{(B)}_n$ are defined as in (1.2) and (1.7), respectively, with the sequence $(B_j)^n_{j=0}$ instead of $(A_j)^n_{j=0}$.) Furthermore, let

$$l_1 := I_m - B_0 B_0^* \quad \text{and} \quad r_1 := I_m - B_0^* B_0 \tag{6.6}$$

and, in the case $\kappa \geq 1$,

$$l_{n+1} := l_1 - z^{(B)}_n Q^{-1}_{n-1} (z^{(B)}_n)^* \quad \text{and} \quad r_{n+1} := r_1 - (y^{(B)}_n)^* P^{-1}_{n-1} y^{(B)}_n \tag{6.7}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{1,\kappa}$. Note that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\kappa}$, the matrices l_{n+1} and r_{n+1} are positive Hermitian if $(B_j)^\kappa_{j=0}$ is a strict $m \times m$ Schur sequence. Further, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\kappa}$ and each $w \in \mathbb{C}$, let the matrix polynomials $\mathcal{C}_n$ and $\mathcal{D}_n$ be defined by

$$\mathcal{C}_n(w) := \begin{pmatrix} w\tilde{\tau}^{[n]}_n(w) & \pi_n(w) \\ w\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_n(w) & \rho_n(w) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{l_{n+1}}^{\,-1} & 0_{m\times m} \\ 0_{m\times m} & \sqrt{r_{n+1}}^{\,-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.8}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}_n(w) := \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{r_{n+1}}^{\,-1} & 0_{m\times m} \\ 0_{m\times m} & \sqrt{l_{n+1}}^{\,-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w\tilde{\rho}^{[n]}_n(w) & w\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_n(w) \\ \sigma_n(w) & \tau_n(w) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.9}$$

The special choice $J = I_m$ in Theorem 5.8 leads to the following well-known description of the Weyl matrix balls corresponding to a finite strict $m \times m$ Schur sequence (see [16, Theorem 3.9.2]).

Proposition 6.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(B_j)^n_{j=0}$ be a strict $m\times m$ Schur sequence. Denote by $\mathcal{S}_{m\times m}[\mathbb{D},(B_j)^n_{j=0}]$ the set of all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$ satisfying $\frac{f^{(j)}(0)}{j!} = B_j$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0,n}$. Further, let $w \in \mathbb{D}$. Then the matrices*

$$\Phi_n(w) := [\tau_n(w)]^* l^{-1}_{n+1} \tau_n(z) - |w|^2 [\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_n(w)]^* r^{-1}_{n+1} \tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_n(w) \tag{6.10}$$

and

$$\Psi_n(w) := \rho_n(w) r^{-1}_{n+1} [\rho_n(w)]^* - |w|^2 \tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_n(w) l^{-1}_{n+1} [\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_n(w)]^* \tag{6.11}$$

are both positive Hermitian, and the identity

$$\{f(w) : f \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}[\mathbb{D},(B_j)^n_{j=0}]\} = \mathfrak{K}\big(\mathcal{M}_n(w); |w|^{n+1}\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_n(w)}, \sqrt{\mathcal{R}_n(w)}\big)$$

holds true where

$$\mathcal{M}_n(w) := [\Phi_n(w)]^{-1}\Big([\tau_n(w)]^* l^{-1}_{n+1} \sigma_n(w) - |w|^2 [\tilde{\pi}^{[n]}_n(w)]^* r^{-1}_{n+1} \tilde{\rho}^{[n]}_n(w)\Big), \tag{6.12}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_n(w) := [\Phi_n(w)]^{-1}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{R}_n(w) := [\Psi_n(w)]^{-1}. \tag{6.13}$$

Moreover, the matrix $\mathcal{M}_n(w)$ admits the representation

$$\mathcal{M}_n(w) = \Big(\pi_n(w) r^{-1}_{n+1} [\rho_n(w)]^* - |w|^2 \tilde{\tau}^{[n]}_n(w) l^{-1}_{n+1} [\tilde{\sigma}^{[n]}_n(w)]^*\Big) [\Psi_n(w)]^{-1}.$$

From now on, whenever some $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{+\infty\}$ and a strict $m\times m$ Schur sequence $(B_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$ are given, then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\kappa}$, let the matrix-valued functions $\mathcal{M}_n : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$, $\mathcal{L}_n : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$, and $\mathcal{R}_n : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ be given by (6.10), (6.11), (6.12), and (6.13), respectively.

For the convenience of the reader, we will now recall the notion of the J-Potapov-Ginzburg transform of a sequence of matrices, which was introduced in [26, Section 5]. In the sequel, whenever some $m \times m$ signature matrix J is given, then let the orthoprojection matrices $\mathbf{P}_J$ and $\mathbf{Q}_J$ be defined by (4.3).

Now let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let the $(n+1)m \times (n+1)m$ signature matrix $J_{[n]}$ be given by (1.1). Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{+\infty\}$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$ be a sequence of complex $m\times m$ matrices with $\det(\mathbf{Q}_J A_0 + \mathbf{P}_J) \neq 0$. Then $\det(\mathbf{Q}_{J_{[n]}} S_n^{(A)} + \mathbf{P}_{J_{[n]}}) \neq 0$ holds for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\kappa}$, and there is a unique sequence $(B_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$ of complex $m \times m$ matrices such that $\left(\mathbf{P}_{J_{[n]}} S_n^{(A)} + \mathbf{Q}_{J_{[n]}}\right)\left(\mathbf{Q}_{J_{[n]}} S_n^{(A)} + \mathbf{P}_{J_{[n]}}\right)^{-1} = S_n^{(B)}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\kappa}$ (see [26, Definition 5.9 and Proposition 5.11]). This sequence $(B_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$ is said to be the *J-Potapov-Ginzburg transform* (short: *J-PG transform*) of $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$.

Now we formulate the announced connection between J-Potapov sequences and Schur sequences.

Proposition 6.2. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, and let $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{+\infty\}$.*

(a) If $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$ is a J-Potapov sequence (respectively, a strict J-Potapov sequence), then $\det(\mathbf{Q}_J A_0 + \mathbf{P}_J) \neq 0$*, and the J-PG transform $(B_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$ of $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$ is an $m \times m$ Schur sequence (respectively, a strict $m \times m$ Schur sequence) which fulfills* $\det(\mathbf{Q}_J B_0 + \mathbf{P}_J) \neq 0$*. Furthermore, $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$ is the J-PG transform of $(B_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$.*

(b) If $(B_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$ is an $m \times m$ Schur sequence (respectively, a strict $m \times m$ Schur sequence) such that $\det(\mathbf{Q}_J B_0 + \mathbf{P}_J) \neq 0$*, then the J-PG transform $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$ of $(B_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$ is a J-Potapov sequence (respectively, a strict J-Potapov sequence), and $(B_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$ is the J-PG transform of $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\kappa}$.*

A proof of Proposition 6.2 is given in [26, Propositions 5.16 and 5.17].

In order to derive the desired interrelations between the parameters of the Weyl matrix balls associated with a strict J-Potapov sequence and the corresponding strict Schur sequence, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, let A be a nonsingular matrix from $\mathbb{C}^{(p+q)\times(p+q)}$, Suppose that $\mathbb{K}_{p\times q} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_A^{(p,q)}$ and $\mathcal{S}_A^{(p,q)}(\mathbb{K}_{p\times q}) = \mathbb{K}_{p\times q}$ are fulfilled. Then there exist a positive real number λ and a j_{pq}-unitary matrix U such that $A = \lambda U$ is satisfied. If, additionally, $\mathcal{S}_A^{(p,q)}(0_{p\times q}) = 0_{p\times q}$ holds, then there are unitary matrices $U_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{p\times p}$ and $U_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{p\times p}$ such that $A = \lambda \operatorname{diag}(U_1, U_2)$ is fulfilled.*

Proof. Let the block partition of A be given as in (5.24) with $p \times p$ block A_{11}. In view of $0_{p\times q} \in \mathbb{K}_{p\times q} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_A^{(p,q)}$ we have $\det A_{22} \neq 0$. Hence $\det(I_q + A_{22}^{-1} A_{21} X) \neq 0$ holds for each $X \in \mathbb{K}_{p\times q}$. Thus, Remark 5.7 yields that $A_{22}^{-1}A_{21}$ is strictly contractive. Let $V := A j_{pq} A^*$, and let the block partition of V be given as in (5.24), where V_{11} is a $p \times p$ block. Then Lemma 5.4 provides us $\det V_{22} \neq 0$ and $\mathbb{K}_{p\times q} = \mathcal{S}_A^{(p,q)}(\mathbb{K}_{p\times q}) = \mathfrak{K}(M; \sqrt{L}, \sqrt{R})$, where $M := V_{12}V_{22}^{-1}$, $L := V_{11} - V_{12}V_{22}^{-1}V_{21} > 0_{p\times p}$, and $R := -V_{22}^{-1} > 0_{q\times q}$. Thus, taking into account $\mathbb{K}_{p\times q} = \mathfrak{K}(0_{p\times q}; I_p, I_q)$ and using well-known properties of matrix balls ([38, Theorems 1.1 and 1.3], see also [16, Corollary 1.5.1 and Theorem 1.5.2]), we obtain $M = 0_{p\times q}$, $L = \rho I_p$, and $R = \frac{1}{\rho} I_q$ with some positive real number ρ. This implies $V = \rho j_{pq}$. Setting $\lambda := \sqrt{\rho}$ and $U := \frac{1}{\lambda}A$, we get therefore $U j_{pq} U^* = j_{pq}$. Consequently, U is j_{pq}-unitary. Thus, the first part of the assertion is verified. Now suppose $\mathcal{S}_A^{(p,q)}(0_{p\times q}) = 0_{p\times q}$. Then it follows immediately that $A_{12} = 0_{p\times q}$ holds. In view of $A^* j_{pq} A = \lambda^2 j_{pq}$ it is then readily checked that the second part of the assertion is true. □

Lemma 6.4. *Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{(p+q)\times(p+q)}$ be such that $|\det A| = |\det B| \neq 0$. Suppose that $\mathbb{K}_{p\times q} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_A^{(p,q)} \cap \mathcal{Q}_B^{(p,q)}$ and $\mathcal{S}_A^{(p,q)}(\mathbb{K}_{p\times q}) = \mathcal{S}_B^{(p,q)}(\mathbb{K}_{p\times q})$ are fulfilled. Then the matrix $B^{-1}A$ is j_{pq}-unitary. If, additionally, $\mathcal{S}_A^{(p,q)}(0_{p\times q}) = \mathcal{S}_B^{(p,q)}(0_{p\times q})$ holds, then there are unitary matrices $U_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{p\times p}$ and $U_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{p\times p}$ such that $B^{-1}A = \operatorname{diag}(U_1, U_2)$ is fulfilled.*

Proof. Using well-known properties of linear fractional transformations of matrices (see, e.g., [16, Propositions 1.6.2 and 1.6.3]), it follows $\mathbb{K}_{p\times q} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}^{(p,q)}_{B^{-1}A}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{(p,q)}_{B^{-1}A}(\mathbb{K}_{p\times q}) = \mathcal{S}^{(p,q)}_{B^{-1}}(\mathcal{S}^{(p,q)}_{A}(\mathbb{K}_{p\times q})) = \mathbb{K}_{p\times q}$. Thus, from Lemma 6.3 we infer that there are a positive real number λ and a j_{pq}-unitary matrix U such that $B^{-1}A = \lambda U$ is fulfilled. In view of $|\det U| = 1$, this implies $\lambda^{p+q} = \frac{|\det A|}{|\det B| \cdot |\det U|} = 1$, i.e., $B^{-1}A$ is j_{pq}-unitary. Now suppose $\mathcal{S}^{(p,q)}_{A}(0_{p\times q}) = \mathcal{S}^{(p,q)}_{B}(0_{p\times q})$. Then $\mathcal{S}^{(p,q)}_{B^{-1}A}(0_{p\times q}) = 0_{p\times q}$ follows, and Lemma 6.3 yields the remaining assertion. □

Lemma 6.5. *Let J be an $m\times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be a strict J-Potapov sequence. Let $\mathbf{C} : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}^{2m\times 2m}$ be a holomorphic matrix-valued function, and let $\mathbf{C} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{11} & \mathbf{C}_{12} \\ \mathbf{C}_{21} & \mathbf{C}_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ be the block decomposition of $\mathbf{C}$ into $m \times m$ blocks. Suppose that there is some $w_0 \in \mathbb{H}^{(n)}$ satisfying $\mathbb{K}_{m\times m} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}^{(m,m)}_{\mathbf{C}(w_0)}$, that*

$$\mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n] = \left\{(\mathbf{C}_{11}S + \mathbf{C}_{12})(\mathbf{C}_{21}S + \mathbf{C}_{22})^{-1} : S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})\right\}, \tag{6.14}$$

and that $|\det \mathbf{C}(w)| = |\det \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)|$ holds for each $w \in \mathbb{D}$. Then there exists a j_{mm}-unitary matrix U such that

$$\mathbf{C} = \hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{n,J} U \tag{6.15}$$

is satisfied, where $\hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{n,J}$ denotes the restriction of $\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}$ onto $\mathbb{D}$. If, additionally, $\mathbf{C}_{12}\mathbf{C}_{22}^{-1}$ coincides with the J-central J-Potapov function corresponding to $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$, then $U = \operatorname{diag}(U_1, U_2)$ holds with some unitary $m \times m$ matrices U_1 and U_2.

Proof. Because of $\mathbb{K}_{m\times m} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}^{(m,m)}_{\mathbf{C}(w_0)}$, we have $\det \mathbf{C}_{22}(w_0) \neq 0$ and $\det(I + \mathbf{C}_{22}^{-1}(w_0)\mathbf{C}_{21}(w_0)K) \neq 0$ for each $K \in \mathbb{K}_{m\times m}$. Since $\mathbb{H}^{(n)}$ is open in $\mathbb{D}$ (see Theorem 5.8), Remark 5.7 and a continuity argument yield the existence of a positive real number r such that $K(w_0, r) := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - w_0| < r\} \subseteq \mathbb{H}^{(n)}$ and $\mathbb{K}_{m\times m} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}^{(m,m)}_{\mathbf{C}(w)}$ for each $w \in K(w_0, r)$. In view of Theorem 5.1 and (6.14), this implies $\mathbb{K}_{m\times m} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)} \cap \mathcal{Q}^{(m,m)}_{\mathbf{C}(w)}$ and

$$\mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)}(\mathbb{K}_{m\times m}) = \{f(w) : f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n]\} = \mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{\mathbf{C}(w)}(\mathbb{K}_{m\times m})$$

for each $w \in K(w_0, r)$. Furthermore, from Lemma 5.2 we get $|\det \mathbf{C}(w)| = |\det \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)| \neq 0$ for each $w \in K(w_0, r) \setminus \{0\}$. Let $h := \hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{n,J}^{-1}\mathbf{C}$. An application of Lemma 6.4 provides us then that $h(w)$ is a j_{mm}-unitary matrix for each $w \in K(w_0, r) \setminus \{0\}$. Thus, using well-known properties of Potapov functions (see, e.g., [16, Corollary 2.4.1]) we can conclude that h is a constant matrix-valued function in $\mathbb{D}$ with j_{mm}-unitary value, i.e., there is a j_{mm}-unitary matrix U such that (6.15) is satisfied. Now assume that $\mathbf{C}_{12}\mathbf{C}_{22}^{-1}$ coincides with the J-central J-Potapov function corresponding to $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$. Then Theorem 2.5 (in combination with (5.6)–(5.9)) implies $\mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{\mathbf{C}(w)}(0_{m\times m}) = \mathbf{C}_{12}(w)\mathbf{C}_{22}^{-1}(w) = \mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)}(0_{m\times m})$ for each $w \in K(w_0, r)$. Because of (6.15), Lemma 6.4 yields finally the existence of unitary $m\times m$ matrices U_1 and U_2 such that $U = \operatorname{diag}(U_1, U_2)$ is satisfied. □

In the sequel, if some $m \times m$ signature matrix J is given, then let

$$\mathcal{A}_J := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}_J & \mathbf{Q}_J \\ \mathbf{Q}_J & \mathbf{P}_J \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}_J := \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{P}_J & \mathbf{Q}_J \\ \mathbf{Q}_J & -\mathbf{P}_J \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now let some strict J-Potapov sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be given, and let $(B_j)_{j=0}^n$ be its J-PG transform. Then we will study the interrelations between the matrix polynomials $\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{n,J}$ defined by (5.10) and (5.11) on the one hand, and the matrix polynomials $\mathcal{C}_n$ and $\mathcal{D}_n$ defined by (6.8) and (6.9) on the other hand. Here we will use the well-known notion of *central $m \times m$ Schur functions*. Observe that, if an $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and an $m \times m$ Schur sequence $(B_j)_{j=0}^n$ are given, then the central $m \times m$ Schur function corresponding to $(B_j)_{j=0}^n$ is just the I_m-central I_m-Potapov function corresponding to (the I_m-Potapov sequence) $(B_j)_{j=0}^n$.

Proposition 6.6. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be a strict J-Potapov sequence. Let $(B_j)_{j=0}^n$ be the J-PG transform of $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$. Then $(B_j)_{j=0}^n$ is a strict $m \times m$ Schur sequence and the matrices $U_1 := \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}(B_0\mathbf{Q}_J - \mathbf{P}_J)^* \sqrt{l_{n+1}}^{-1}$ and $U_2 := \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}(\mathbf{Q}_J B_0 + \mathbf{P}_J)\sqrt{r_{n+1}}^{-1}$ are unitary. Moreover,*

$$\mathcal{A}_J \mathcal{C}_n = \mathfrak{C}_{n,J} U \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{B}_J = V^* \mathfrak{D}_{n,J} \tag{6.16}$$

holds, where $U := \operatorname{diag}(-U_1, U_2)$ and $V := \operatorname{diag}(-U_2, U_1)$.

PROOF. In view of Proposition 6.2, $(B_j)_{j=0}^n$ is a strict $m \times m$ Schur sequence. Denote by $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_n$ the restriction of $\mathcal{C}_n$ onto $\mathbb{D}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_J \hat{\mathcal{C}}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{n,J}^{[11]} & \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{n,J}^{[12]} \\ \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{n,J}^{[21]} & \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{n,J}^{[22]} \end{pmatrix}$ be the block partition of $\mathcal{A}_J \hat{\mathcal{C}}_n$ into $m \times m$ blocks. Due to [26, Theorem 7.4], we have $\mathbb{K}_{m\times m} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}^{(m,m)}_{\mathcal{A}_J \mathcal{C}_n(0)}$ and

$$\mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n] = \big\{(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{n,J}^{[11]} S + \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{n,J}^{[12]})(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{n,J}^{[21]} S + \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{n,J}^{[22]})^{-1} : S \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})\big\}.$$

An application of Lemma 5.2 yields $\det(\mathcal{C}_n(w)) = w^{(n+1)m} = \det(\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w))$ for each $w \in \mathbb{C}$. Because of $\mathcal{A}_J^2 = I$ we get $|\det \mathcal{A}_J| = 1$ and therefore $|\det(\mathcal{A}_J \hat{\mathcal{C}}_n(w))| = |\det(\hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{n,J}(w))|$ for each $w \in \mathbb{D}$. Furthermore, let $f_{c,n}$ be the J-central J-Potapov function corresponding to $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$, and let $g_{c,n}$ be the central $m \times m$ Schur function corresponding to $(B_j)_{j=0}^n$. Then a combination of [27, Proposition 6.9, Remark 6.7] and [22, Theorem 1.2] provides us $f_{c,n}(w) \in \mathcal{Q}^{(m,m)}_{\mathcal{A}_J}$, $0_{m\times m} \in \mathcal{Q}^{(m,m)}_{\mathcal{C}_n(w)}$, and $\mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{\mathcal{A}_J}(f_{c,n}(w)) = g_{c,n}(w) = \mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{\mathcal{C}_n(w)}(0_{m\times m})$ for each $w \in \mathbb{H}_{f_{c,n}}$. Thus, using [16, Propositions 1.6.2 and 1.6.3] we obtain $0_{m\times m} \in \mathcal{Q}^{(m,m)}_{\mathcal{A}_J \mathcal{C}(w)}$ and $f_{c,n}(w) = \mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{\mathcal{A}_J \mathcal{C}(w)}(0_{m\times m})$ for each $w \in \mathbb{H}_{f_{c,n}}$, i.e., $f_{c,n} = \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{n,J}^{[12]}(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{n,J}^{[22]})^{-1}$ holds. Hence an application of Lemma 6.5 provides us the existence of some unitary $m \times m$ matrices $U_1^{\square}$ and $U_2^{\square}$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}_J \mathcal{C}_n = \mathfrak{C}_{n,J} \operatorname{diag}(U_1^{\square}, U_2^{\square}). \tag{6.17}$$

Comparing the right lower $m \times m$ blocks and the left upper $m \times m$ blocks in (6.17) we get

$$(\mathbf{Q}_J \pi_n + \mathbf{P}_J \rho_n)\sqrt{r_{n+1}}^{-1} = \rho_{n,J} \sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}^{-1} U_2^{\square}. \tag{6.18}$$

and $(\mathbf{P}_J \tilde{\tau}_n^{[n]} + \mathbf{Q}_J \tilde{\sigma}_n^{[n]})\sqrt{l_{n+1}}^{-1} = J\tilde{\tau}_{n,J}^{[n]} \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{-1} U_1^{\square}$. The latter equation implies

$$\sqrt{l_{n+1}}^{-1}(\tau_n \mathbf{P}_J - \sigma_n \mathbf{Q}_J) = (U_1^{\square})^* \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}^{-1} \tau_{n,J}. \tag{6.19}$$

In view of $\tau_{n,J}(0) = \rho_{n,J}(0) = \tau_n(0) = \rho_n(0) = I_m$ and $\sigma_n(0) = \pi_n(0) = B_0$, we obtain therefore from (6.19) and (6.18) that the identities $U_1^{\square} = -U_1$ and $U_2^{\square} = U_2$ hold. Consequently, U_1 and U_2 are unitary, and (6.17) implies the first equation stated in (6.16).

Further, taking into account [28, Lemma 5.4], we get

$$\mathfrak{D}_{n,J}(w) U_{mm} \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w) = w^{n+1} U_{mm} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_n(w) U_{mm} \mathcal{C}_n(w) = w^{n+1} U_{mm} \tag{6.20}$$

for each $w \in \mathbb{C}$, where U_{mm} is given by (5.42). Obviously $V^* = U_{mm} U^{-1} U_{mm}$ holds. Further, a short calculation yields $\mathcal{B}_J U_{mm} \mathcal{A}_J = -U_{mm}$ and $U_{mm}^2 = -I_{2m}$. Having this in mind, it can be readily checked that (6.20) and the first equation in (6.16) imply the second equation stated in (6.16). We omit the details. Thus, the proof is complete. $\square$

Now we are able to derive the desired interrelations between the parameters of the Weyl matrix balls associated with a strict J-Potapov sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ and its J-PG transform.

Proposition 6.7. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be a strict J-Potapov sequence. Furthermore, let $(B_j)_{j=0}^n$ be the J-PG transform of $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$. Then $(B_j)_{j=0}^n$ is a strict $m \times m$ Schur sequence and, for each $w \in \mathbb{H}^{(n)}$, the identities*

$$\mathcal{L}_{n,J}(w) = \Big((\mathcal{M}_n(w)\mathbf{Q}_J - \mathbf{P}_J)^*[\mathcal{L}_n(w)]^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_n(w)\mathbf{Q}_J - \mathbf{P}_J) - |w|^{2(n+1)}\mathbf{Q}_J\mathcal{R}_n(w)\mathbf{Q}_J\Big)^{-1}, \tag{6.21}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{n,J}(w) = \Big((\mathbf{Q}_J\mathcal{M}_n(w) + \mathbf{P}_J)[\mathcal{R}_n(w)]^{-1}(\mathbf{Q}_J\mathcal{M}_n(w) + \mathbf{P}_J)^* - |w|^{2(n+1)}\mathbf{P}_J\mathcal{L}_n(w)\mathbf{P}_J\Big)^{-1}, \tag{6.22}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{n,J}(w) = \Big((\mathbf{P}_J\mathcal{M}_n(w) + \mathbf{Q}_J)[\mathcal{R}_n(w)]^{-1}(\mathbf{Q}_J\mathcal{M}_n(w) + \mathbf{P}_J)^* - |w|^{2(n+1)}\mathbf{P}_J\mathcal{L}_n(w)\mathbf{Q}_J\Big)\mathcal{R}_{n,J}(w), \tag{6.23}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_{n,J}(w) = \mathcal{L}_{n,J}(w)\Big((\mathcal{M}_n(w)\mathbf{Q}_J - \mathbf{P}_J)^*[\mathcal{L}_n(w)]^{-1}(\mathbf{Q}_J - \mathcal{M}_n(w)\mathbf{P}_J) + |w|^{2(n+1)}\mathbf{Q}_J\mathcal{R}_n(w)\mathbf{P}_J\Big) \tag{6.24}$$

hold true.

Proof. Proposition 6.2 shows that $(B_j)_{j=0}^n$ is a strict $m \times m$ Schur sequence. In the case $w = 0$ formulas (6.21) and (6.22) are an immediate consequence of [27, Proposition 6.4], wheras (6.23) and (6.24) follow from (6.21), (6.22), and [26, formula (2.6) and Remark 2.1]. Now suppose $w \in \mathbb{H}^{(n)} \setminus \{0\}$. Because of Lemma 5.2 we have $\det \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w) \neq 0$. Let $W := [\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)]^{-*}j_{mm}[\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)]^{-1}$ and $V := \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)j_{mm}[\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)]^*$, and let the block partitions of W and V be given as in (5.24) with $m \times m$ blocks W_{11} and V_{11}. In the same way as in the proof of Theorem 5.8 we see then that W_{11} and V_{22} are nonsingular and that (5.44) is fulfilled. Furthermore, from part (a) of Theorem 5.8 and Lemma 5.4 (see formula (5.26)) we get $V_{22}^{-1} = W_{22} - W_{21}W_{11}^{-1}W_{12}$. Hence, using (5.44) and [16, Lemma 1.1.7], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)]^{-*}j_{mm}[\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)]^{-1} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} I & -\mathcal{M}_{n,J}(w) \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}^* \begin{pmatrix} |w|^{-2(n+1)}[\mathcal{L}_{n,J}(w)]^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathcal{R}_{n,J}(w) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & -\mathcal{M}_{n,J}(w) \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.25}$$

Since $(B_j)_{j=0}^n$ is a strict I_m-Potapov sequence, (6.25) implies in particular

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\mathcal{C}_n(w)]^{-*}j_{mm}[\mathcal{C}_n(w)]^{-1} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} I & -\mathcal{M}_n(w) \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}^* \begin{pmatrix} |w|^{-2(n+1)}[\mathcal{L}_n(w)]^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathcal{R}_n(w) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & -\mathcal{M}_n(w) \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

Furthermore, from Proposition 6.6 it follows that there is a j_{mm}-unitary matrix U such that $\mathcal{A}_J\mathcal{C}_n(w) = \mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)U$ is satisfied. In particular, U is invertible and U^{-1} is j_{mm}-unitary as well. Consequently, in view of $\mathcal{A}_J^2 = I$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)]^{-*}j_{mm}[\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)]^{-1} &= [\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)]^{-*}U^{-*}j_{mm}U^{-1}[\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)]^{-1} \\
&= \mathcal{A}_J[\mathcal{C}_n(w)]^{-*}j_{mm}[\mathcal{C}_n(w)]^{-1}\mathcal{A}_J
\end{aligned} \tag{6.27}$$

holds true. Combining (6.25), (6.26), and (6.27), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -(\mathcal{M}_{n,J}(w))^* & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} [\mathcal{L}_{n,J}(w)]^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -|w|^{2(n+1)}\mathcal{R}_{n,J}(w) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & -\mathcal{M}_{n,J}(w) \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} (\mathbf{P}_J - \mathcal{M}_n(w)\mathbf{Q}_J)^* & \mathbf{Q}_J \\ (\mathbf{Q}_J - \mathcal{M}_n(w)\mathbf{P}_J)^* & \mathbf{P}_J \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} [\mathcal{L}_n(w)]^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -|w|^{2(n+1)}\mathcal{R}_n(w) \end{pmatrix} \\
&\qquad \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}_J - \mathcal{M}_n(w)\mathbf{Q}_J & \mathbf{Q}_J - \mathcal{M}_n(w)\mathbf{P}_J \\ \mathbf{Q}_J & \mathbf{P}_J \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.28}$$

Comparing the left upper $m \times m$ blocks and the right upper $m \times m$ blocks in (6.28), we obtain (6.21) and (6.24). Equations (6.22) and (6.23) can be proven similarly. □

Note that Proposition 5.11 includes in particular the case of strict $m \times m$ Schur sequences. This fact suggests the following observation.

Remark 6.8. Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be a strict J-Potapov sequence, and let $w \in \mathbb{H}^{(n)}$. Furthermore, let $(B_j)_{j=0}^n$ be the J-PG transform of $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$. Denote by $f_{c,n}$ the J-central J-Potapov function corresponding to $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$, and let $g_{c,n}$ be the central $m \times m$ Schur function corresponding to the strict $m \times m$ Schur sequence $(B_j)_{j=0}^n$. From [27, Proposition 6.9] and [26, Proposition 3.4] we know that $\det(\mathbf{Q}_J g_{c,n}(w) + \mathbf{P}_J) \neq 0$ and $f_{c,n}(w) = (\mathbf{P}_J g_{c,n}(w) + \mathbf{Q}_J)(\mathbf{Q}_J g_{c,n}(w) + \mathbf{P}_J)^{-1}$ hold. Hence, if $\mathcal{L}_{n-1,J}(w) = \mathcal{L}_{n,J}(w)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{n-1}(w) = \mathcal{L}_n(w)$ are satisfied, then Proposition 5.11 and the (trivial) identities $\mathcal{M}_{n,J}(0) = f_{c,n}(0)$ and $\mathcal{M}_n(0) = g_{c,n}(0)$ imply

$$\mathcal{M}_{n,J}(w) = (\mathbf{P}_J \mathcal{M}_n(w) + \mathbf{Q}_J)(\mathbf{Q}_J \mathcal{M}_n(w) + \mathbf{P}_J)^{-1}.$$

7. Limit behaviour of the Weyl matrix balls associated with a nondegenerate *J*-Potapov function

In this section we are going to study the limit behaviour of the parameters of the Weyl matrix balls associated with a given nondegenerate J-Potapov function.

In the following, if f is some $m \times m$ matrix-valued function which is holomorphic at 0, then the sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^\infty$ in the Taylor series representation (1.3) of f around the origin will be shortly called the Taylor coefficient sequence of f.

Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix. A J-Potapov function f in $\mathbb{D}$ will be called *nondegenerate* if it belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{J,0}(\mathbb{D})$ and if the Taylor coefficient sequence of f is a strict J-Potapov sequence. In the sequel, we will write $\mathcal{P}_{J,0,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ for the class of all nondegenerate J-Potapov functions in $\mathbb{D}$. Furthermore, whenever some $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ is given, we will use the following notations. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let

$$\mathbb{H}_f^{(n)} := \bigcap_{\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D},(A_j)_{j=0}^n]} \mathbb{H}_\varphi, \tag{7.1}$$

where $(A_j)_{j=0}^\infty$ is the Taylor coefficient sequence of f, and let the matrix-valued functions $\mathcal{M}_{n,J} : \mathbb{H}_f^{(n)} \to \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, $\mathcal{L}_{n,J} : \mathbb{H}_f^{(n)} \to \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, and $\mathcal{R}_{n,J} : \mathbb{H}_f^{(n)} \to \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ be given by (5.33), (5.34), (5.36), and (5.37), respectively.

Lemma 7.1. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, and let $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$. Then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the set $\mathbb{H}_f^{(n)}$ is open in $\mathbb{D}$ with $0 \in \mathbb{H}_f^{(n)}$ and $\mathbb{H}_f^{(n)} \subseteq \mathbb{H}_f^{(n+1)}$. Furthermore, $\mathbb{H}_f = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \mathbb{H}_f^{(n)}$ holds true.*

Proof. Taking into account (7.1) and part (a) of Theorem 5.8 we see that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the set $\mathbb{H}_f^{(n)}$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{D}$ with $0 \in \mathbb{H}_f^{(n)}$ and that $\mathbb{H}_f^{(n)} \subseteq \mathbb{H}_f^{(n+1)}$ holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Obviously, $\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \mathbb{H}_f^{(n)} \subseteq \mathbb{H}_f$ is valid. Now let $w \in \mathbb{H}_f$, and assume that $w \notin \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \mathbb{H}_f^{(n)}$. Let $(A_j)_{j=0}^\infty$ be the Taylor coefficient sequence of f. Then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there is a $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n]$ such that $w \notin \mathbb{H}_{\varphi_n}$, which contradicts Proposition 2.2. Thus, the assertion follows. □

Proposition 7.2. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, and let $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$. Further, let $w \in \mathbb{H}_f$. In view of Lemma 7.1, let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be such that $w \in \mathbb{H}_f^{(n)}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0,\infty}$. Then:*

(a) The sequences $(\mathcal{L}_{n,J}(w))_{n=n_0}^\infty$ and $(\mathcal{R}_{n,J}(w))_{n=n_0}^\infty$ are both monotonously nonincreasing. In particular, the limits

$$\mathcal{L}_J(w) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{L}_{n,J}(w) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}_J(w) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{R}_{n,J}(w) \tag{7.2}$$

exist and are both nonnegative Hermitian. Moreover, $\det \mathcal{L}_J(w) = \det \mathcal{R}_J(w)$ is satisfied.

(b) The sequence $(\mathcal{M}_{n,J}(w))_{n=n_0}^{\infty}$ *converges and* $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{M}_{n,J}(w) = f(w)$ *holds.*

Proof. (a) The assertion of (a) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.8 and Proposition 5.11.

(b) Part (a) implies in particular

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |w|^{n+1}\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{n,J}(w)} = 0_{m\times m}. \tag{7.3}$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0,\infty}$, let $\mathfrak{K}_n(w) := \mathfrak{K}\big(\mathcal{M}_{n,J}(w); |w|^{n+1}\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{n,J}(w)}, \sqrt{\mathcal{R}_{n,J}(w)}\big)$. Then Theorem 5.8 provides us $\mathfrak{K}_{n+1}(w) \subseteq \mathfrak{K}_n(w)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0,\infty}$. Hence, using a well-known result from the theory of matrix balls ([38], see also, e.g., [16, Theorem 1.5.3]), we obtain that the sequence $(\mathcal{M}_{n,J}(w))_{n=n_0}^{\infty}$ converges to some complex $m \times m$ matrix $\mathcal{M}_J(w)$ and, in view of (a) and (7.3), that $\bigcap\limits_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{K}_n(w) = \mathfrak{K}\big(\mathcal{M}_J(w); 0_{m\times m}, \sqrt{\mathcal{R}_J(w)}\big) = \{\mathcal{M}_J(w)\}$. Finally, since Theorem 5.8 implies $f(w) \in \bigcap\limits_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{K}_n(w)$, we get $f(w) = \mathcal{M}_J(w)$. □

Denote by $\mathcal{S}_{m\times m,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ the class of all nondegenerate $m \times m$ Schur functions in $\mathbb{D}$, i.e., the class of all $g \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{D})$ whose Taylor coefficient sequence is a strict $m \times m$ Schur sequence. Obviously, $\mathcal{S}_{m\times m,\infty}(\mathbb{D}) = \mathcal{P}_{I_m,0,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ holds true. In the sequel, whenever some $g \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ is given, then let, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the matrix-valued functions $\mathcal{M}_n : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$, $\mathcal{L}_n : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$, and $\mathcal{R}_n : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ be given by (6.10), (6.11), (6.12), and (6.13), respectively, where $(B_j)_{j=0}^{\infty}$ is the Taylor coefficient sequence of g.

Choosing $J = I_m$, we reobtain from the above proposition the corresponding well-known statement for $m\times m$ Schur functions in $\mathbb{D}$ (see [16, Theorems 3.11.2 and 5.6.1]), i.e., if $g \in \mathcal{S}_{m\times m,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$, then the sequences $(\mathcal{M}_n(w))_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $(\mathcal{L}_n(w))_{n=0}^{\infty}$, and $(\mathcal{R}_n(w))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converge for each $w \in \mathbb{D}$. Moreover, for each $w \in \mathbb{D}$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{M}_n(w) = g(w) \tag{7.4}$$

holds and the limits

$$\mathcal{L}(w) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{L}_n(w) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}(w) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{R}_n(w) \tag{7.5}$$

are nonnegative Hermitian.

Let us now consider some $m \times m$ signature matrix J and a function $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$. According to [26, Proposition 6.3], the function

$$g := (\mathbf{P}_J f + \mathbf{Q}_J)(\mathbf{Q}_J f + \mathbf{P}_J)^{-1} \tag{7.6}$$

is well-defined and belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{m\times m,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$. We will now describe the interrelations between the limit semi-radius functions $\mathcal{L}_J$ and $\mathcal{R}_J$ associated with f, which are given by (7.2), and the limit semi-radius functions $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ associated with g, which are defined in (7.5).

Proposition 7.3. *Let J be an $m\times m$ signature matrix, let $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$, and let the functions $\mathcal{L}_J : \mathbb{H}_f \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ and $\mathcal{R}_J : \mathbb{H}_f \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ be given by (7.2). Further, let g be defined by (7.6), and let the functions $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ and $\mathcal{R} : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ be given by (7.5). Then, for each $w \in \mathbb{H}_f$, the matrices $g(w)\mathbf{Q}_J - \mathbf{P}_J$ and $\mathbf{Q}_J g(w) + \mathbf{P}_J$ are nonsingular, and*

$$\mathcal{L}_J(w) = \big(g(w)\mathbf{Q}_J - \mathbf{P}_J\big)^{-1}\mathcal{L}(w)\big(g(w)\mathbf{Q}_J - \mathbf{P}_J\big)^{-*} \tag{7.7}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_J(w) = \big(\mathbf{Q}_J g(w) + \mathbf{P}_J\big)^{-*}\mathcal{R}(w)\big(\mathbf{Q}_J g(w) + \mathbf{P}_J\big)^{-1} \tag{7.8}$$

hold true.

Proof. Let $w \in \mathbb{H}_f$. From [26, Proposition 3.4 and Remark 2.1] it follows that the matrices $g(w)\mathbf{Q}_J - \mathbf{P}_J$ and $\mathbf{Q}_J g(w) + \mathbf{P}_J$ are nonsingular. Let $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\infty}$ (respectively, $(B_j)_{j=0}^{\infty}$) be the Taylor coefficient sequence of f (respectively, g). Then from [26, Remark 6.1 and Proposition 5.11] we infer that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$(B_j)_{j=0}^n$ is the J-PG transform of $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$. In view of Lemma 7.1, let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $w \in \mathbb{H}_f^{(n)}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0,\infty}$. Now let $n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0,\infty}$. Then Proposition 6.7 shows that (6.21) and (6.22) hold. Because of Theorem 5.8 and Proposition 6.1 the matrix $H_{n,J}(w) := [\mathcal{L}_{n,J}(w)]^{-1} + |w|^{2(n+1)}\mathbf{Q}_J\mathcal{R}_n(w)\mathbf{Q}_J$ is positive Hermitian. Hence (6.21) implies

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{L}_{n,J}(w)\Big(I + |w|^{2(n+1)}\mathbf{Q}_J\mathcal{R}_n(w)\mathbf{Q}_J\mathcal{L}_{n,J}(w)\Big)^{-1}\\&= [H_{n,J}(w)]^{-1} = \big((\mathcal{M}_n(w)\mathbf{Q}_J - \mathbf{P}_J\big)^{-1}\mathcal{L}_n(w)\big(\mathcal{M}_n(w)\mathbf{Q}_J - \mathbf{P}_J\big)^{-*}. \qquad (7.9)\end{aligned}$$

Having in mind (7.4), formula (7.7) follows from (7.9) by taking limits for $n \to \infty$. Using (6.22), equation (7.8) can be shown analogously. □

In view of Proposition 7.3, the following statement follows easily from the corresponding well-known result for $m \times m$ Schur functions in $\mathbb{D}$.

Proposition 7.4. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$, and let the functions $\mathcal{L}_J : \mathbb{H}_f \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ and $\mathcal{R}_J : \mathbb{H}_f \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ be given by (7.2). Then the functions* $\operatorname{rank}\mathcal{L}_J$ *and* $\operatorname{rank}\mathcal{R}_J$ *are constant in* $\mathbb{H}_f$.

Proof. According to [26, Proposition 6.3], the function g given by (7.6) is well-defined and belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{m\times m,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$. Let the functions $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ and $\mathcal{R} : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ be given by (7.5). Then [16, Theorem 3.11.2] shows that the functions $\operatorname{rank}\mathcal{L}$ and $\operatorname{rank}\mathcal{R}$ are constant in $\mathbb{D}$. Thus, an application of Proposition 7.3 yields the assertion. □

The proof of Proposition 7.4 is mainly based on [16, Theorem 3.11.2]. A closer analysis of the proof of [16, Theorem 3.11.2] shows that the assertion is a consequence of a famous result due to Orlov [32] on the limit behaviour of the parameters of a sequence of nested matrix balls (see also [16, Section 2.5]).

Remark 7.5. Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$, and let the functions $\mathcal{L}_J : \mathbb{H}_f \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ and $\mathcal{R}_J : \mathbb{H}_f \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ be given by (7.2). Then Proposition 7.2 shows in particular that $\operatorname{rank}\mathcal{L}_J(0) = m$ if and only if $\operatorname{rank}\mathcal{R}_J(0) = m$. The trivial example of a constant function f defined on $\mathbb{D}$ with some strictly J-contractive value A_0 yields $\mathcal{L}_J(0) = J - A_0JA_0^*$ and $\mathcal{R}_J(0) = J - A_0^*JA_0$. Hence there exists an $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ with $\operatorname{rank}\mathcal{L}_J(0) = \operatorname{rank}\mathcal{R}_J(0) = m$.

Remark 7.6. Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be a strict J-Potapov sequence. Let the matrix polynomial $\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}$ be given by (5.10), and let $\chi_{n,J}$ be defined by (5.27). Let $w \in \mathbb{H}^{(n)}$. In view of part (a) of Theorem 5.8, $\chi_{n,J}(w)$ is a (well-defined) strictly contractive matrix. For each $v \in \mathbb{D}$ with $-[\chi_{n,J}(w)]^* \in \mathcal{Q}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(v)}$ let

$$f_w(v) := \mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(v)}\big(-[\chi_{n,J}(w)]^*\big) \qquad (7.10)$$

Then Theorem 5.1 implies that formula (7.10) defines a matrix-valued function f_w meromorphic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $\mathbb{H}_{f_w} = \{v \in \mathbb{D} : -[\chi_{n,J}(w)]^* \in \mathcal{Q}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(v)}\}$ and that $f_w \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n]$ holds. Let $A_{n+1} := M_{n+1,J} - \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}[\chi_{n,J}(w)]^*\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}}$. Since $-[\chi_{n,J}(w)]^*$ is strictly contractive, [27, Theorem 3.9] yields that $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$ is a strict J-Potapov sequence. Moreover, from Corollary 2.8 and (5.6)–(5.9) we can conclude that f_w is the J-central J-Potapov function corresponding to $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$. In particular, [27, Proposition 5.3] implies $f_w \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$.

The following two results were inspired by [24, Theorem 7.3, Corollary 7.4].

Proposition 7.7. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^n$ be a strict J-Potapov sequence. Let $f \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^n] \cap \mathcal{P}_{J,0,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$, and let $w \in \mathbb{H}_f^{(n)}$.*

(a) *The following statements are equivalent:*

  (i) *f coincides with the matrix-valued function f_w defined in Remark 7.6.*
  (ii) *$\mathcal{L}_{k,J}(w) = \mathcal{L}_{k+1,J}(w)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{n,\infty}$.*
  (iii) *$\mathcal{R}_{k,J}(w) = \mathcal{R}_{k+1,J}(w)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{n,\infty}$.*
  (iv) *$\mathcal{L}_{n,J}(w) = \mathcal{L}_J(w)$.*
  (v) *$\mathcal{R}_{n,J}(w) = \mathcal{R}_J(w)$.*

(b) *Let $w \neq 0$. Further, denote by $(A_j)_{j=0}^{\infty}$ the Taylor coefficient sequence of f. Then (i) holds if and only if $\mathcal{M}_{k+1,J}(w) = f_{c,k+1}(w)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{n,\infty}$, where $f_{c,k+1}$ stands for the J-central J-Potapov function corresponding to $(A_j)_{j=0}^{k+1}$.*

Proof. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let the matrix polynomials $\pi_{k,J}$, $\rho_{k,J}$, $\sigma_{k,J}$, $\tau_{k,J}$, $\mathfrak{C}_{k,J}$ and the matrix-valued function $\chi_{k,J}$ be defined by (5.2)–(5.5), (5.10), and (5.27), with respect to the strict J-Potapov sequence $(A_j)_{j=0}^{k}$. Further, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $K_{k,J}$ be given by (5.15), and let the matrix polynomial $G_{k,J}$ be defined by (5.13). Part (a) of Theorem 5.8 yields $\det \rho_{k,J}(w) \neq 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{n,\infty}$. Thus, we obtain $w \in \mathbb{H}_{\chi_{k,J}}$, $0_{m\times m} \in \mathcal{R}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{k,J}(w)}$, and $\chi_{k,J}(w) = \mathcal{T}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{k,J}(w)}(0_{m\times m})$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{n,\infty}$. Hence, using (5.12), (5.13), and well-known properties of linear-fractional transformations of matrices (see, e.g., [16, Proposition 1.6.3]), we get $\chi_{k,J}(w) \in \mathcal{R}^{(m,m)}_{G_{k+1,J}(w)}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{k+1,J}(w) &= \mathcal{T}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{k+1,J}(w)}(0_{m\times m}) = \mathcal{T}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{k,J}(w)G_{k+1,J}(w)}(0_{m\times m})\\ &= \mathcal{T}^{(m,m)}_{G_{k+1,J}(w)}\big(\mathcal{T}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{k,J}(w)}(0_{m\times m})\big) = \mathcal{T}^{(m,m)}_{G_{k+1,J}(w)}(\chi_{k,J}(w))\\ &= w\sqrt{R_{k+2,J}}\sqrt{R_{k+1,J}}^{-1}\Big(\chi_{k,J}(w)K_{k+1,J} + I\Big)^{-1}\Big(\chi_{k,J}(w) + K^*_{k+1,J}\Big)\sqrt{L_{k+1,J}}\sqrt{L_{k+2,J}}^{-1}\end{aligned} \tag{7.11}$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{n,\infty}$.

(i)⇒(ii): In view of (i), Remark 7.6 yields

$$A_{n+1} = M_{n+1,J} - \sqrt{L_{n+1,J}}[\chi_{n,J}(w)]^*\sqrt{R_{n+1,J}} \tag{7.12}$$

and therefore $\chi_{n,J}(w) = -K^*_{n+1,J}$. Moreover, from Remark 7.6 we know that f is the J-central J-Potapov function corresponding to $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$. Thus, [27, Proposition 4.1] implies $K_{k+1,J} = 0_{m\times m}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{n+1,\infty}$. Taking into account (7.11), we get inductively $\chi_{k+1,J}(w) = 0_{m\times m}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{n,\infty}$. Thus, we have shown that $\chi_{k,J}(w) = -K^*_{k+1,J}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{n,\infty}$. Therefore, Proposition 5.11 yields (ii).

(ii)⇒(i): In view of (ii), Proposition 5.11 provides us $\chi_{k,J}(w) = -K^*_{k+1,J}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{n,\infty}$. Thus, from (7.11) we obtain $\chi_{k+1,J}(w) = 0_{m\times m}$ and hence $K_{k+2,J} = 0_{m\times m}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{n,\infty}$. Consequently, [27, Proposition 4.1] implies that f is the J-central J-Potapov function corresponding to $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$. Further, because of $\chi_{n,J}(w) = -K^*_{n+1,J}$ we see that (7.12) holds. Therefore, Remark 7.6 yields (i).

Furthermore, the equivalences (ii)⇔(iii), (ii)⇔(iv), and (iii)⇔(v) follow immediately from Proposition 5.11. Thus, part (a) is shown. Finally, part (b) is an immediate consequence of part (a) and Proposition 5.11. □

Corollary 7.8. *Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $(A_j)_{j=0}^{n}$ be a strict J-Potapov sequence. Further, let $w \in \mathbb{H}^{(n)}$, and let f_w be defined as in Remark 7.6. Then*

$$\mathcal{M}_{k,J}(w) = f_w(w)$$

holds for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{n,\infty}$, where the matrix-valued function $\mathcal{M}_{k,J} : \mathbb{H}^{(k)}_{f_w} \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ is defined with respect to f_w.

Proof. The case $w = 0$ is obvious. Now let $w \neq 0$. Because of Remark 7.6 we have $f_w \in \mathcal{P}_{J,0}[\mathbb{D}, (A_j)_{j=0}^{n}]$. Thus, Theorem 5.8 provides us (5.38). Having in mind (7.10), (5.10), (5.27), and (5.38), a straightforward calculation yields

$$f_w(w) = \mathcal{S}^{(m,m)}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,J}(w)}\big(-[\chi_{n,J}(w)]^*\big) = \mathcal{M}_{n,J}(w). \tag{7.13}$$

Thus, the assertion follows from (7.13), Remark 7.6, and Proposition 7.7. □

References

[1] V.M. Adamjan, D.Z. Arov, M.G. Krein: *Infinite block Hankel matrices and related extension problems*, Izv. Akad. Nauk Armjan. SSR, Ser. Mat. VI (1971), Issue 2–3, 87–112 (in Russian).

[2] D.Z. Arov, M.G. Krein: *On computations of entropy functionals and their minimums in indeterminate extension problems*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 45 (1983), 33–50 (in Russian).

[3] J.A. Ball, I. Gohberg, L. Rodman: *Interpolation of Rational Matrix Functions*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Vol. 45, Birkhäuser, Basel – Boston – Berlin 1990.

[4] J.A. Ball, J.W. Helton: *Interpolation problems of Pick-Nevanlinna and Loewner types for meromorphic matrix functions: parametrization of the set of all solutions*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 9 (1986), 155–203.

[5] V. Bolotnikov, H. Dym: *On degenerate interpolation, entropy and extremal problems for matrix Schur functions*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 32 (1998), 367–435.

[6] P. Bruinsma: *Degenerate interpolation problems for Nevanlinna pairs*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) 2 (1991), 179–200.

[7] G.N. Chen, Y.J. Hu: *The truncated Hamburger matrix moment problems in the nondegenerate and degenerate cases, and matrix continued fractions*, Linear Algebra Appl. 277 (1998), 199–236.

[8] G.N. Chen, Y.J. Hu: *On the multiple Nevanlinna-Pick matrix interpolation in the class C_p and the Carathéodory matrix coefficient problem*, Linear Algegra Appl. 283 (1998), 179–203.

[9] P. Delsarte, Y. Genin, Y. Kamp: *The Nevanlinna-Pick problem for matrix-valued functions*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 36 (1979), 47–61.

[10] V.K. Dubovoj: *Indefinite metric in the interpolation problem of Schur for analytic functions I* (Russian), Teor. Funktsii, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 37 (1982), 14–26.

[11] V.K. Dubovoj: *Indefinite metric in the interpolation problem of Schur for analytic functions II* (Russian), Teor. Funktsii, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 38 (1982), 32–39.

[12] V.K. Dubovoj: *Indefinite metric in the interpolation problem of Schur for analytic functions III* (Russian), Teor. Funktsii, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 41 (1984), 55–64.

[13] V.K. Dubovoj: *Indefinite metric in the interpolation problem of Schur for analytic functions IV* (Russian), Teor. Funktsii, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 42 (1984), 46–57.

[14] V.K. Dubovoj: *Indefinite metric in the interpolation problem of Schur for analytic functions V* (Russian), Teor. Funktsii, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 45 (1986), 16–21.

[15] V.K. Dubovoj: *Indefinite metric in the interpolation problem of Schur for analytic functions VI* (Russian), Teor. Funktsii, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 47 (1987), 112–119.

[16] V.K. Dubovoj, B. Fritzsche, B. Kirstein: *Matricial Version of the Classical Schur Problem*, Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik, Band 129, B.G. Teubner, Stuttgart – Leipzig 1992.

[17] H. Dym: *J-contractive Matrix Functions, Reproducing Kernal Hilbert Spaces and Interpolation*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Volume 71, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1989.

[18] C. Foiaş, A.E. Frazho: *The Commutant Lifting Approach to Interpolation Problems*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Vol. 44, Birkhäuser, Basel – Boston – Berlin 1990.

[19] C. Foiaş, A.E. Frazho, I. Gohberg, M.A. Kaashoek: *Metric Constraint Interpolation, Commutant Lifting and Systems*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Vol. 100, Birkhäuser, Basel – Boston – Berlin 1998.

[20] B. Fritzsche, B. Kirstein: *A Schur type matrix extension problem*, Math. Nachr. 134 (1987), 257–271.

[21] B. Fritzsche, B. Kirstein: *A Schur type matrix extension problem IV*, Math. Nachr. 147 (1990), 235–258.

[22] B. Fritzsche, B. Kirstein: *Representations of central matricial Schur functions in both nondegenerate and degenerate cases*, Analysis 24 (2004), 41–62.

[23] B. Fritzsche, B. Kirstein, A. Lasarow: *The matricial Carathéodory problem in both nondegenerate and degenerate cases*, in: Interpolation, Schur Functions and Moment Problems (Eds.: D. Alpay, I. Gohberg), Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Vol. 165, Birkhäuser, Basel – Boston – Berlin 2006, pp. 251–290.

[24] B. Fritzsche, B. Kirstein, A. Lasarow: *On a class of extremal solutions of the nondegenerate matricial Carathéodory problem*, Analysis 27 (2007), 109–164.

[25] B. Fritzsche, B. Kirstein, A. Lasarow: *The matricial Schur problem in both nondegenerate and degenerate cases*, Math. Nachr. 282, No. 2 (2009), 1–31.

[26] B. Fritzsche, B. Kirstein, U. Raabe: *On some interrelations between J-Potapov functions and J-Potapov sequences*, in: Characteristic Functions, Scattering Functions and Transfer Functions – The Moshe Livšic Memorial Volume (Eds.: D. Alpay, V. Vinnikov), Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Vol. 197, Birkhäuser, Basel – Boston – Berlin 2009, pp. 251–279.

[27] B. Fritzsche, B. Kirstein, U. Raabe: *On the structure of J-Potapov sequences*, Linear Algebra and its Applications 430 (2009), 2324–2361.

[28] B. Fritzsche, B. Kirstein, U. Raabe, K. Sieber: *Representations of J-central J-Potapov functions in both nondegenerate and degenerate cases*, Linear Algebra and its Applications 431 (2009), 1027–1053.

[29] J.P. Ginzburg: *On J-nonexpansive operators in Hilbert space* (Russian), Naucn. Zap. Fiz.-Mat. Fak. Odessk. Gos. Ped. Inst. 22 (1958), 13–20.

[30] M.S. Livšic: *On a class of linear operators in Hilbert space* (Russian), Mat. Sbornik 19 (1946), 239–262;
English transl.: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., Series 2, Volume 13 (1960), 61–83.

[31] M.S. Livšic: *Isometric operators with equal deficiency indices, quasi-unitary operators* (Russian), Mat. Sbornik 26 (1950), 247–264;
English transl.: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., Series 2, Volume 13 (1960), 85–103.

[32] S.A. Orlov: *Nested matrix balls, analytically depending on a parameter, and theorems on invariance of ranks of radii of limit matrix balls* (Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. 40 (1976), 593–644;
English transl.: Math. USSR Izv. 10 (1976), 565–613.

[33] V.P. Potapov: *The multiplicative structure of J-contractive matrix functions* (Russian), Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obsh. 4 (1955), 129–236;
English transl.: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., Series 2, Volume 15 (1960), 131–243.

[34] V.P. Potapov: *Linear fractional transformations of matrices* (Russian), in: Investigations on Operator Theory and Their Applications (Ed.: V.A. Marchenko), Naukova Dumka, Kiev 1979, 75–97;
English transl.: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., Series 2, Volume 138 (1988), 21–35.

[35] V.P. Potapov: *A theorem on the modulus. I: Main concepts. The modulus* (Russian), Teor. Funktsii, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 38 (1982), 91–103
English transl.: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., Series 2, Volume 138 (1988), 55–65

[36] L.A. Sakhnovich: *Interpolation Theory and Its Applications*, Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 428, Kluwer, Dordrecht 1997.

[37] I. Schur: *Über Potenzreihen, die im Inneren des Einheitskreises beschränkt sind*, J. reine u. angew. Math., Part I: 147 (1917), 205–232; Part II: 148 (1918), 122–145.

[38] Yu.L. Šmuljan: *Operator Balls* (Russian), Teor. Funktsii, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 6 (1968), 68–81
English transl.: Integral Equations and Operator Theory 13 (1990), 864–882.